

# CS61B Lecture #23

**Today:** Java support for generic programming

**Readings for today:** *Head First Java* chapter 16, *Assorted Readings on Java* chapter 5.

**Next topic:** *Data Structures into Java* §6.2, §6.4, §6.5.

# The Old Days

- Java library types such as `List` didn't used to be parameterized. All Lists were lists of Objects.

- So you'd write things like this:

```
for (int i = 0; i < L.size (); i += 1) {  
    { String s = (String) L.get (i); ... }  
}
```

- That is, must explicitly cast result of `L.get (i)` to let the compiler know what it is.
- Also, when calling `L.add(x)`, was no check that you put only Strings into it.
- So, newest release attempts to alleviate these perceived problems by introducing *parameterized types*, like `List<String>`.
- Unfortunately, it is not as simple as one might think.

# Basic Parameterization

- From the definition of `ArrayList` in `java.util`:

```
public class ArrayList<Item> implements List<Item> {  
    public Item get (int i) { ... }  
    public boolean add (Item x) { ... }  
    ...  
}
```

- First occurrence of `Item` introduces a formal *type parameter*, whose “value” (a reference type) in effect gets substituted for all the other occurrences of `Item` when `ArrayList` is “called” (when a programmer writes, e.g., `ArrayList<String>` or `ArrayList<int []>`).
- Not limited to one parameter:

```
Map<String,Table> database = new HashMap<String,Table>();
```

- Can also say that you don’t care what a type parameter is (wild-cards):

```
/** Number of items in C that are .equal to X. */  
static int frequency (Collection<?> c, Object x) {...}
```

# Parameters on Methods

- Functions (methods) may also be parameterized by type. Example of use from `java.util.Collections`:

```
/** A read-only list containing just ITEM. */  
static <T> List<T> singleton (T item) { ... }
```

In this case, compiler figures out  $T$  without help when you call `singleton(x)` by looking at the type of `x`.

- Another example (from `java.util.Collections`):

```
/** An unmodifiable empty list. */  
static <T> List<T> emptyList () { ... }
```

Here, a call to `emptyList()` would *not* contain enough information, so instead we write, e.g., `Collections.<Particle>emptySet ()`, to tell the compiler that `T` is `Particle`.

# Type Bounds

- Sometimes, your program needs to ensure that a particular type parameter is replaced only by a subtype (or supertype) of a particular type (sort of like specifying the “type of a type.”).
- For example,

```
class NumericSet<T extends Number> extends HashSet<T> {  
    /** My minimal element */  
    T min () { ... }  
    ...  
}
```

Requires that all type parameters to `NumericSet` must be subtypes of `Number` (the “type bound”). (T can extend or implement the bound, as appropriate).

- Another example:

```
/** Set all elements of L to X. */  
static <T> void fill (List<? super T> L, T x) { ... }
```

means that `L` can be a `List<Q>` as long as `T` is a subtype of (extends or implements) `Q`.

## Type Bounds (II)

And one more:

```
/** Search sorted list L for KEY, returning either its position (if
 * present), or k-1, where k is where KEY should be inserted. */
static <T> int binarySearch(List<? extends Comparable<? super T>> L, T key)
```

Here, the items of L have to be comparable to T's. Something that is Comparable<? super T> is comparable to a T or anything T is a subtype of.

# Dirty Secrets Behind the Scenes

- Java's design for parameterized types was constrained by a desire for backward compatibility.
- Actually, when you write

```
class Foo<T> {  
    T x;  
    T mogrify (T y) { ... }  
}
```

```
Foo<Integer> q = new Foo<Integer>();  
Integer r = q.mogrify (s);
```

Java gives really gives you

```
class Foo {  
    Object x;  
    Object mogrify (Object y) { ... }  
}
```

```
Foo q = new Foo();  
Integer r =  
    (Integer) q.mogrify ((Integer) s);
```

That is, it supplies the casts automatically, and also throws in some additional checks. If it can't guarantee that all those casts will work, gives you a warning about "unsafe" constructs.

# Limitations

Because of Java's design choices, are some limitations to generic programming:

- Since all kinds of `Foo` or `List` are really the same,
  - `L instanceof List<String>` will be true when `L` is a `List<Integer>`.
  - Inside, e.g., class `Foo`, you cannot write `new T ()`, `new T[]`, or `x instanceof T`.
- Primitive types are not allowed as type parameters.
  - Can't have `ArrayList<int>`, just `ArrayList<Integer>`.
  - Fortunately, automatic boxing and unboxing makes this substitution easy:

```
int sum (ArrayList<Integer> L) {
    int N;  N = 0;
    for (int x : L) { N += x; }
    return N;
}
```
  - Unfortunately, boxing/unboxing have significant costs.