

CS61B Lecture #23

Today: Java support for generic programming

Readings for today: *Head First Java* chapter 16, *Assorted Readings on Java* chapter 5.

Next topic: *Data Structures into Java* §6.2, §6.4, §6.5.

The Old Days

- Java library types such as `List` didn't used to be parameterized. All Lists were lists of Objects.
- So you'd write things like this:

```
for (int i = 0; i < L.size (); i += 1) {
    { String s = (String) L.get (i); ... }
```
- That is, must explicitly cast result of `L.get (i)` to let the compiler know what it is.
- Also, when calling `L.add(x)`, was no check that you put only Strings into it.
- So, newest release attempts to alleviate these perceived problems by introducing *parameterized types*, like `List<String>`.
- Unfortunately, it is not as simple as one might think.

Basic Parameterization

- From the definition of `ArrayList` in `java.util`:

```
public class ArrayList<Item> implements List<Item> {
    public Item get (int i) { ... }
    public boolean add (Item x) { ... }
    ...
}
```

- First occurrence of `Item` introduces a formal *type parameter*, whose "value" (a reference type) in effect gets substituted for all the other occurrences of `Item` when `ArrayList` is "called" (when a programmer writes, e.g., `ArrayList<String>` or `ArrayList<int []>`).
- Not limited to one parameter:

```
Map<String,Table> database = new HashMap<String,Table>();
```

- Can also say that you don't care what a type parameter is (wild-cards):

```
/** Number of items in C that are .equal to X. */
static int frequency (Collection<?> c, Object x) {...}
```

Parameters on Methods

- Functions (methods) may also be parameterized by type. Example of use from `java.util.Collections`:

```
/** A read-only list containing just ITEM. */
static <T> List<T> singleton (T item) { ... }
```

In this case, compiler figures out T without help when you call `singleton(x)` by looking at the type of `x`.

- Another example (from `java.util.Collections`):

```
/** An unmodifiable empty list. */
static <T> List<T> emptyList () { ... }
```

Here, a call to `emptyList()` would *not* contain enough information, so instead we write, e.g., `Collections.<Particle>emptySet ()`, to tell the compiler that T is `Particle`.

Type Bounds

- Sometimes, your program needs to ensure that a particular type parameter is replaced only by a subtype (or supertype) of a particular type (sort of like specifying the "type of a type").

- For example,

```
class NumericSet<T extends Number> extends HashSet<T> {
    /** My minimal element */
    T min () { ... }
    ...
}
```

Requires that all type parameters to NumericSet must be subtypes of Number (the "type bound"). (T can extend or implement the bound, as appropriate.

- Another example:

```
/** Set all elements of L to X. */
static <T> void fill (List<? super T> L, T x) { ... }
```

means that L can be a List<Q> as long as T is a subtype of (extends or implements) Q.

Type Bounds (II)

And one more:

```
/** Search sorted list L for KEY, returning either its position (if
 * present), or k-1, where k is where KEY should be inserted. */
static <T> int binarySearch(List<? extends Comparable<? super T>> L, T key)
```

Here, the items of L have to be comparable to T's. Something that is Comparable<? super T> is comparable to a T or anything T is a subtype of.

Dirty Secrets Behind the Scenes

- Java's design for parameterized types was constrained by a desire for backward compatibility.
- Actually, when you write

```
class Foo<T> {
    T x;
    T mogrify (T y) { ... }
}
Foo<Integer> q = new Foo<Integer>();
Integer r = q.mogrify (s);
```

Java gives really gives you

```
class Foo {
    Object x;
    Object mogrify (Object y) { ... }
}
Foo q = new Foo();
Integer r =
(Integer) q.mogrify ((Integer) s);
```

That is, it supplies the casts automatically, and also throws in some additional checks. If it can't guarantee that all those casts will work, gives you a warning about "unsafe" constructs.

Limitations

Because of Java's design choices, are some limitations to generic programming:

- Since all kinds of Foo or List are really the same,
 - L instanceof List<String> will be true when L is a List<Integer>.
 - Inside, e.g., class Foo, you cannot write new T (), new T [], or x instanceof T.
- Primitive types are not allowed as type parameters.
 - Can't have ArrayList<int>, just ArrayList<Integer>.
 - Fortunately, automatic boxing and unboxing makes this substitution easy:

```
int sum (ArrayList<Integer> L) {
    int N; N = 0;
    for (int x : L) { N += x; }
    return N;
}
```
 - Unfortunately, boxing/unboxing have significant costs.