

CS61B Lecture #13

Announcements:

- Nam will not be holding office hours this Friday (2/17). He is going to hold make-up office hours 4-6PM this Thur (2/16) in 345 Soda.

Reminders:

- Please use `bug-submit` for submitting any programming problems you have with homework and projects.
- You *have* started Project #1, right?

Today's Topics:

- Modularization facilities in Java.
- Wrapping up some loose ends and leaving explicit material on Java for now.

Readings for next week: *Assorted Materials on Java*, chap. 3 and 5; *Head First Java*, chap. 16; *Data Structures (Into Java)*, chap. 1.

Package Mechanics

- Classes correspond to things being modeled (represented) in one's program.
- Packages are collections of "related" classes and other packages.
- Java puts standard libraries and packages in package `java` and `javax`.
- By default, a class resides in the *anonymous package*.
- To put it elsewhere, use a package declaration at start of file, as in
`package database;` *or* `package ucb.util;`
- Sun's `javac` uses convention that class `C` in package `P1.P2` goes in subdirectory `P1/P2` of any other directory in the *class path*.
- Unix example:

```
nova% setenv CLASSPATH .:$HOME/java-utils:$MASTERDIR/lib/classes/junit.jar  
nova% java junit.textui.TestRunner MyTests
```

Searches for `TestRunner.class` in `./junit/textui`, `~/java-utils/junit/textui` and finally looks for `junit/textui/TestRunner.class` in the `junit.jar` file (which is a single file that is a special compressed archive of an entire directory of files).

Access Modifiers

- Access modifiers (**private**, **public**, **protected**) do not add anything to the power of Java.
- Basically allow a programmer to declare what classes are supposed to need to access (“know about”) what declarations.
- In Java, are also part of security—prevent programmers from accessing things that would “break” the runtime system.
- Accessibility always determined by static types.
 - To determine correctness of writing $x.f()$, look at the definition of f in the *static type* of x .
 - Why? Because the rules are supposed to be enforced by the compiler, which only knows static types of things (static types don't depend on what happens at execution time).

The Access Rules

- Suppose we have two packages (not necessarily distinct) and two distinct classes:

```
package P1;
public class C1 ... {
    // A member named M,
    A int M ...
    void h (C1 x)
        { ... x.M ... } // OK.
}

package P2;
class C2 extends C3 {
    void f (P1.C1 x) {... x.M ...} // OK?
    // C4 a subtype of C2 (possibly C2 itself)
    void g (C4 y) {... y.M ... } // OK?
}
```

- The access $x.M$ is
 - Legal if A is **public**;
 - Legal if A is **protected** and $P1$ is $P2$;
 - Legal if A is *package private* (default—no keyword) and $P1$ is $P2$;
 - Illegal if A is **private**.
- Furthermore, if $C3$ is $C1$, then $y.M$ is also legal under the conditions above, or if A is **protected** (i.e., even if $P1$ is not the same as $P2$).

What May be Controlled

- Classes and interfaces that are not nested may be public or package private (we haven't talked explicitly about nested types yet).
- Members—fields, methods, constructors, and (later) nested types—may have any of the four access levels.
- May *override* a method only with one that has *at least* as permissive an access level.

- Reason: avoid inconsistency:

```
package P1;                                | package P2;
public class C1 {                            | class C3 {
    public int f () { ... }                 |     void g (C2 y2) {
}                                             |         C1 y1 = y2
                                             |         y2.f (); // Bad???
                                             |         y1.f (); // OK??!!?
                                             |     }
                                             | }

public class C2 extends C1 {                |
    // Actually a compiler error; pretend   |
    // it's not and see what happens        |
    int f () { ... }                       |
}                                             |
```

- That is, there's no point in restricting `C2.f`, because access control depends on static types, and `C1.f` is public.

Intentions of this Design

- **public** declarations represent *specifications*—what clients of a package are supposed to rely on.
- *package private* declarations are part of the *implementation* of a class that must be known to other classes that assist in the implementation.
- **protected** declarations are part of the implementation that subtypes may need, but that clients of the subtypes generally won't.
- **private** declarations are part of the implementation of a class that only that class needs.

Quick Quiz

```
package SomePack;
public class A1 {
    int f1() {
        A1 a = ...
        a.x1 = 3; // OK?
    }
    protected int y1;
    private int x1;
}

// Anonymous package

class A2 {
    void g (SomePack.A1 x) {
        x.f1 (); // OK?
        x.y1 = 3; // OK?
    }
}

class B2 extends A1 {
    void h (SomePack.A1 x) {
        x.f1 (); // OK?
        x.y1 = 3; // OK?
        f1(); // OK?
        y1 = 3; // OK?
        x1 = 3; // OK?
    }
}
```

- **Note:** Last three lines of `h` have implicit **this.**'s in front. Static type of **this** is B2.

Quick Quiz

```
package SomePack;
public class A1 {
    int f1() {
        A1 a = ...
        a.x1 = 3; // OK
    }
    protected int y1;
    private int x1;
}

// Anonymous package

class A2 {
    void g (SomePack.A1 x) {
        x.f1 (); // OK?
        x.y1 = 3; // OK?
    }
}

class B2 extends A1 {
    void h (SomePack.A1 x) {
        x.f1 (); // OK?
        x.y1 = 3; // OK?
        f1(); // OK?
        y1 = 3; // OK?
        x1 = 3; // OK?
    }
}
```

- **Note:** Last three lines of `h` have implicit **this.**'s in front. Static type of **this** is `B2`.

Quick Quiz

```
package SomePack;
public class A1 {
    int f1() {
        A1 a = ...
        a.x1 = 3; // OK
    }
    protected int y1;
    private int x1;
}

// Anonymous package

class A2 {
    void g (SomePack.A1 x) {
        x.f1 (); // ERROR
        x.y1 = 3; // OK?
    }
}

class B2 extends A1 {
    void h (SomePack.A1 x) {
        x.f1 (); // OK?
        x.y1 = 3; // OK?
        f1(); // OK?
        y1 = 3; // OK?
        x1 = 3; // OK?
    }
}
```

- **Note:** Last three lines of `h` have implicit `this.`'s in front. Static type of `this` is `B2`.

Quick Quiz

```
package SomePack;
public class A1 {
    int f1() {
        A1 a = ...
        a.x1 = 3; // OK
    }
    protected int y1;
    private int x1;
}

// Anonymous package

class A2 {
    void g (SomePack.A1 x) {
        x.f1 (); // ERROR
        x.y1 = 3; // ERROR
    }
}

class B2 extends A1 {
    void h (SomePack.A1 x) {
        x.f1 (); // OK?
        x.y1 = 3; // OK?
        f1(); // OK?
        y1 = 3; // OK?
        x1 = 3; // OK?
    }
}
```

- **Note:** Last three lines of `h` have implicit `this.`'s in front. Static type of `this` is `B2`.

Quick Quiz

```
package SomePack;
public class A1 {
    int f1() {
        A1 a = ...
        a.x1 = 3; // OK
    }
    protected int y1;
    private int x1;
}

// Anonymous package

class A2 {
    void g (SomePack.A1 x) {
        x.f1 (); // ERROR
        x.y1 = 3; // ERROR
    }
}

class B2 extends A1 {
    void h (SomePack.A1 x) {
        x.f1 (); // ERROR
        x.y1 = 3; // OK?
        f1(); // OK?
        y1 = 3; // OK?
        x1 = 3; // OK?
    }
}
```

- **Note:** Last three lines of `h` have implicit `this.`'s in front. Static type of `this` is `B2`.

Quick Quiz

```
package SomePack;
public class A1 {
    int f1() {
        A1 a = ...
        a.x1 = 3; // OK
    }
    protected int y1;
    private int x1;
}

// Anonymous package

class A2 {
    void g (SomePack.A1 x) {
        x.f1 (); // ERROR
        x.y1 = 3; // ERROR
    }
}

class B2 extends A1 {
    void h (SomePack.A1 x) {
        x.f1 (); // ERROR
        x.y1 = 3; // OK?
        f1(); // ERROR
        y1 = 3; // OK?
        x1 = 3; // OK?
    }
}
```

- **Note:** Last three lines of `h` have implicit `this.`'s in front. Static type of `this` is `B2`.

Quick Quiz

```
package SomePack;
public class A1 {
    int f1() {
        A1 a = ...
        a.x1 = 3; // OK
    }
    protected int y1;
    private int x1;
}

// Anonymous package

class A2 {
    void g (SomePack.A1 x) {
        x.f1 (); // ERROR
        x.y1 = 3; // ERROR
    }
}

class B2 extends A1 {
    void h (SomePack.A1 x) {
        x.f1 (); // ERROR
        x.y1 = 3; // OK?
        f1(); // ERROR
        y1 = 3; // OK
        x1 = 3; // OK?
    }
}
```

- **Note:** Last three lines of `h` have implicit `this.`'s in front. Static type of `this` is `B2`.

Quick Quiz

```
package SomePack;
public class A1 {
    int f1() {
        A1 a = ...
        a.x1 = 3; // OK
    }
    protected int y1;
    private int x1;
}

// Anonymous package

class A2 {
    void g (SomePack.A1 x) {
        x.f1 (); // ERROR
        x.y1 = 3; // ERROR
    }
}

class B2 extends A1 {
    void h (SomePack.A1 x) {
        x.f1 (); // ERROR
        x.y1 = 3; // OK?
        f1(); // ERROR
        y1 = 3; // OK
        x1 = 3; // ERROR
    }
}
```

- **Note:** Last three lines of `h` have implicit `this.`'s in front. Static type of `this` is `B2`.

Quick Quiz

```
package SomePack;
public class A1 {
    int f1() {
        A1 a = ...
        a.x1 = 3; // OK
    }
    protected int y1;
    private int x1;
}

// Anonymous package

class A2 {
    void g (SomePack.A1 x) {
        x.f1 (); // ERROR
        x.y1 = 3; // ERROR
    }
}

class B2 extends A1 {
    void h (SomePack.A1 x) {
        x.f1 (); // ERROR
        x.y1 = 3; // ERROR
        f1(); // ERROR
        y1 = 3; // OK
        x1 = 3; // ERROR
    }
}
```

- **Note:** Last three lines of `h` have implicit `this.`'s in front. Static type of `this` is `B2`.

Access Control Static Only

"Public" and "private" don't apply to dynamic types; it is possible to call methods in objects of types you can't name:

```
package utils;                               | package mystuff;
/** A Set of things. */                       |
public interface Collector {                  |
    void add (Object x);                       |
}                                               |
-----|
package utils;                               |
public class Utils {                          |
    public static Collector concat () {        |
        return new Concatenator ();           |
    }                                           |
}                                               |
-----|
/** NON-PUBLIC class that collects strings. */ |
class Concatenator implements Collector {     |
    StringBuffer stuff = new StringBuffer (); |
    int n = 0;                                 |
    public void add (Object x) { stuff.append (x); n += 1; } |
    public Object value () { return stuff.toString (); } |
}                                               |
-----|
c.add ("foo"); // OK                          |
... c.value (); // ERROR                      |
((utils.Collector) c).value ()                |
// ERROR
```


Loose End #1: Importing

- Writing `java.util.List` every time you mean `List` or `java.lang.regex.Pattern` every time you mean `Pattern` is annoying.
- The purpose of the **import** clause at the beginning of a source file is to define abbreviations:
 - `import java.util.List;` means "within this file, you can use `List` as an abbreviation for `java.util.List`."
 - `import java.util.*;` means "within this file, you can use any class name in the package `java.util` without mentioning the package."
- Importing does *not* grant any special access; it *only* allows abbreviation.
- In effect, your program always contains `import java.lang.*;`

Loose End #2: Static importing

- One can easily get tired of writing `System.out` and `Math.sqrt`. Do you really need to be reminded with each use that `out` is in the `java.lang.System` package and that `sqrt` is in the `Math` package (duh)?
- Both examples are of *static* members. New feature of Java allows you to abbreviate such references:
 - `import static java.lang.System.out;` means "within this file, you can use `out` as an abbreviation for `System.out`."
 - `import static java.lang.System.*;` means "within this file, you can use *any* static member name in `System` without mentioning the package."
- Again, this is *only* an abbreviation. No special access.
- Alas, you can't do this for classes in the anonymous package.


Loose End #3: Nesting Classes

- Sometimes, it makes sense to *nest* one class in another. The nested class might
 - be used only in the implementation of the other, or
 - be conceptually “subservient” to the other
- Nesting such classes can help avoid name clashes or “pollution of the name space” with names that will never be used anywhere else.
- Example: Polynomials can be thought of as sequences of terms. Terms aren’t meaningful outside of Polynomials, so you might define a class to represent a term *inside* the Polynomial class:

```
class Polynomial {  
  
    methods on polynomials  
  
    private Term[] terms;  
    private static class Term {  
        ...  
    }  
}
```

Inner Classes

- Last slide showed a static nested class. Static nested classes are just like any other, except that they can be private or protected, and they can see private variables of the enclosing class.
- Non-static nested classes are called *inner classes*.
- Somewhat rare (and syntax is odd); used when each instance of the nested class is created by and naturally associated with an instance of the containing class, like Banks and Accounts:



```
class Bank {
    private void connectTo (...) {...}
    public class Account {
        public void call (int number) {
            Bank.this.connectTo (...); ...
        } // Bank.this means "the bank that
    } // created me"
}

| Bank e = new Bank(...);
| Bank.Account p0 =
|     e.new Account (...);
| Bank.Account p1 =
|     e.new Account (...);
|
|
```

Loose End #4: Using an Overridden Method

- Suppose that you wish to *add* to the action defined by a superclass's method, rather than to completely override it.
- The overriding method can refer to overridden methods by using the special prefix `super`.
- For example, you have a class with expensive functions, and you'd like a memoizing version of the class.

```
class ComputeHard {  
    int cogitate (String x, int y) { ... }  
    ...  
}
```

```
class ComputeLazily extends ComputeHard {  
    int cogitate (String x, int y) {  
        if (already have answer for this x and y) return memoized result;  
        else  
            int result = super.cogitate (x, y);  
            memoize (save) result;  
            return result;  
    }  
}
```

Trick: Delegation and Wrappers

- Not always appropriate to use inheritance to extend something.
- Homework gives example of a `TrReader`, which *contains* another `Reader`, to which it *delegates* the task of actually going out and reading characters.
- Another example: an "interface monitor:"

```
interface Storage {      | class Monitor implements Storage {
    void put (Object x); |     int gets, puts;
    Object get ();       |     private Storage store;
}                          |     Monitor (Storage x) { store = x; gets = puts = 0; }
                          |     public void put (Object x) { puts += 1; store.put (x); }
                          |     public Object get () { gets += 1; return store.get (); }
                          | }

```

- So now, you can *instrument* a program:

```
// ORIGINAL
Storage S = something;
f (S);
```

```
// INSTRUMENTED
Monitor S = new Monitor (something);
f(S);
System.out.println (S.gets + " gets");
```

- Monitor is called a *wrapper class*.

Loose End #5: instanceof

- It is possible to ask about the dynamic type of something:

```
void typeChecker (Reader r) {  
    if (r instanceof TrReader)  
        System.out.print ("Translated characters: ");  
    else  
        System.out.print ("Characters: ");  
    ...  
}
```

- However, this is *seldom* what you want to do. Why do this:

```
if (x instanceof StringReader)  
    read from (StringReader) x;  
else if (x instanceof FileReader)  
    read from (FileReader) x;  
...
```

when you can just call `x.read()`?!

- In general, use instance methods rather than **instanceof**.