1 Identifying Sorts

Below you will find intermediate steps in performing various sorting algorithms on the same input list. The steps do not necessarily represent consecutive steps in the algorithm (that is, many steps are missing), but they are in the correct sequence. For each of them, select the algorithm it illustrates from among the following choices: insertion sort, selection sort, mergesort, quicksort (first element of sequence as pivot), and heapsort. When we split an odd length array in half in mergesort, assume the larger half is on the right.

**Input list**: 1429, 3291, 7683, 1337, 192, 594, 4242, 9001, 4392, 129, 1000

(a) 1429, 3291, 7683, 192, 1337, 594, 4242, 9001, 4392, 129, 1000
    1429, 3291, 192, 1337, 7683, 594, 4242, 9001, 129, 1000, 4392
    192, 1337, 1429, 3291, 7683, 129, 594, 1000, 4242, 4392, 9001

(b) 1337, 192, 594, 129, 1000, 1429, 3291, 7683, 4242, 9001, 4392
    192, 594, 129, 1000, 1337, 1429, 3291, 7683, 4242, 9001, 4392
    129, 192, 594, 1000, 1337, 1429, 3291, 4242, 4392, 7683, 9001

(c) 1337, 1429, 3291, 7683, 192, 594, 4242, 9001, 4392, 129, 1000
    192, 1337, 1429, 3291, 7683, 594, 4242, 9001, 4392, 129, 1000
    192, 594, 1337, 1429, 3291, 7683, 4242, 9001, 4392, 129, 1000

(d) 1429, 3291, 7683, 9001, 1000, 594, 4242, 1337, 4392, 129, 192
    7683, 4392, 4242, 3291, 1000, 594, 192, 1337, 1429, 129, 9001
    129, 4392, 4242, 3291, 1000, 594, 192, 1337, 1429, 7683, 9001

In all these cases, the final step of the algorithm will be this:
    129, 192, 594, 1000, 1337, 1429, 3291, 4242, 4392, 7683, 9001
2 Sorted Runtimes

We want to sort an array of \( N \) unique numbers in ascending order. Determine the best case and worst case runtimes of the following sorts:

(a) Once the runs in merge sort are of size \( \leq N/100 \), we perform insertion sort on them.
   
   Best Case: \( \Theta(\quad) \), Worst Case: \( \Theta(\quad) \)

(b) We can only swap adjacent elements in selection sort.

   Best Case: \( \Theta(\quad) \), Worst Case: \( \Theta(\quad) \)

(c) We use a linear time median finding algorithm to select the pivot in quicksort.

   Best Case: \( \Theta(\quad) \), Worst Case: \( \Theta(\quad) \)

(d) We implement heapsort with a min-heap instead of a max-heap. You may modify heapsort but must maintain constant space complexity.

   Best Case: \( \Theta(\quad) \), Worst Case: \( \Theta(\quad) \)

(e) We run an optimal sorting algorithm of our choosing knowing:
   
   - There are at most \( N \) inversions
     
     Best Case: \( \Theta(\quad) \), Worst Case: \( \Theta(\quad) \)
   
   - There is exactly 1 inversion
     
     Best Case: \( \Theta(\quad) \), Worst Case: \( \Theta(\quad) \)
   
   - There are exactly \( (N^2 - N)/2 \) inversions
     
     Best Case: \( \Theta(\quad) \), Worst Case: \( \Theta(\quad) \)
3 MSD Radix Sort

Recursively implement the method `msd` below, which runs MSD radix sort on a `List` of `Strings` and returns a sorted `List` of Strings. For simplicity, assume that each string is of the same length. You may not need all of the lines below.

In lecture, recall that we used counting sort as the subroutine for MSD radix sort, but any sort works! For the subroutine here, you may use the `stableSort` method, which sorts the given list of strings in place, comparing two strings by the given index. Finally, you may find following methods of the `List` class helpful:

1. `List<E> subList(int fromIndex, int toIndex)`. Returns the portion of this list between the specified `fromIndex`, inclusive, and `toIndex`, exclusive.

2. `addAll(Collection<? extends E> c)`. Appends all of the elements in the specified collection to the end of this list, in the order that they are returned by the specified collection’s iterator.

```java
public static List<String> msd(List<String> items) {
    return ______________________;
}

private static List<String> msd(List<String> items, int index) {
    if (______________________________) {
        return items;
    }
    List<String> answer = new ArrayList<>();
    int start = 0;
    ____________________________;
    for (int end = 1; end <= items.size(); end += 1) {
        if (______________________________) {
            ____________________________;
            ____________________________;
            ____________________________;
        }
        return answer;
    }
    /* You don't need to understand the implementation of this method to use it! */
    private static void stableSort(List<String> items, int index) {
        items.sort(Comparator.comparingInt(o -> o.charAt(index)));
    }
```
4 Bears and Beds

The hot new Cal startup AirBearsnBeds has hired you to create an algorithm to help them place their customers in the best possible homes to improve their experience. They are currently in their alpha stage so their only customers (for now) are bears. Now, a little known fact about bears is that they are very, very picky about their bed sizes: they do not like their beds too big or too little - they like them just right. Bears are also sensitive creatures who don’t like being compared to other bears, but they are perfectly fine with trying out beds.

The Problem:
Given a list of Bears with unique but unknown sizes and a list of Beds with corresponding but also unknown sizes (not necessarily in the same order), return a list of Bears and a list of Beds such that that the $i$th Bear in your returned list of Bears is the same size as the $i$th Bed in your returned list of Beds. Bears can only be compared to Beds and we can get feedback on if the Bed is too large, too small, or just right. In addition, Beds can only be compared to Bears and we can get feedback if the Bear is too large for it, too small for it, or just right for it.

The Constraints:
Your algorithm should run in $O(N \log N)$ time on average. It may be helpful to figure out the naive $O(N^2)$ solution first and then work from there.