An agent *perceives* its environment through *sensors* and *acts* upon it through *actuators* (or *effectors*, depending on whom you ask).
A human agent in Pacman
The task environment - PEAS

- **Performance measure**
  - -1 per step; +10 food; +500 win; -500 die; +200 hit scared ghost

- **Environment**
  - Pacman dynamics (incl ghost behavior)

- **Actuators**
  - Left Right Up Down or NSEW

- **Sensors**
  - Entire state is visible (except power pellet duration)
PEAS: Automated taxi

- **Performance measure**
  - Income, happy customer, vehicle costs, fines, insurance premiums

- **Environment**
  - US streets, other drivers, customers, weather, police...

- **Actuators**
  - Steering, brake, gas, display/speaker

- **Sensors**
  - Camera, radar, accelerometer, engine sensors, microphone, GPS

Image: [nytimes.com/2014/06/21/how-google-might-
PEAS: Medical diagnosis system

- **Performance measure**
  - Patient health, cost, reputation

- **Environment**
  - Patients, medical staff, insurers, courts

- **Actuators**
  - Screen display, email

- **Sensors**
  - Keyboard/mouse
## Environment types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Pacman</th>
<th>Backgammon</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Taxi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fully or partially observable</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-agent or multiagent</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deterministic or stochastic</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static or dynamic</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrete or continuous</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known physics?</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known perf. measure?</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The environment type largely determines the agent design
- *Partially observable* => agent requires *memory* (internal state)
- *Stochastic* => agent may have to prepare for *contingencies*
- *Multi-agent* => agent may need to behave *randomly*
- *Static* => agent has time to compute a rational decision
- *Continuous time* => continuously operating *controller*
- *Unknown physics* => need for *exploration*
- *Unknown perf. measure* => observe/interact with *human principal*
Simple reflex agents

Agent

Sensors

What the world is like now

Condition-action rules

What action I should do now

Actuators

Environment
class GoWestAgent(Agent):

    def getAction(self, percept):
        if Directions.WEST in percept.getLegalPacmanActions():
            return Directions.WEST
        else:
            return Directions.STOP
Eat adjacent dot, if any
Eat adjacent dot, if any
Can we (in principle) extend this reflex agent to behave well in all standard Pacman environments?

- No – Pacman is not quite fully observable (power pellet duration)
- Otherwise, yes – we can (in principle) make a lookup table…..
- How large would it be?
Reflex agents with state

Agent

State

How the world evolves

What the world is like now

What my actions do

Condition-action rules

What action I should do now

Actuators

Environment

Sensors
Goal-based agents

Agent

State

What the world is like now

What it will be like if I do action $A$

What action I should do now

Goals

How the world evolves

What my actions do

Sensors

Environment

Actuators
Spectrum of representations

(a) Atomic

(b) Factored

(c) Structured
Outline of the course

- atomic
- factored
- structured
- deterministic
- stochastic
- known
- unknown
- RL
- Bayes nets
- First-order logic
- LOGIC SEARCH
- MDPs
- deterministic
- stochastic
- factored
- structured
- First-order logic
Summary

- An agent interacts with an environment through sensors and actuators.
- The agent function, implemented by an agent program running on a machine, describes what the agent does in all circumstances.
- Rational agents choose actions that maximize their expected utility.
- PEAS descriptions define task environments; precise PEAS specifications are essential and strongly influence agent designs.
- More difficult environments require more complex agent designs and more sophisticated representations.
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Today

- Agents that Plan Ahead
- Search Problems
- Uninformed Search Methods
  - Depth-First Search
  - Breadth-First Search
  - Uniform-Cost Search
Planning Agents

- Planning agents decide based on evaluating future action sequences
- Must have a model of how the world evolves in response to actions
- Usually have a definite goal
- Optimal: Achieve goal at least cost
Move to nearest dot and eat it
Precompute optimal plan, execute it
Search Problems
Search Problems

- A search problem consists of:
  - A state space $S$
  - An initial state $s_0$
  - Actions $A(s)$ in each state
  - Transition model $\text{Result}(s,a)$
  - A goal test $G(s)$
    - $s$ has no dots left
  - Action cost $c(s,a,s')$
    - +1 per step; -10 food; -500 win; +500 die; -200 eat ghost

- A solution is an action sequence that reaches a goal state
- An optimal solution has least cost among all solutions
Search Problems Are Models
Example: Traveling in Romania
But then…

**Bucharest to London**

✈️ Lufthansa • Tue, Jan 26

7:10am - 4:45pm
11h 35m (1 stop)
7h 15m in Frankfurt (FRA)

Show details
Example: Traveling in Romania

- **State space:**
  - Cities
- **Initial state:**
  - Arad
- **Actions:**
  - Go to adjacent city
- **Transition model:**
  - Reach adjacent city
- **Goal test:**
  - $s = \text{Bucharest}$?
- **Action cost:**
  - Road distance from $s$ to $s'$
- **Solution?**
Models are almost always wrong
Models are almost always wrong
What’s in a State Space?

The **world state** includes every last detail of the environment

A **search state** keeps only the details needed for planning (abstraction)

- **Problem: Pathing**
  - States: \((x,y)\) location
  - Actions: NSEW
  - Transition: update \(x,y\) value
  - Goal test: is \((x,y)\)=destination

- **Problem: Eat-All-Dots**
  - States: \{\((x,y)\), dot Booleans\}
  - Actions: NSEW
  - Transition: update \(x,y\) and possibly a dot Boolean
  - Goal test: dots all false
State Space Sizes

- **World state:**
  - Agent positions: 120
  - Food count: 30
  - Ghost positions: 12
  - Agent facing: NSEW

- **How many**
  - World states?
    - $120 \times (2^{30}) \times (12^2) \times 4$
  - States for pathing?
    - 120
  - States for eat-all-dots?
    - $120 \times (2^{30})$
State Space Graphs and Search Trees
State Space Graphs

- State space graph: A mathematical representation of a search problem
  - Nodes are (abstracted) world configurations
  - Arcs represent transitions (labeled with actions)
  - The goal test is a set of goal nodes (maybe only one)

- In a state space graph, each state occurs only once!

- We can rarely build this full graph in memory (it’s too big), but it’s a useful idea
State Space Graphs

- State space graph: A mathematical representation of a search problem
  - Nodes are (abstracted) world configurations
  - Arcs represent successors (action results)
  - The goal test is a set of goal nodes (maybe only one)

- In a state space graph, each state occurs only once!

- We can rarely build this full graph in memory (it’s too big), but it’s a useful idea
State Space Graphs vs. Search Trees

State Space Graph

Each NODE in the search tree is an entire PATH in the state space graph.

Search Tree

We construct the tree on demand – and we construct as little as possible.
Quiz: State Space Graphs vs. Search Trees

Consider this 4-state graph:

How big is its search tree (from $S$)?
Quiz: State Space Graphs vs. Search Trees

Consider this 4-state graph:

How big is its search tree (from S)?

Important: Those who don’t know history are doomed to repeat it!
Quiz: State Space Graphs vs. Search Trees

Consider a rectangular grid:

How many states within $d$ steps of start?

How many states in search tree of depth $d$?
Tree Search
Search Example: Romania
Creating the search tree

- Arad
  - Sibiu
    - Arad
    - Fagaras
    - Oradea
    - Rimnicu Vilcea
  - Timisoara
    - Arad
    - Lugoj
  - Zerind
    - Arad
    - Oradea
Creating the search tree
Creating the search tree
function `TREE-SEARCH(problem, strategy)` returns a solution, or failure
initialize the search tree using the initial state of `problem`
loop do
  if there are no candidates for expansion then return failure
  choose a leaf node for expansion according to `strategy`
  if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution
  else expand the node and add the resulting nodes to the search tree
end

- Main variations:
  - Which leaf node to expand next
  - Whether to check for repeated states
  - Data structures for frontier, expanded nodes
1. Frontier separates expanded from unexplored region of state-space graph
2. Expanding a frontier node:
   a. Moves a node from frontier into expanded
   b. Adds nodes from unexplored into frontier, maintaining property 1
Depth-First Search
Depth-First Search

Strategy: expand a deepest node first

Implementation:
Frontier is a LIFO stack
Search Algorithm Properties
Search Algorithm Properties

- Complete: Guaranteed to find a solution if one exists?
- Optimal: Guaranteed to find the least cost path?
- Time complexity?
- Space complexity?

- Cartoon of search tree:
  - $b$ is the branching factor
  - $m$ is the maximum depth
  - solutions at various depths

- Number of nodes in entire tree?
  - $1 + b + b^2 + \ldots + b^m = O(b^m)$
Depth-First Search (DFS) Properties

- What nodes does DFS expand?
  - Some left prefix of the tree down to depth $m$.
  - Could process the whole tree!
  - If $m$ is finite, takes time $O(b^m)$

- How much space does the frontier take?
  - Only has siblings on path to root, so $O(bm)$

- Is it complete?
  - $m$ could be infinite
  - preventing cycles may help (more later)

- Is it optimal?
  - No, it finds the “leftmost” solution, regardless of depth or cost
Breadth-First Search
Breadth-First Search

Strategy: expand a shallowest node first

Implementation: Frontier is a FIFO queue
Breadth-First Search (BFS) Properties

- What nodes does BFS expand?
  - Processes all nodes above shallowest solution
  - Let depth of shallowest solution be $s$
  - Search takes time $O(b^s)$

- How much space does the frontier take?
  - Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^s)$

- Is it complete?
  - $s$ must be finite if a solution exists, so yes!

- Is it optimal?
  - If costs are equal (e.g., 1)
Quiz: DFS vs BFS
Quiz: DFS vs BFS

- When will BFS outperform DFS?

- When will DFS outperform BFS?
Example: Maze Water DFS/BFS (part 1)
Example: Maze Water DFS/BFS (part 2)
Iterative Deepening

- Idea: get DFS’s space advantage with BFS’s time / shallow-solution advantages
  - Run a DFS with depth limit 1. If no solution…
  - Run a DFS with depth limit 2. If no solution…
  - Run a DFS with depth limit 3. …..

- Isn’t that wastefully redundant?
  - Generally most work happens in the lowest level searched, so not so bad!
Uniform Cost Search

\[ g(n) = \text{cost from root to } n \]

Strategy: expand lowest \( g(n) \)

Frontier is a priority queue sorted by \( g(n) \)
Uniform Cost Search (UCS) Properties

- What nodes does UCS expand?
  - Processes all nodes with cost less than cheapest solution!
  - If that solution costs $C^*$ and arcs cost at least $\varepsilon$, then the “effective depth” is roughly $C^*/\varepsilon$
  - Takes time $O(b^{C^*/\varepsilon})$ (exponential in effective depth)

- How much space does the frontier take?
  - Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^{C^*/\varepsilon})$

- Is it complete?
  - Assuming $C^*$ is finite and $\varepsilon > 0$, yes!

- Is it optimal?
  - Yes! (Proof next lecture via A*)
Video of Demo Empty UCS
Video of Demo Maze with Deep/Shallow Water --- BFS or UCS? (part 1)
Video of Demo Maze with Deep/Shallow Water --- BFS or UCS? (part 2)