1 Games

(a) Consider the zero-sum game tree shown below. Triangles that point up, such as at the top node (root), represent choices for the maximizing player; triangles that point down represent choices for the minimizing player. Assuming both players act optimally, fill in the minimax value of each node.

(b) Which nodes can be pruned from the game tree above through alpha-beta pruning? If no nodes can be pruned, explain why not. Assume the search goes from left to right; when choosing which child to visit first, choose the left-most unvisited child.

(c) Again, consider the same zero-sum game tree, except that now, instead of a minimizing player, we have a chance node that will select one of the three values uniformly at random. Fill in the expectimax value of each node. The game tree is redrawn below for your convenience.
(d) Which nodes can be pruned from the game tree above through alpha-beta pruning? If no nodes can be pruned, explain why not.
2 Nonzero-sum Games

1. Let’s look at a non-zero-sum version of a game. In this formulation, player A’s utility will be represented as the first of the two leaf numbers, and player B’s utility will be represented as the second of the two leaf numbers. Fill in this non-zero game tree assuming each player is acting optimally.

2. Which nodes can be pruned from the game tree above through alpha-beta pruning? If no nodes can be pruned, explain why not.
3 Local Search

1. Give the name of the algorithm that results from each of the following special cases:

   (a) Local beam search with \( k = 1 \).

   (b) Local beam search with one initial state and no limit on the number of states retained.

   (c) Simulated annealing with \( T = 0 \) at all times (and omitting the termination test).

   (d) Simulated annealing with \( T = \infty \) at all times.

   (e) Genetic algorithm with population size \( N = 1 \).

2. When might local search (i.e. hill climbing) be better than using A* search? When might it be worse? There are many possible answers.