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Last time in Lecture 8

- Protection and translation required for multiprogramming
  - Base and bounds was early simple scheme

- Page-based translation and protection avoids need for memory compaction, easy allocation by OS
  - But need to indirect in large page table on every access

- Address spaces accessed sparsely
  - Can use multi-level page table to hold translation/protection information, but implies multiple memory accesses per reference

- Address space access with locality
  - Can use “translation lookaside buffer” (TLB) to cache address translations (sometimes known as address translation cache)
  - Still have to walk page tables on TLB miss, can be hardware or software talk

- Virtual memory uses DRAM as a “cache” of disk memory, allows very cheap main memory
Modern Virtual Memory Systems

*Illusion of a large, private, uniform store*

Protection & Privacy
several users, each with their private address space and one or more shared address spaces
page table  name space

Demand Paging
Provides the ability to run programs larger than the primary memory
Hides differences in machine configurations

*The price is address translation on each memory reference*
Recap: Hierarchical Page Table

Virtual Address from CPU:

31 22 21 12 11 0

p1 p2 offset

10-bit 10-bit
L1 index L2 index

Root of Current Page Table

(Processor Register, satp in RISC-V)

Level 1 Page Table

Level 2 Page Tables

Data Pages

Physical Memory

page in primary memory
page in secondary memory
PTE of a nonexistent page

RISC-V Sv32 Virtual Memory Scheme
Recap: Page-Based Virtual-Memory Machine
(Hardware Page-Table Walk)

Assumes page tables held in untranslated physical memory
Address Translation: *putting it all together*

Virtual Address

- **hit**: TLB Lookup
- **miss**: Page Table Walk

Page Table Walk:
- the page is
  - \( \not\in \) memory
  - \( \in \) memory

- **Page Fault**: (OS loads page)
- **Update TLB**

Protection Check:
- **denied**
- **permitted**

Protection Fault

Physical Address *(to cache)*

Segmentation Fault: *SEGFAULT*

Where?
Page-Fault Handler

- When the referenced page is not in DRAM:
  - The missing page is located (or created)
  - It is brought in from disk, and page table is updated
    - Another user job may run on CPU while first job waits for the requested page to be read from disk, provided system allows architectural context to be saved and restored
  - If no free pages are left, a page is swapped out
    - Pseudo-LRU replacement policy, implemented in software
    - A set of free pages can be maintained by OS as background activity

- Since it takes a long time to transfer a page (msecs), page faults are handled completely in software by the OS
  - Untranslated addressing mode is essential to allow kernel to access page tables
Handling VM-related exceptions

- Handling a TLB miss needs a hardware or software mechanism to refill TLB
- Handling page fault (e.g., page is on disk) needs restartable exception so software handler can resume after retrieving page
  - Can be imprecise. but restartable, but this complicates OS software
  - Precise exceptions are easy to restart
- A protection violation may abort process
  - But often handled the same as a page fault
Address Translation in CPU Pipeline

- Need to cope with additional latency of TLB:
  - slow down the clock?
  - pipeline the TLB and cache access?
  - virtual address caches
  - parallel TLB/cache access
Virtual-Address Caches

Alternative: place the cache before the TLB

- one-step process in case of a hit (+)
- cache needs to be flushed on a context switch unless address space identifiers (ASIDs) included in tags (-)
- aliasing problems due to the sharing of pages (-)
- maintaining cache coherence (-)
Virtually Addressed Cache (Virtual Index/Virtual Tag)

Translate on miss
Aliasing in Virtual-Address Caches

Two virtual pages share one physical page

Virtual cache can have two copies of same physical data. Writes to one copy not visible to reads of other!

General Solution: Prevent aliases coexisting in cache

Software (i.e., OS) solution for direct-mapped cache

VAs of shared pages must agree in cache index bits; this ensures all VAs accessing same PA will conflict in direct-mapped cache (early SPARCs)
CS152 Administrivia

- PS2 due on Wednesday Feb 26
- Lab2 due on Monday March 8

- Midterm in class time slot Monday March 1
  - Covers lectures 1 – 9, plus assigned problem sets, labs, book readings
- Midterm will use remote zoom proctoring
  - Need camera on workspace (paper/hands) during exam
  - Students must show student ID and face at one point during exam
  - We will contact students needing DSP accommodations directly
  - Students in remote timezones should contact instructors
  - Any student with concerns should contact instructors
  - Dry run in this week and next week’s discussion section

- Exam will have randomized questions per student
CS252 Administrivia

- Project Proposal due Wednesday
- Proposal should be one page PDF including:
  - Title
  - Team member names
  - What are you trying to do?
  - How is it done today?
  - What is your idea for improvement and why do you think you’ll be successful
  - What infrastructure are you going to use for your project?
  - Project timeline with milestones
- Mail PDF of proposal to instructors
- Give ~5-minute presentations in class in discussion section time on Thursday March 4th and March 11th
Concurrent Access to TLB & Cache
(Virtual Index/Physical Tag)

Index L is available without consulting the TLB
→ cache and TLB accesses can begin simultaneously!
Tag comparison is made after both accesses are completed

Cases: \( L + b = k, \ L + b < k, \ L + b > k \)
Virtual-Index Physical-Tag Caches: Associative Organization

After the PPN is known, $2^a$ physical tags are compared

*How does this scheme scale to larger caches?*
Concurrent Access to TLB & Large L1
The problem with L1 > Page size

Can $VA_1$ and $VA_2$ both map to PA?
A solution via Second-Level Cache

Usually a common L2 cache backs up both Instruction and Data L1 caches

L2 is “inclusive” of both Instruction and Data caches

• Inclusive means L2 has copy of any line in either L1
Suppose VA1 and VA2 both map to PA and VA1 is already in L1, L2 (VA1 ≠ VA2).

After VA2 is resolved to PA, a collision will be detected in L2.

VA1 will be purged from L1 and L2, and VA2 will be loaded ⇒ no aliasing!
Physically-addressed L2 can also be used to avoid aliases in virtually-addressed L1.
Atlas Revisited

- One PAR for each physical page

- PAR’s contain the VPN’s of the pages resident in primary memory

- *Advantage*: The size is proportional to the size of the primary memory

- *What is the disadvantage?*
Hashed Page Table: Approximating Associative Addressing

- Hashed Page Table is typically 2 to 3 times larger than the number of PPN’s to reduce collision probability.
- It can also contain DPN’s for some non-resident pages (not common).
- If a translation cannot be resolved in this table then the software consults a data structure that has an entry for every existing page (e.g., full page table).
Each hash table slot has 8 PTE's <VPN,PPN> that are searched sequentially.

If the first hash slot fails, an alternate hash function is used to look in another slot.

All these steps are done in hardware!

Hashed Table is typically 2 to 3 times larger than the number of physical pages.

The full backup Page Table is managed in software.
VM features track historical uses:

- Bare machine, only physical addresses
  - One program owned entire machine

- Batch-style multiprogramming
  - Several programs sharing CPU while waiting for I/O
  - Base & bound: translation and protection between programs (supports *swapping* entire programs but not demand-paged virtual memory)
  - Problem with external fragmentation (holes in memory), needed occasional memory defragmentation as new jobs arrived

- Time sharing
  - More interactive programs, waiting for user. Also, more jobs/second.
  - Motivated move to fixed-size page translation and protection, no external fragmentation (but now internal fragmentation, wasted bytes in page)
  - Motivated adoption of virtual memory to allow more jobs to share limited physical memory resources while holding working set in memory

- Virtual Machine Monitors
  - Run multiple operating systems on one machine
  - Idea from 1970s IBM mainframes, now common on laptops
    - e.g., run Windows on top of Mac OS X
  - Hardware support for two levels of translation/protection
    - Guest OS virtual -> Guest OS physical -> Host machine physical
Virtual Memory Use Today - 1

- Servers/desktops/laptops/smartphones have full demand-paged virtual memory
  - Portability between machines with different memory sizes
  - Protection between multiple users or multiple tasks
  - Share small physical memory among active tasks
  - Simplifies implementation of some OS features

- Vector supercomputers have translation and protection but rarely complete demand-paging
  - (Older Crays: base&bound, Japanese & Cray X1/X2: pages)
    - Don’t waste expensive CPU time thrashing to disk (make jobs fit in memory)
    - Mostly run in batch mode (run set of jobs that fits in memory)
    - Difficult to implement restartable vector instructions

- Modern GPUs operate similarly to vector supercomputers, with translation and protection but not demand paging
Most embedded processors and DSPs provide physical addressing only

- Can’t afford area/speed/power budget for virtual memory support
- Often there is no secondary storage to swap to!
- Programs custom written for particular memory configuration in product
- Difficult to implement precise or restartable exceptions for exposed architectures
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