

Concentration Profile versus Depth is a single-peak function

Reminder: During implantation, temperature is ambient. However, post-implant annealing step (>900°C) is required to anneal out defects.

Advantages of Ion Implantation

- Precise control of dose and depth profile
- Low-temp. process (can use photoresist as mask)
- Wide selection of masking materials *e.g.* photoresist, oxide, poly-Si, metal
- Less sensitive to surface cleaning procedures
- Excellent lateral dose uniformity (< 1% variation across 12" wafer)

<u>Application example</u>: self-aligned MOSFET source/drain regions



Monte Carlo Simulation of 50keV Boron implanted into Si



- (1) Range and profile shape depends on the ion energy (for a particular ion/substrate combination)
- (2) Height (i.e. Concentration) of profile depends on the implantation dose



Mask layer thickness can block ion penetration



Ion Implanter





Source, magnet, power supply

FIGURE 8.4 Schematic of a commercial ion-implantation system, the Nova-10-160, 10 mA at 160 keV.

Energetic ions penetrate the surface of the wafer and then undergo a series of collisions with the atoms and electrons in the target.

Eaton HE3 High Energy Implanter, showing the ion beam hitting the 300mm wafer end-station.



Implantation Dose

For *singly charged* ions (e.g. As⁺)



Over-scanning of beam across wafer is common. In general, Implant area > Wafer area

Practical Implantation Dosimetry



* (Charge collected by integrating cup current) / (cup area) = dose

Dose [#/area] : looking downward, how many fish per unit area for ALL depths ?





EE143 F05

Energy Loss and Ion Properties

Light ions/at higher energy — more electronic stopping



Stopping Mechanisms

- Electronic collisions dominate at high energies.
- Nuclear collisions dominate at low energies.



FIGURE 8.12 Rate of energy loss dE/dx versus (energy)^{1/2}, showing nuclear and electronic loss contributions.







Gaussian Approximation of One-Dimensional Implant Depth Profile





X



 \mathbf{R}_{p} = projected range

 $\Delta R_p =$ longitudinal straggle

Note: For lateral positions far from masking boundaries, C(x) is independent of lateral position y

Professor N Cheung, U.C. Berkeley

Rp and Δ Rp values are given in tables or charts e.g. see pp. 113 of Jaeger



Rp and ΔRp values from Monte Carlo simulation



(both theoretical & expt values are well known for Si substrate)

EE143 F05

Dose-Concentration Relationship



$$\therefore \mathbf{C}_{p} = \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{2\pi} \Delta \mathbf{R}_{p}} \cong \frac{0.4\phi}{\Delta \mathbf{R}_{p}}$$

Junction Depth, x_i



 $C(x = x_j) = N_{B} = Bulk \ Conc. \Rightarrow \ Solution \ for \ x_j$ If Gaussian approx for C(x) is used, from $C_{p} \exp \left[-(x_j - R_{p})^{2}/2(\Delta R_{p})^{2}\right] = C_{B}$

we can solve for x_i

Sheet Resistance R_S of Implanted Layers



Approximate Value for R_S

If $C(x) >> C_B$ for most depth x of interest and use approximation: $\mu(x) \sim constant$

$$\Rightarrow R_s \to \frac{1}{q\mu \int_0^{x_j} C(x)dx} \cong \frac{1}{q\mu\phi}$$

This expression assumes ALL implanted dopants are 100% electrically activated

use the μ for the highest doping region which carries most of the current

or ohm/square

 $R_{s} \cong \frac{1}{q \mu \phi}$ $[R_{s}] = ohm/s$

Example Calculations

200 keV Phosphorus is implanted into a p-Si ($C_B = 10^{16}/cm^3$) with a dose of $10^{13}/cm^2$.

From graphs or tables , Rp =0.254 μm , ΔRp =0.0775 μm

(a) Find peak concentration

Cp = $(0.4 \times 10^{13})/(0.0775 \times 10^{-4}) = 5.2 \times 10^{17}/\text{cm}^3$

(b) Find junction depths (b) $C_p \exp[-(x_j-0.254)^2/2 \Delta R_p^2] = C_B$ with x_j in μm $\therefore (x_j - 0.254)^2 = 2 \times (0.0775)^2 \ln [5.2 \times 10^{17}/10^{16}]$ or $x_j = 0.254 \pm 0.22 \ \mu m$; $x_{j1} = 0.032 \ \mu m$ and $x_{j2} = 0.474 \ \mu m$ (c) Find sheet resistance

From the mobility curve for electrons (using peak conc as impurity conc), $\mu_n = 350 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V-sec}$ $R_s = \frac{1}{q\mu_n \phi} = \frac{1}{1.6 \times 10^{-19} \times 350 \times 10^{13}} \approx 1780 \text{ }\Omega/\text{square.}$ Common Approximations used to describe implant profiles

- 1. Gaussian Distribution Simple algebra
 - Good fit only near peak concentration regions
- 2. Pearson IV Distribution 4 shape-parameters, messy algebra
 - Better fit even down to low concentration regions.
 - Default model used in CAD tools.

$$f(x) = K \left(-\left(b_0 + b_1 \cdot (x - R_p) + b_2 \cdot (x - R_p)^2\right) \right)^{\left(\frac{1}{2 \cdot b_1}\right)} \left(\frac{1}{2 \cdot b_2} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot b_2} \right)$$
$$\cdot \exp\left(-\frac{b_1/b_2 + 2 \cdot a}{\sqrt{4 \cdot b_2 \cdot b_0 - b_1^2}} \cdot \operatorname{atan}\left(\frac{2 \cdot b_2 \cdot (x - R_p) + b_1}{\sqrt{4 \cdot b_2 \cdot b_0 - b_1^2}}\right)\right)$$

In EE143, we use Gaussian approximations for convenience and simplicity.

For reference only

Definitions of Profile Parameters

(1) Dose
$$\phi = \int_{0}^{\infty} C(x) dx$$

(2) Projected Range: $R_{p} \equiv \frac{1}{\phi} \int_{0}^{\infty} x \cdot C(x) dx$
(3) Longitudinal Straggle: $(\Delta R_{p})^{2} \equiv \frac{1}{\phi} \int_{0}^{\infty} (x - R_{p})^{2} \cdot C(x) dx$
(4) Skewness: $M_{3} \equiv \frac{1}{\phi} \int_{0}^{\infty} (x - R_{p})^{3} C(x) dx$ $M_{3} > 0$ or < 0
-describes asymmetry between left side and right side
(5) Kurtosis: $\infty \int_{0}^{\infty} (x - R_{p})^{4} C(x) dx$ $C(x)$
Kurtosis characterizes the contributions of the "tail" regions