Review

Now...

TMA.

Traditional Marriage Algorithm:

Each Day:

All men propose to favorite woman who has not yet rejected him.

Every woman rejects all but best men who proposes.

Useful Algorithmic Definitions: Man **crosses off** woman who rejected him. Woman's current proposer is "**on string**."

"Propose and Reject." : Either men propose or women. But not both. Traditional propose and reject where men propose.

Key Property: Improvement Lemma: Every day, if man on string for woman, \implies any future man on string is better.

Stability: No rogue couple. rogue couple (M,W) \implies M proposed to W \implies W ended up with someone she liked better than M. Not roque couple!

Induction

$$\begin{split} P(0) \wedge ((\forall n)(P(n) \implies P(n+1) \equiv (\forall n \in N) \ P(n). \\ \textbf{Thm: For all } n \geq 1, 8 | 3^{2n} - 1. \\ \text{Induction on } n. \\ \text{Base: } 8 | 3^2 - 1. \\ \text{Induction Hypothesis: Assume } P(n): \text{ True for some } n. \\ (3^{2n} - 1 = 8d) \\ \text{Induction Step: Prove } P(n+1) \\ 3^{2n+2} - 1 = 9(3^{2n}) - 1 \ (by induction hypothesis) \\ &= 9(8d+1) - 1 \\ &= 72d + 8 \\ &= 8(9d + 1) \end{split}$$

Divisible by 8.

...Graphs...

 $\begin{aligned} & G = (V, E) \\ & V \text{ - set of vertices.} \\ & E \subseteq V \times V \text{ - set of edges.} \end{aligned}$

Directed: ordered pair of vertices.

Adjacent, Incident, Degree. In-degree, Out-degree.

Thm: Sum of degrees is 2|E|. Edge is incident to 2 vertices. Degree of vertices is total incidences.

Pair of Vertices are Connected: If there is a path between them.

Connected Component: maximal set of connected vertices.

Connected Graph: one connected component.

Stable Marriage: a study in definitions and WOP.

n-men, *n*-women.

Each person has completely ordered preference list contains every person of opposite gender.

Pairing. Set of pairs (m_i, w_j) containing all people *exactly* once. How many pairs? *n*. People in pair are **partners** in pairing.

Rogue Couple in a pairing. A m_j and w_k who like each other more than their partners

Stable Pairing. Pairing with no rogue couples.

Does stable pairing exist?

No, for roommates problem.

Graph Algorithm: Eulerian Tour

Thm: Every connected graph where every vertex has even degree has an Eulerian Tour; a tour which visits every edge exactly once.

Algorithm:

Take a walk using each edge at most once. **Property:** return to starting point. Proof Idea: Even degree.

Recurse on connected components. Put together.

Property: walk visits every component. Proof Idea: Original graph connected.



Planar graphs and maps.

Planar graph coloring \equiv map coloring.



Four color theorem is about planar graphs!

 \cap

Definitions:

A connected graph without a cycle. A connected graph with |V| - 1 edges.

A connected graph where any edge removal disconnects it. An acyclic graph where any edge addition creates a cycle.

Minimally connected, minimum number of edges to connect. Property:

Can remove a single node and break into components of size at most |V|/2.

Six color theorem.



...Modular Arithmetic...

Arithmetic modulo *m*. Elements of equivalence classes of integers. $\{0, ..., m-1\}$ and integer $i \equiv a \pmod{m}$ if i = a + km for integer *k*. or if the remainder of *i* divided by *m* is *a*. Can do calculations by taking remainders at the beginning, in the middle or at the end. $58 + 32 = 90 = 6 \pmod{7}$ $58 + 32 = 2 + 4 = 6 \pmod{7}$ $58 + 32 = 2 - 3 = -1 = 6 \pmod{7}$

Negative numbers work the way you are used to. $-3 = 0 - 3 = 7 - 3 = 4 \pmod{7}$

Additive inverses are intuitively negative numbers.

Example: p = 7, q = 11. N = 77. (p-1)(q-1) = 60Choose e = 7, since gcd(7,60) = 1. egcd(7,60).

 $\begin{array}{rcrr} 7(0)+60(1) &=& 60\\ 7(1)+60(0) &=& 7\\ 7(-8)+60(1) &=& 4\\ 7(9)+60(-1) &=& 3\\ 7(-17)+60(2) &=& 1 \end{array}$

Confirm: -119 + 120 = 1 $d = e^{-1} = -17 = 43 = \pmod{60}$ Modular Arithmetic and multiplicative inverses.

 $\begin{array}{l} 3^{-1} \pmod{7}? 5\\ 5^{-1} \pmod{7}? 3\\ \\ \text{Inverse Unique? Yes.}\\ \text{Proof: a and b inverses of } x \pmod{n}\\ ax = bx = 1 \pmod{n}\\ axb = bxb = b \pmod{n}\\ a = b \pmod{n}.\\ 3^{-1} \pmod{6}? \text{ No, no, no....}\\ \{3(1), 3(2), 3(3), 3(4), 3(5)\}\\ \{3, 6, 3, 6, 3\}\\ \\ \text{See,... no inverse!} \end{array}$

Fermat from Bijection.

Fermat's Little Theorem: For prime *p*, and $a \neq 0 \pmod{p}$, $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. **Proof:** Consider $T = \{a \cdot 1 \pmod{p}, \dots, a \cdot (p-1) \pmod{p}\}$. T is range of function $f(x) = ax \mod (p)$ for set $S = \{1, \dots, p-1\}$. Invertible function: one-to-one. $T \subseteq S$ since $0 \notin T$. p is prime. \implies T = S. Product of elts of T = Product of elts of S. $(a \cdot 1) \cdot (a \cdot 2) \cdots (a \cdot (p-1)) \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1) \mod p$, Since multiplication is commutative. $a^{(p-1)}(1\cdots(p-1)) \equiv (1\cdots(p-1)) \mod p.$ Each of 2....(p-1) has an inverse modulo p. mulitply by inverses to get... $a^{(p-1)} \equiv 1 \mod p$. Modular Arithmetic Inverses and GCD

x has inverse modulo *m* if and only if gcd(x,m) = 1. Group structures more generally. Proof Idea: $\{0x,...,(m-1)x\}$ are distinct modulo *m* if and only if gcd(x,m) = 1. Finding gcd.

 $gcd(x,y) = gcd(y,x-y) = gcd(y,x \pmod{y}).$

Give recursive Algorithm! Base Case? gcd(x,0) = x.

Extended-gcd(x,y) returns (d,a,b) d = gcd(x, y) and d = ax + by

Multiplicative inverse of (x, m). egcd(x, m) = (1, a, b)*a* is inverse! $1 = ax + bm = ax \pmod{m}$.

Idea: egcd. gcd produces 1 by adding and subtracting multiples of *x* and *y*

RSA

RSA: N = p, q e with gcd(e, (p-1)(q-1)) = 1. $d = e^{-1} \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$.

Theorem: $x^{ed} = x \pmod{N}$

Proof: $x^{ed} - x$ is divisible by p and $q \implies$ theorem! $x^{ed} - x = x^{k(p-1)(q-1)+1} - x = x((x^{k(q-1)})^{p-1} - 1)$ If x is divisible by p, the product is. Otherwise $(x^{k(q-1)})^{p-1} = 1 \pmod{p}$ by Fermat. $\implies (x^{k(q-1)})^{p-1} - 1$ divisible by p.

Similarly for q.

RSA, Public Key, and Signatures.

RSA: N = p, q e with gcd(e, (p-1)(q-1)). $d = e^{-1} \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$.

Public Key Cryptography: $D(E(m,K),k) = (m^e)^d \mod N = m.$

Signature scheme:

$$\begin{split} S(C) &= D(C).\\ \text{Announce } (C,S(C))\\ \text{Verify: Check } C &= E(C).\\ E(D(C,k),K) &= (C^d)^{\varphi} = C \pmod{N} \end{split}$$

Counting.

First Rule: Enumerate objects with sequence of choices. Number of Objects: $n_1 \times n_2 \dots$ Example: Poker deals.

Second Rule: Divide out if by ordering of same objects. Example: Poker hands. Orderings of ANAGRAM.

Sum Rule: If sets of objects disjoint add sizes. Example: Hands with joker, hands without.

Inclusion/Exclusion: For arbtrary sets A, B. $|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|$ Example: 10 digit numbers with 9 in the first or second digit.

Fermat/RSA

 $\begin{array}{ll} 3^6 \pmod{7}? \ 1. & \text{Fermat: } p=7, \ p-1=6 \\ 3^{18} \pmod{7}? \ 1. \\ 3^{60} \pmod{7}? \ 1. \\ 3^{61} \pmod{7}? \ 3. \\ 2^{12} \pmod{21}? \ 1. \\ 21=(3)(7) \ (p-1)(q-1)=(2)(6)=12 \\ gcd(2,12)=1, \ x^{(p-1)(q-1)}=1 \ (\bmod \ pq) \ 2^{12}=1 \ (\bmod \ 21). \\ 2^{14} \ (\bmod \ 21)? \ 4. \ \text{Technically } 4 \ (\bmod \ 21). \end{array}$

Combinatorial Proofs.

Theorem: $\binom{n+1}{k} = \binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1}$. **Proof:** How many size *k* subsets of n+1? $\binom{n+1}{k}$.

How many size k subsets of n+1? How many contain the first element? Chose first element, need to choose k-1 more from remaining n elements. $\Rightarrow \binom{n}{k-1}$

How many don't contain the first element ? Need to choose *k* elements from remaining *n* elts. $\implies \binom{n}{k}$

So, $\binom{n}{k-1} + \binom{n}{k} = \binom{n+1}{k}$.

Simple Inclusion/Exclusion

Sum Rule: For disjoint sets *S* and *T*, $|S \cup T| = |S| + |T|$ Example: How many permutations of *n* items start with 1 or 2? $1 \times (n-1)! + 1 \times (n-1)!$ Inclusion/Exclusion Rule: For any *S* and *T*, $|S \cup T| = |S| + |T| - |S \cap T|$. Example: How many 10-digit phone numbers have 7 as their first or second digit? *S* = phone numbers with 7 as first digit. $|S| = 10^9$ *T* = phone numbers with 7 as second digit. $|T| = 10^9$. $S \cap T$ = phone numbers with 7 as first and second digit. $|S \cap T| = 10^8$. Answer: $|S| + |T| - |S \cap T| = 10^9 + 10^9 - 10^8$.

Uncountability/Undecidability.

Integers are countable. Reals are not. Why? Diagonalization. Halt is undecidable. Why? Diagonalization. Reductions **from** Halt give more undecidable problems. Reductions use program for problem A to solve HALT. Concept 1: can call program A Concept 2:One can modify text of input program (to HALT).





Quiz 2: PG

4. A CS70 student is great w.p. 0.3 and good w.p. 0.7. A great student solves each question correctly w.p. 0.8 whereas a good student does it w.p. 0.6. One student got right 70% of the 10 questions on Midterm 1 and 70% of the 10 questions on Midterm 2. What is the expected score of the student on the final?



Quiz 2: PG

7. Let X, Y, Z be i.i.d. Expo(1). Find L[X|X+2Y+3Z]. Let V = X+2Y+3Z. One finds $L[X|V] = E[X] + \frac{cov(X,V)}{var(V)}(V-E[V])$ E[X] = 1, E[V] = 6 cov(X, V) = var(X) = 1 var(V) = 1+4+9 = 14. Hence, $L[X|V] = 1 + \frac{1}{14}(V-6)$. 8. Let X, Y, Z be i.i.d. Expo(1). Calculate E[X+Z|X+Y]. E[X+Z|X+Y] = E[X|X+Y] + E[Z] $= \frac{1}{2}(X+Y)+1$. 9. Let X, Y, Z be i.i.d. Expo(1). Calculate L[X+Z|X+Y]. $L[X+Z|X+Y] = \frac{1}{2}(X+Y)+1$.

Quiz 2: PG

5. You roll a balanced six-sided die 20 times. Use CLT to upper-bound the probability that the total number of dots exceeds 85.

Let
$$X=X_1+\dots+X_{20}$$
 be the total number of dots. Then
$$\frac{X-70}{\sigma\sqrt{20}}\approx \mathscr{N}(0,1)$$
 where

$$\sigma^2 = var(X_1) = (1/6) \sum_{m=1}^{6} m^2 - (3.5)^2 \approx 2.9 = 1.7^2$$

Now,

$$Pr[X > 85] = Pr[X - 70 > 15]$$

= $Pr[\frac{X - 70}{1.7 \times 4.5} > \frac{15}{1.7 \times 4.5}]$
= $Pr[\frac{X - 70}{1.7 \times 4.5} > 2] \approx 2.5\%.$

Q2: PG

10. You roll a balanced die.
You start with \$1.00.
Every time you get a 6, your fortune is multiplied by 10.
Every time you do not get a 6, your fortune is divided by 2.
Let X_n be your fortune at the start of step n,
Calculate *E*[X_n].

We have $X_1 = 1$. Also,

$$E[X_{n+1}|X_n] = X_n \times [10\frac{1}{6} + 0.5 \times \frac{5}{6}]$$

= $\rho X_n, \rho = 10\frac{1}{6} + 0.5 \times \frac{5}{6} \approx 2.1.$

Hence, Thus,

 $\boldsymbol{E}[\boldsymbol{X}_{n+1}] = \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{E}[\boldsymbol{X}_n], n \geq 1.$

 $E[X_n] = \rho^{n-1}, n \ge 1.$

Quiz 2: PG

 You roll a balanced six-sided die 20 times. Use Chebyshev to upper-bound the probability that the total number of dots exceeds 85.

Let
$$X = X_1 + \dots + X_{20}$$
 be the total number of dots.
Then
 $\Pr[X > 85] = \Pr[X - 70 > 15] \le \Pr[|X - 70| 15]$

$$\begin{array}{lll} X > 85] &= & Pr[X - 70 > 15] \le Pr[|X - 70| > 15] \\ & \leq & \frac{var(X)}{15^2}. \end{array}$$

 $var(X) = 20var(X_1) = 20 \times 2.9 = 58.$

$$Pr[X > 85] \le \frac{58}{15^2} \approx 0.26.$$

Quiz 3: R

Now.

Hence.

 The lifespans of good lightbulbs are exponentially distributed with mean 1 year. Those of defective bulbs are exponentially distributed with mean 0.8. All the bulbs in one batch are equally likely to be good or defective. You test one bulb and note that it burns out after 0.6 year. (a) What is the probability you got a batch of good bulbs? (b) What is the expected lifespan of another bulb in that batch?

Hint: If $X = Expo(\lambda)$, $f_X(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \mathbb{1}\{x > 0\}$, $E[X] = 1/\lambda$.

Let X be the lifespan of a bulb, G the event that it is good, and B the event that it is bad.

(a)
$$p := \Pr[G|X \in (0.6, 0.6 + \delta)] = \frac{0.5\Pr[X \in (0.6, 0.6 + \delta)|G]}{0.5\Pr[X \in (0.6, 0.6 + \delta)|G] + 0.5\Pr[X \in (0.6, 0.6 + \delta)|D]} = \frac{e^{-0.6}\delta}{e^{-0.6}\delta + (0.8)^{-1}e^{-(0.8)^{-1}0.6}\delta} \approx 0.488.$$

(b) $E[$ lifespan of other bulb $] = p \times 1 + (1-p) \times 0.8 \approx 0.9.$

