## Today

Total Probability: Intuition, pictures, inference.
Bayes Rule.
Balls in Bins.
Birthday Paradox
Coupon Collector

## Independence

Definition: Two events $A$ and $B$ are independent if

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[A \cap B]=\operatorname{Pr}[A] \operatorname{Pr}[B] .
$$

Examples:

- When rolling two dice, $A=$ sum is 7 and $B=$ red die is 1 are independent; $\operatorname{Pr}[A \cap B]=\frac{1}{36}, \operatorname{Pr}[A] \operatorname{Pr}[B]=\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)$.
- When rolling two dice, $A=$ sum is 3 and $B=$ red die is 1 are not independent; $\operatorname{Pr}[A \cap B]=\frac{1}{36}, \operatorname{Pr}[A] \operatorname{Pr}[B]=\left(\frac{2}{36}\right)\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)$.
- When flipping coins, $A=$ coin 1 yields heads and $B=\operatorname{coin} 2$ yields tails are independent; $\operatorname{Pr}[A \cap B]=\frac{1}{4}, \operatorname{Pr}[A] \operatorname{Pr}[B]=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$.
- When throwing 3 balls into 3 bins, $A=$ bin 1 is empty and $B=$ bin 2 is empty are not independent;
$\operatorname{Pr}[A \cap B]=\frac{1}{27}, \operatorname{Pr}[A] \operatorname{Pr}[B]=\left(\frac{8}{27}\right)\left(\frac{8}{27}\right)$.


## Independence and conditional probability

Fact: Two events $A$ and $B$ are independent if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[A \mid B]=\operatorname{Pr}[A] .
$$

Indeed: $\operatorname{Pr}[A \mid B]=\frac{\operatorname{Pr}[A \cap B]}{\operatorname{Pr}[B]}$, so that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[A \mid B]=\operatorname{Pr}[A] \Leftrightarrow \frac{\operatorname{Pr}[A \cap B]}{\operatorname{Pr}[B]}=\operatorname{Pr}[A] \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Pr}[A \cap B]=\operatorname{Pr}[A] \operatorname{Pr}[B] .
$$

## Causality vs. Correlation

Events $A$ and $B$ are positively correlated if

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[A \cap B]>\operatorname{Pr}[A] \operatorname{Pr}[B] .
$$

(E.g., smoking and lung cancer.)
$A$ and $B$ being positively correlated does not mean that $A$ causes $B$ or that $B$ causes $A$.

Other examples:

- Tesla owners are more likely to be rich. That does not mean that poor people should buy a Tesla to get rich.
- People who go to the opera are more likely to have a good career. That does not mean that going to the opera will improve your career.
- Rabbits eat more carrots and do not wear glasses. Are carrots good for eyesight?


## Proving Causality

Proving causality is generally difficult. One has to eliminate external causes of correlation and be able to test the cause/effect relationship (e.g., randomized clinical trials).

Some difficulties:

- $A$ and $B$ may be positively correlated because they have a common cause. (E.g., being a rabbit.)
- If $B$ precedes $A$, then $B$ is more likely to be the cause. (E.g., smoking.) However, they could have a common cause that induces $B$ before $A$. (E.g., smart, CS70, Tesla.)

More about such questions later. For fun, check "N. Taleb: Fooled by randomness."

## Total probability

Assume that $\Omega$ is the union of the disjoint sets $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{N}$.


Then,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[B]=\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{1} \cap B\right]+\cdots+\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{N} \cap B\right] .
$$

Indeed, $B$ is the union of the disjoint sets $A_{n} \cap B$ for $n=1, \ldots, N$. Thus,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[B]=\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{1}\right] \operatorname{Pr}\left[B \mid A_{1}\right]+\cdots+\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{N}\right] \operatorname{Pr}\left[B \mid A_{N}\right] .
$$

## Total probability

Assume that $\Omega$ is the union of the disjoint sets $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{N}$.


## Is you coin loaded?

Your coin is fair w.p. $1 / 2$ or such that $\operatorname{Pr}[H]=0.6$, otherwise.
You flip your coin and it yields heads.
What is the probability that it is fair?

## Analysis:

$$
A=\text { 'coin is fair', } B=\text { 'outcome is heads' }
$$

We want to calculate $P[A \mid B]$.
We know $P[B \mid A]=1 / 2, P[B \mid \bar{A}]=0.6, \operatorname{Pr}[A]=1 / 2=\operatorname{Pr}[\bar{A}]$
Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}[B] & =\operatorname{Pr}[A \cap B]+\operatorname{Pr}[\bar{A} \cap B]=\operatorname{Pr}[A] \operatorname{Pr}[B \mid A]+\operatorname{Pr}[\bar{A}] \operatorname{Pr}[B \mid \bar{A}] \\
& =(1 / 2)(1 / 2)+(1 / 2) 0.6=0.55 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[A \mid B]=\frac{\operatorname{Pr}[A] \operatorname{Pr}[B \mid A]}{\operatorname{Pr}[B]}=\frac{(1 / 2)(1 / 2)}{(1 / 2)(1 / 2)+(1 / 2) 0.6} \approx 0.45
$$

## Is you coin loaded?

A picture:

loaded coin
Imagine 100 situations, among which
$m:=100(1 / 2)(1 / 2)$ are such that $A$ and $B$ occur and
$n:=100(1 / 2)(0.6)$ are such that $\bar{A}$ and $B$ occur.
Thus, among the $m+n$ situations where $B$ occurred, there are $m$ where $A$ occurred.

Hence,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[A \mid B]=\frac{m}{m+n}=\frac{(1 / 2)(1 / 2)}{(1 / 2)(1 / 2)+(1 / 2) 0.6}
$$

## Bayes Rule

A general picture: We imagine that there are $N$ possible causes $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{N}$.


Imagine 100 situations, among which $100 p_{n} q_{n}$ are such that $A_{n}$ and $B$ occur, for $n=1, \ldots, N$.
Thus, among the $100 \sum_{m} p_{m} q_{m}$ situations where $B$ occurred, there are $100 p_{n} q_{n}$ where $A_{n}$ occurred.
Hence,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{n} \mid B\right]=\frac{p_{n} q_{n}}{\sum_{m} p_{m} q_{m}}
$$

## Conditional Probability: Pictures

Illustrations: Pick a point uniformly in the unit square


- Left: $A$ and $B$ are independent. $\operatorname{Pr}[B]=b ; \operatorname{Pr}[B \mid A]=b$.
- Middle: $A$ and $B$ are positively correlated.

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[B \mid A]=b_{1}>\operatorname{Pr}[B \mid \bar{A}]=b_{2} . \text { Note: } \operatorname{Pr}[B] \in\left(b_{2}, b_{1}\right) .
$$

- Right: $A$ and $B$ are negatively correlated.

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[B \mid A]=b_{1}<\operatorname{Pr}[B \mid \vec{A}]=b_{2} . \text { Note: } \operatorname{Pr}[B] \in\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) .
$$

## Bayes and Biased Coin



Pick a point uniformly at random in the unit square. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}[A]=0.5 ; \operatorname{Pr}[\bar{A}]=0.5 \\
& \operatorname{Pr}[B \mid A]=0.5 ; \operatorname{Pr}[B \mid \bar{A}]=0.6 ; \operatorname{Pr}[A \cap B]=0.5 \times 0.5 \\
& \operatorname{Pr}[B]=0.5 \times 0.5+0.5 \times 0.6=\operatorname{Pr}[A] \operatorname{Pr}[B \mid A]+\operatorname{Pr}[\bar{A}] \operatorname{Pr}[B \mid \bar{A}] \\
& \operatorname{Pr}[A \mid B]=\frac{0.5 \times 0.5}{0.5 \times 0.5+0.5 \times 0.6}=\frac{\operatorname{Pr}[A] \operatorname{Pr}[B \mid A]}{\operatorname{Pr}[A] \operatorname{Pr}[B \mid A]+\operatorname{Pr}[\bar{A}] \operatorname{Pr}[B \mid \bar{A}]} \\
& \quad \approx 0.46=\text { fraction of } B \text { that is inside } A
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bayes: General Case



Pick a point uniformly at random in the unit square. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{n}\right]=p_{n}, n=1, \ldots, N \\
& \operatorname{Pr}\left[B \mid A_{n}\right]=q_{n}, n=1, \ldots, N ; \operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{n} \cap B\right]=p_{n} q_{n} \\
& \operatorname{Pr}[B]=p_{1} q_{1}+\cdots p_{N} q_{N} \\
& \operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{n} \mid B\right]=\frac{p_{n} q_{n}}{p_{1} q_{1}+\cdots p_{N} q_{N}}=\text { fraction of } B \text { inside } A_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Why do you have a fever?



Using Bayes' rule, we find

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[\text { Flu } \mid \text { High Fever }]=\frac{0.15 \times 0.80}{0.15 \times 0.80+10^{-8} \times 1+0.85 \times 0.1} \approx 0.58
$$

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[\text { Ebola } \mid \text { High Fever }]=\frac{10^{-8} \times 1}{0.15 \times 0.80+10^{-8} \times 1+0.85 \times 0.1} \approx 5 \times 10^{-8}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[\text { Other } \mid \text { High Fever }]=\frac{0.85 \times 0.1}{0.15 \times 0.80+10^{-8} \times 1+0.85 \times 0.1} \approx 0.42
$$

The values $0.58,5 \times 10^{-8}, 0.42$ are the posterior probabilities.

## Why do you have a fever?

Our "Bayes' Square" picture:


Note that even though $\operatorname{Pr}[$ Fever $\mid$ Ebola $]=1$, one has

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[\text { Ebola|Fever }] \approx 0 .
$$

This example shows the importance of the prior probabilities.

## Why do you have a fever?

We found
$\operatorname{Pr}[$ Flu $\mid$ High Fever $] \approx 0.58$,
$\operatorname{Pr}[$ Ebola $\mid$ High Fever $] \approx 5 \times 10^{-8}$,
$\operatorname{Pr}[$ Other $\mid$ High Fever $] \approx 0.42$

One says that 'Flu' is the Most Likely a Posteriori (MAP) cause of the high fever.
'Ebola' is the Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE) of the cause: it causes the fever with the largest probability.
Recall that

$$
p_{m}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{m}\right], q_{m}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[B \mid A_{m}\right], \operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{m} \mid B\right]=\frac{p_{m} q_{m}}{p_{1} q_{1}+\cdots+p_{M} q_{M}}
$$

Thus,

- MAP $=$ value of $m$ that maximizes $p_{m} q_{m}$.
- MLE $=$ value of $m$ that maximizes $q_{m}$.


## Bayes' Rule Operations

[Environment]


Bayes' Rule is the canonical example of how information changes our opinions.

## Thomas Bayes



Source: Wikipedia.

## Thomas Bayes



A Bayesian picture of Thomas Bayes.

## Testing for disease.

Random Experiment: Pick a random male.
Outcomes: (test, disease)
$A$ - prostate cancer.
$B$ - positive PSA test.

- $\operatorname{Pr}[A]=0.0016,(.16 \%$ of the male population is affected.)
- $\operatorname{Pr}[B \mid A]=0.80(80 \%$ chance of positive test with disease.)
$-\operatorname{Pr}[B \mid \bar{A}]=0.10$ ( $10 \%$ chance of positive test without disease.)
From http://www.cpcn.org/01_psa_tests.htm and http://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/prost.html (10/12/2011.)
Positive PSA test ( $B$ ). Do I have disease?

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[A \mid B] ? ? ?
$$

## Bayes Rule.



Using Bayes' rule, we find

$$
P[A \mid B]=\frac{0.0016 \times 0.80}{0.0016 \times 0.80+0.9984 \times 0.10}=.013
$$

A $1.3 \%$ chance of prostate cancer with a positive PSA test. Surgery anyone?
Impotence...
Incontinence..
Death.

## Quick Review

## Events, Conditional Probability, Independence, Bayes’ Rule

Key Ideas:

- Conditional Probability:

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[A \mid B]=\frac{\operatorname{Pr}[A \cap B]}{\operatorname{Pr}[B]}
$$

- Independence: $\operatorname{Pr}[A \cap B]=\operatorname{Pr}[A] \operatorname{Pr}[B]$.
- Bayes' Rule:

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{n} \mid B\right]=\frac{\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{n}\right] \operatorname{Pr}\left[B \mid A_{n}\right]}{\sum_{m} \operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{m}\right] \operatorname{Pr}\left[B \mid A_{m}\right]} .
$$

$\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{n} \mid B\right]=$ posterior probability $; \operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{n}\right]=$ prior probability .

- All these are possible:

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[A \mid B]<\operatorname{Pr}[A] ; \operatorname{Pr}[A \mid B]>\operatorname{Pr}[A] ; \operatorname{Pr}[A \mid B]=\operatorname{Pr}[A] .
$$

## Independence

## Recall :

$A$ and $B$ are independent

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Pr}[A \cap B]=\operatorname{Pr}[A] \operatorname{Pr}[B] \\
& \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Pr}[A \mid B]=\operatorname{Pr}[A] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the example below:

$\left(A_{2}, B\right)$ are independent: $\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{2} \mid B\right]=0.5=\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{2}\right]$.
$\left(A_{2}, \bar{B}\right)$ are independent: $\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{2} \mid \bar{B}\right]=0.5=\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{2}\right]$.
$\left(A_{1}, B\right)$ are not independent: $\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{1} \mid B\right]=\frac{0.1}{0.5}=0.2 \neq \operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{1}\right]=0.25$.

## Pairwise Independence

Flip two fair coins. Let

- $A=$ 'first coin is $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}=\{H T, H H\}$;
- $B=$ 'second coin is $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}=\{T H, H H\}$;
- $C=$ 'the two coins are different' $=\{T H, H T\}$.

$A, C$ are independent; $B, C$ are independent;
$A \cap B, C$ are not independent. $(\operatorname{Pr}[A \cap B \cap C]=0 \neq \operatorname{Pr}[A \cap B] \operatorname{Pr}[C]$.
If $A$ did not say anything about $C$ and $B$ did not say anything about $C$, then $A \cap B$ would not say anything about $C$.


## Example 2

Flip a fair coin 5 times. Let $A_{n}=$ 'coin $n$ is $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$, for $n=1, \ldots, 5$.
Then,
$A_{m}, A_{n}$ are independent for all $m \neq n$.
Also,

$$
A_{1} \text { and } A_{3} \cap A_{5} \text { are independent. }
$$

Indeed,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{1} \cap\left(A_{3} \cap A_{5}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{8}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{1}\right] \operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{3} \cap A_{5}\right] .
$$

Similarly,
$A_{1} \cap A_{2}$ and $A_{3} \cap A_{4} \cap A_{5}$ are independent.
This leads to a definition ....

## Mutual Independence

Definition Mutual Independence
(a) The events $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{5}$ are mutually independent if

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[\cap_{k \in K} A_{k}\right]=\Pi_{k \in K} \operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{k}\right], \text { for all } K \subseteq\{1, \ldots, 5\} .
$$

(b) More generally, the events $\left\{A_{j}, j \in J\right\}$ are mutually independent if

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[\cap_{k \in K} A_{K}\right]=\Pi_{k \in K} \operatorname{Pr}\left[A_{K}\right], \text { for all finite } K \subseteq J
$$

Example: Flip a fair coin forever. Let $A_{n}=$ 'coin $n$ is H .' Then the events $A_{n}$ are mutually independent.

## Mutual Independence

## Theorem

(a) If the events $\left\{A_{j}, j \in J\right\}$ are mutually independent and if $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are disjoint finite subsets of $J$, then

$$
\cap_{k \in K_{1}} A_{k} \text { and } \cap_{k \in K_{2}} A_{k} \text { are independent. }
$$

(b) More generally, if the $K_{n}$ are pairwise disjoint finite subsets of $J$, then the events

$$
\cap_{k \in K_{n}} A_{k} \text { are mutually independent. }
$$

(c) Also, the same is true if we replace some of the $A_{k}$ by $\bar{A}_{k}$.

## Proof:

See Notes 25, 2.7.

