

Types of graphs.

Complete Graphs. Trees. Hypercubes.

Complete Graph.





 K_n complete graph on *n* vertices.

All edges are present.

Everyone is my neighbor.

Each vertex is adjacent to every other vertex.

How many edges?


Each vertex is incident to n-1 edges.

Sum of degrees is n(n-1).

 \implies Number of edges is n(n-1)/2.

Remember sum of degree is 2|E|.


K_4 and K_5



 K_5 is not planar.

Cannot be drawn in the plane without an edge crossing! Prove it! Read Note 5!!

Trees!



Trees: Definitions

Definitions:


A connected graph without a cycle.

A connected graph with |V| - 1 edges.

A connected graph where any edge removal disconnects it.

A connected graph where any edge addition creates a cycle.

Some trees.



Equivalence of Definitions

Thm:

"G connected and has |V| - 1 edges" \equiv "G is connected and has no cycles."



Proof of \implies **(only if):** By induction on |V|. Base Case: |V| = 1. 0 = |V| - 1 edges and has no cycles.

Induction Step: Assume for *G* with up to *k* vertices. Prove for k + 1 Consider some vertex *v* in *G*. How is it connected to the rest of *G*? Might it be connected by just 1 edge? Is there a Degree 1 vertex?

Is the rest of G connected?

Equivalence of Definitions: Useful Lemma

Theorem:

"G connected and has |V| - 1 edges" \equiv

"G is connected and has no cycles."


Lemma: If *v* is a degree 1 in connected graph *G*, G - v is connected. **Proof:**

For $x \neq v, y \neq v \in V$,

there is path between x and y in G since connected.

and does not use v (degree 1)

 $\implies \underline{G} - v$ is connected.



Proof of only if.

Thm:

"G connected and has |V| - 1 edges" \equiv "G is connected and has no cycles."

Proof of \implies : By induction on |V|.



Base Case: |V| = 1. 0 = |V| - 1 edges and has no cycles.

Induction Step: Assume for *G* with up to *k* vertices. Prove for k + 1 **Claim:** There is a degree 1 node.

Proof: First, connected \implies every vertex degree ≥ 1 .

Sum of degrees is 2|V| - 2

Average degree 2 - (2/|V|)

Not everyone is bigger than average!

By degree 1 removal lemma, G - v is connected.

G - v has |V| - 1 vertices and |V| - 2 edges so by induction

 \implies no cycle in G-v.

And no cycle in G since degree 1 cannot participate in cycle.

Proof of "if part"

Thm:

"G is connected and has no cycles" \implies "G connected and has |V| - 1 edges"

Proof: Can we use the "degree 1" idea again?

Walk from a vertex using untraversed edges and vertices.

Until get stuck. Why? Finitely-many vertices, no cycle!

Claim: Degree 1 vertex.

Proof of Claim:

Can't visit more than once since no cycle.

Entered. Didn't leave. Only one incident edge.

Removing node doesn't create cycle.

New graph is connected. (from our Degree 1 lemma).


By induction G - v has |V| - 2 edges.

G has one more or |V| - 1 edges.

Hypercubes.


Complete graphs, really well connected! Lots of edges. |V|(|V|-1)/2Trees, connected, few edges. (|V|-1)

Hypercubes. Well connected. |*V*|log|*V*| edges! Also represents bit-strings nicely.



0 1 ● ●





2^{*n*} vertices. number of *n*-bit strings!


 $n2^{n-1}$ edges.

2ⁿ vertices each of degree n total degree is n2ⁿ and half as many edges!

Recursive Definition.

A 0-dimensional hypercube is a node labelled with the empty string of bits.

An *n*-dimensional hypercube consists of a 0-subcube (1-subcube) which is a n-1-dimensional hypercube with nodes labelled 0x (1x) with the additional edges (0x, 1x).



Thm: Any subset *S* of the hypercube where $|S| \le |V|/2$ has $\ge |S|$ edges connecting it to V - S: $|E \cap S \times (V - S)| \ge |S|$

Terminology:

(S, V - S) is cut. $(E \cap S \times (V - S))$ - cut edges.

Restatement: for any cut in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side.

Thm: For any cut (S, V - S) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side. **Proof:**

Base Case: n = 1 V= {0,1}. S = {0} has one edge leaving. S = \emptyset has 0.


Induction Step Idea

Thm: For any cut (S, V - S) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side.


Use recursive definition into two subcubes.

Two cubes connected by edges.

Case 1: Count edges inside subcube inductively.



Case 2: Count inside and across.



Induction Step

Thm: For any cut (S, V - S) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, |S|.

Proof: Induction Step.

Recursive definition:

 $H_0 = (V_0, E_0), H_1 = (V_1, E_1)$, edges E_x that connect them. $H = (V_0 \cup V_1, E_0 \cup E_1 \cup E_x)$

 $S = S_0 \cup S_1$ where S_0 in first, and S_1 in other.

Case 1: $|S_0| \le |V_0|/2$, $|S_1| \le |V_1|/2$ Both S_0 and S_1 are small sides. So by induction. Edges cut in $H_0 \ge |S_0|$. Edges cut in $H_1 \ge |S_1|$.

Total cut edges $\geq |S_0| + |S_1| = |S|$.

Induction Step. Case 2.

Thm: For any cut (S, V - S) in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, |S|. **Proof: Induction Step. Case 2.** $|S_0| > |V_0|/2$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Recall Case 1: } |S_0|, |S_1| \leq |V|/2 \\ |S_1| \leq |V_1|/2 \mbox{ since } |S| \leq |V|/2. \\ \implies \geq |S_1| \mbox{ edges cut in } E_1. \\ |S_0| \geq |V_0|/2 \implies |V_0 - S_0| \leq |V_0|/2 \\ \implies \geq |V_0| - |S_0| \mbox{ edges cut in } E_0. \end{array}$

Edges in E_x connect corresponding nodes.

 \implies = $|S_0| - |S_1|$ edges cut in E_x .

Total edges cut:

$$\geq |S_1| + |V_0| - |S_0| + |S_0| - |S_1| = |V_0|$$
$$|V_0| = |V|/2 > |S|.$$

Also, case 3 where $|S_1| \ge |V|/2$ is symmetric.

Hypercubes and Boolean Functions.

The cuts in the hypercubes are exactly the transitions from 0 sets to 1 set on boolean functions on $\{0,1\}^n$.

Central area of study in computer science!

Yes/No Computer Programs \equiv Boolean function on $\{0,1\}^n$ Central object of study.