## **Homework Logistics**

- HW1 is due this Friday 09/02.
- Login to Gradescope \*TODAY\* to see if you have access to CS 70. If you still do not have access, read https://piazza.com/class/irwxcmgdofp2uz?cid=6.
- Homework must be submitted electronically to Gradescope as pdf but it may be prepared by hand, in LaTeX, or using Microsoft Word. Make sure the uploaded PDF is readable!!!

## Lecture Outline

Strengthening Induction Hypothesis.

#### Lecture Outline

Strengthening Induction Hypothesis. Strong Induction

#### Lecture Outline

Strengthening Induction Hypothesis. Strong Induction Well ordered principle.

**Theorem:** The sum of the first *n* odd numbers is a perfect square.

**Theorem:** The sum of the first *n* odd numbers is a perfect square. **Theorem:** The sum of the first *n* odd numbers is  $k^2$ .

**Theorem:** The sum of the first *n* odd numbers is a perfect square. **Theorem:** The sum of the first *n* odd numbers is  $k^2$ .

*k*th odd number is 2k - 1 for  $k \ge 1$ .

**Theorem:** The sum of the first *n* odd numbers is a perfect square. **Theorem:** The sum of the first *n* odd numbers is  $k^2$ .

> *k*th odd number is 2k - 1 for  $k \ge 1$ . Base Case 1 (1st odd number) is  $1^2$ .

**Theorem:** The sum of the first *n* odd numbers is a perfect square. **Theorem:** The sum of the first *n* odd numbers is  $k^2$ .

*k*th odd number is 2k - 1 for  $k \ge 1$ .

Base Case 1 (1st odd number) is 1<sup>2</sup>.

Induction Hypothesis Sum of first *k* odds is perfect square  $a^2 = k^2$ .

**Theorem:** The sum of the first *n* odd numbers is a perfect square. **Theorem:** The sum of the first *n* odd numbers is  $k^2$ .

*k*th odd number is 2k - 1 for  $k \ge 1$ .

Base Case 1 (1st odd number) is 1<sup>2</sup>.

Induction Hypothesis Sum of first *k* odds is perfect square  $a^2 = k^2$ .

Induction Step To prove that sum of first k + 1 odds is  $(k + 1)^2$ .

**Theorem:** The sum of the first *n* odd numbers is a perfect square. **Theorem:** The sum of the first *n* odd numbers is  $k^2$ .

*k*th odd number is 2k - 1 for  $k \ge 1$ .

Base Case 1 (1st odd number) is 1<sup>2</sup>.

Induction Hypothesis Sum of first *k* odds is perfect square  $a^2 = k^2$ .

Induction Step To prove that sum of first k + 1 odds is  $(k + 1)^2$ .

1. The (k + 1)st odd number is 2(k + 1) - 1 = 2k + 1.

**Theorem:** The sum of the first *n* odd numbers is a perfect square. **Theorem:** The sum of the first *n* odd numbers is  $k^2$ .

*k*th odd number is 2k - 1 for  $k \ge 1$ .

Base Case 1 (1st odd number) is 1<sup>2</sup>.

Induction Hypothesis Sum of first *k* odds is perfect square  $a^2 = k^2$ .

Induction Step To prove that sum of first k + 1 odds is  $(k + 1)^2$ .

- 1. The (k + 1)st odd number is 2(k + 1) 1 = 2k + 1.
- 2. Sum of the first k + 1 odds is  $a^2 + 2k + 1 = k^2 + 2k + 1$

**Theorem:** The sum of the first *n* odd numbers is a perfect square. **Theorem:** The sum of the first *n* odd numbers is  $k^2$ .

*k*th odd number is 2k - 1 for  $k \ge 1$ .

Base Case 1 (1st odd number) is 1<sup>2</sup>.

Induction Hypothesis Sum of first *k* odds is perfect square  $a^2 = k^2$ .

Induction Step To prove that sum of first k + 1 odds is  $(k + 1)^2$ .

1. The (k + 1)st odd number is 2(k + 1) - 1 = 2k + 1.

2. Sum of the first k + 1 odds is  $a^2 + 2k + 1 = k^2 + 2k + 1$ 3.  $k^2 + 2k + 1 = (k + 1)^2$ ... P(k+1)!



























Alright!















To Tile this  $4 \times 4$  courtyard.



Can we tile any  $2^n \times 2^n$  with *L*-tiles (with a hole)



To Tile this  $4 \times 4$  courtyard.



Can we tile any  $2^n \times 2^n$  with *L*-tiles (with a hole) for every *n*!

**Theorem:** Any tiling of  $2^n \times 2^n$  square has to have one hole.

**Theorem:** Any tiling of  $2^n \times 2^n$  square has to have one hole. **Proof:** Each tile covers 3 squares. The remainder of  $2^{2n}$  divided by 3 is 1.

**Theorem:** Any tiling of  $2^n \times 2^n$  square has to have one hole. **Proof:** Each tile covers 3 squares. The remainder of  $2^{2n}$  divided by 3 is 1.

Base case: true for n = 0.

**Theorem:** Any tiling of  $2^n \times 2^n$  square has to have one hole. **Proof:** Each tile covers 3 squares. The remainder of  $2^{2n}$  divided by 3 is 1.

Base case: true for n = 0.  $2^0 = 1$ 

**Theorem:** Any tiling of  $2^n \times 2^n$  square has to have one hole.

**Proof:** Each tile covers 3 squares. The remainder of  $2^{2n}$  divided by 3 is 1.

Base case: true for n = 0.  $2^0 = 1$ 

**Theorem:** Any tiling of  $2^n \times 2^n$  square has to have one hole.

**Proof:** Each tile covers 3 squares. The remainder of  $2^{2n}$  divided by 3 is 1.

Base case: true for n = 0.  $2^0 = 1$ 

$$2^{2(k+1)}$$

**Theorem:** Any tiling of  $2^n \times 2^n$  square has to have one hole. **Proof:** Each tile covers 3 squares. The remainder of  $2^{2n}$  divided by 3 is 1.

Base case: true for n = 0.  $2^0 = 1$ 

$$2^{2(k+1)} = 2^{2k} * 2^2$$

**Theorem:** Any tiling of  $2^n \times 2^n$  square has to have one hole. **Proof:** Each tile covers 3 squares. The remainder of  $2^{2n}$  divided by 3 is 1.

Base case: true for n = 0.  $2^0 = 1$ 

$$2^{2(k+1)} = 2^{2k} * 2^2 \\ = 4 * 2^{2k}$$

**Theorem:** Any tiling of  $2^n \times 2^n$  square has to have one hole. **Proof:** Each tile covers 3 squares. The remainder of  $2^{2n}$  divided by 3 is 1.

Base case: true for n = 0.  $2^0 = 1$ 

$$2^{2(k+1)} = 2^{2k} * 2^{2}$$
  
= 4 \* 2<sup>2k</sup>  
= 4 \* (3a+1)

**Theorem:** Any tiling of  $2^n \times 2^n$  square has to have one hole. **Proof:** Each tile covers 3 squares. The remainder of  $2^{2n}$  divided by 3 is 1.

Base case: true for n = 0.  $2^0 = 1$ Ind Hyp: n = k.  $2^{2k} = 3a + 1$  for integer a.

$$2^{2(k+1)} = 2^{2k} * 2^{2}$$
  
= 4 \* 2^{2k}  
= 4 \* (3a+1)  
= 12a+3+1

**Theorem:** Any tiling of  $2^n \times 2^n$  square has to have one hole. **Proof:** Each tile covers 3 squares. The remainder of  $2^{2n}$  divided by 3 is 1.

Base case: true for n = 0.  $2^0 = 1$ Ind Hyp: n = k.  $2^{2k} = 3a + 1$  for integer a.

$$2^{2(k+1)} = 2^{2k} * 2^{2}$$
  
= 4 \* 2<sup>2k</sup>  
= 4 \* (3a+1)  
= 12a+3+1  
= 3(4a+1)+1
## Hole have to be there? Maybe just one?

**Theorem:** Any tiling of  $2^n \times 2^n$  square has to have one hole. **Proof:** Each tile covers 3 squares. The remainder of  $2^{2n}$  divided by 3 is 1.

Base case: true for n = 0.  $2^0 = 1$ Ind Hyp: n = k.  $2^{2k} = 3a + 1$  for integer a.

$$2^{2(k+1)} = 2^{2k} * 2^{2}$$
  
= 4 \* 2<sup>2k</sup>  
= 4 \* (3a+1)  
= 12a+3+1  
= 3(4a+1)+7

a integer

### Hole have to be there? Maybe just one?

**Theorem:** Any tiling of  $2^n \times 2^n$  square has to have one hole. **Proof:** Each tile covers 3 squares. The remainder of  $2^{2n}$  divided by 3 is 1.

Base case: true for n = 0.  $2^0 = 1$ Ind Hyp: n = k.  $2^{2k} = 3a + 1$  for integer a.

$$2^{2(k+1)} = 2^{2k} * 2^{2}$$
  
= 4 \* 2<sup>2k</sup>  
= 4 \* (3a+1)  
= 12a+3+1  
= 3(4a+1)+1

a integer  $\implies$  (4a+1) is an integer.

### Hole have to be there? Maybe just one?

**Theorem:** Any tiling of  $2^n \times 2^n$  square has to have one hole. **Proof:** Each tile covers 3 squares. The remainder of  $2^{2n}$  divided by 3 is 1.

Base case: true for n = 0.  $2^0 = 1$ Ind Hyp: n = k.  $2^{2k} = 3a + 1$  for integer a.

$$2^{2(k+1)} = 2^{2k} * 2^{2}$$
  
= 4 \* 2<sup>2k</sup>  
= 4 \* (3a+1)  
= 12a+3+1  
= 3(4a+1)+1

a integer  $\implies$  (4a+1) is an integer.

**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  square to leave a hole adjacent to the center. **Proof:** 

**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  square to leave a hole adjacent to the center. **Proof:** 

Base case: A single tile works fine.

**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  square to leave a hole adjacent to the center. **Proof:** 

Base case: A single tile works fine.

The hole is adjacent to the center of the  $2 \times 2$  square.

**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  square to leave a hole adjacent to the center. **Proof:** 

Base case: A single tile works fine.

The hole is adjacent to the center of the  $2 \times 2$  square.

Induction Hypothesis:

**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  square to leave a hole adjacent to the center. **Proof:** 

Base case: A single tile works fine.

The hole is adjacent to the center of the  $2 \times 2$  square.

Induction Hypothesis:

Any  $2^n \times 2^n$  square can be tiled with a hole at the center.

**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  square to leave a hole adjacent to the center. **Proof:** 

Base case: A single tile works fine.

The hole is adjacent to the center of the  $2 \times 2$  square.

Induction Hypothesis:

Any  $2^n \times 2^n$  square can be tiled with a hole at the center.

2<sup>n+1</sup>



**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  square to leave a hole adjacent to the center. **Proof:** 

Base case: A single tile works fine.

The hole is adjacent to the center of the  $2 \times 2$  square.

Induction Hypothesis:

Any  $2^n \times 2^n$  square can be tiled with a hole at the center.

2<sup>n+1</sup>





**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  to leave a hole adjacent *anywhere*.

**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  to leave a hole adjacent *anywhere.* 

Better theorem

**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  to leave a hole adjacent *anywhere.* 

Better theorem ... stronger induction hypothesis!

**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  to leave a hole adjacent *anywhere.* 

Better theorem ... stronger induction hypothesis!

Base case: Sure. A tile is fine.

**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  to leave a hole adjacent *anywhere.* 

Better theorem ... stronger induction hypothesis!

Base case: Sure. A tile is fine. Flipping the orientation can leave hole anywhere.

**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  to leave a hole adjacent *anywhere.* 

Better theorem ... stronger induction hypothesis!

Base case: Sure. A tile is fine. Flipping the orientation can leave hole anywhere.

Induction Hypothesis:

**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  to leave a hole adjacent *anywhere.* 

Better theorem ... stronger induction hypothesis!

Base case: Sure. A tile is fine. Flipping the orientation can leave hole anywhere.

Induction Hypothesis: "Any  $2^n \times 2^n$  square can be tiled with a hole **anywhere**." Consider  $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$  square.

**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  to leave a hole adjacent *anywhere.* 

Better theorem ... stronger induction hypothesis!

Base case: Sure. A tile is fine.

Flipping the orientation can leave hole anywhere.

Induction Hypothesis:

"Any  $2^n \times 2^n$  square can be tiled with a hole **anywhere**." Consider  $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$  square.



**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  to leave a hole adjacent *anywhere.* 

Better theorem ... stronger induction hypothesis!

Base case: Sure. A tile is fine.

Flipping the orientation can leave hole anywhere.

Induction Hypothesis:

"Any  $2^n \times 2^n$  square can be tiled with a hole **anywhere**." Consider  $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$  square.



Use induction hypothesis in each.

**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  to leave a hole adjacent *anywhere.* 

Better theorem ... stronger induction hypothesis!

Base case: Sure. A tile is fine.

Flipping the orientation can leave hole anywhere.

Induction Hypothesis:

"Any  $2^n \times 2^n$  square can be tiled with a hole **anywhere**." Consider  $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$  square.



Use induction hypothesis in each.

**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  to leave a hole adjacent *anywhere.* 

Better theorem ... stronger induction hypothesis!

Base case: Sure. A tile is fine.

Flipping the orientation can leave hole anywhere.

Induction Hypothesis:

"Any  $2^n \times 2^n$  square can be tiled with a hole **anywhere**." Consider  $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$  square.



Use induction hypothesis in each.

Use L-tile and ...

**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  to leave a hole adjacent *anywhere.* 

Better theorem ... stronger induction hypothesis!

Base case: Sure. A tile is fine.

Flipping the orientation can leave hole anywhere.

Induction Hypothesis:

"Any  $2^n \times 2^n$  square can be tiled with a hole **anywhere**." Consider  $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$  square.



Use L-tile and ... we are done.

**Theorem:** Can tile the  $2^n \times 2^n$  to leave a hole adjacent *anywhere.* 

Better theorem ... stronger induction hypothesis!

Base case: Sure. A tile is fine.

Flipping the orientation can leave hole anywhere.

Induction Hypothesis:

"Any  $2^n \times 2^n$  square can be tiled with a hole **anywhere**." Consider  $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$  square.



Use L-tile and ... we are done.

**Theorem:** Every natural number n > 1 is either a prime or can be written as a product of primes.

**Theorem:** Every natural number n > 1 is either a prime or can be written as a product of primes.

Fact: A prime *n* has exactly 2 factors 1 and *n*.

**Theorem:** Every natural number n > 1 is either a prime or can be written as a product of primes.

Fact: A prime *n* has exactly 2 factors 1 and *n*.

**Base Case:** *n* = 2.

**Theorem:** Every natural number n > 1 is either a prime or can be written as a product of primes. **Fact:** A prime *n* has exactly 2 factors 1 and *n*. **Base Case:** n = 2. **Induction Step:** 

**Theorem:** Every natural number n > 1 is either a prime or can be written as a product of primes.

Fact: A prime *n* has exactly 2 factors 1 and *n*.

**Base Case:** *n* = 2.

Induction Step:

P(n) = "n is either a prime or a product of primes. "

**Theorem:** Every natural number n > 1 is either a prime or can be written as a product of primes.

Fact: A prime *n* has exactly 2 factors 1 and *n*.

**Base Case:** *n* = 2.

#### Induction Step:

P(n) = "n is either a prime or a product of primes. "

Either n + 1 is a prime or  $n + 1 = a \cdot b$  where 1 < a, b < n + 1.

**Theorem:** Every natural number n > 1 is either a prime or can be written as a product of primes.

Fact: A prime *n* has exactly 2 factors 1 and *n*.

**Base Case:** *n* = 2.

#### Induction Step:

P(n) = "*n* is either a prime or a product of primes. " Either n+1 is a prime or  $n+1 = a \cdot b$  where 1 < a, b < n+1. P(n) says nothing about a, b!

```
Theorem: Every natural number n > 1 is either a prime or can be written as a product of primes.

Fact: A prime n has exactly 2 factors 1 and n.

Base Case: n = 2.

Induction Step:

P(n) = "n is either a prime or a product of primes. "

Either n+1 is a prime or n+1 = a \cdot b where 1 < a, b < n+1.
```

P(n) says nothing about a, b!

#### Strong Induction Principle: If P(0) and

```
(\forall k \in N)((P(0) \land \ldots \land P(k)) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)),
```

then  $(\forall k \in N)(P(k))$ .

**Theorem:** Every natural number n > 1 is either a prime or can be written as a product of primes. **Fact:** A prime *n* has exactly 2 factors 1 and *n*. **Base Case:** n = 2.

#### Induction Step:

P(n) = "*n* is either a prime or a product of primes. " Either n+1 is a prime or  $n+1 = a \cdot b$  where 1 < a, b < n+1. P(n) says nothing about a, b!

#### Strong Induction Principle: If P(0) and

$$(\forall k \in N)((P(0) \land \ldots \land P(k)) \implies P(k+1)),$$

then  $(\forall k \in N)(P(k))$ .

$$P(0) \Longrightarrow P(1) \Longrightarrow P(2) \Longrightarrow P(3) \Longrightarrow \cdots$$

**Theorem:** Every natural number n > 1 is either a prime or can be written as a product of primes. **Fact:** A prime *n* has exactly 2 factors 1 and *n*. **Base Case:** n = 2. **Induction Step:**  P(n) = "*n* is either a prime or a product of primes. " Either n+1 is a prime or  $n+1 = a \cdot b$  where 1 < a, b < n+1. P(n) says nothing about a, b!

#### Strong Induction Principle: If P(0) and

```
(\forall k \in N)((P(0) \land \ldots \land P(k)) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)),
```

then  $(\forall k \in N)(P(k))$ .

 $P(0) \Longrightarrow P(1) \Longrightarrow P(2) \Longrightarrow P(3) \Longrightarrow \cdots$ 

Strong induction hypothesis: "a and b are products of primes"

**Theorem:** Every natural number n > 1 is either a prime or can be written as a product of primes. **Fact:** A prime *n* has exactly 2 factors 1 and *n*. **Base Case:** n = 2. **Induction Step:**  P(n) = "*n* is either a prime or a product of primes. " Either n+1 is a prime or  $n+1 = a \cdot b$  where 1 < a, b < n+1. P(n) says nothing about a, b!

#### Strong Induction Principle: If P(0) and

$$(\forall k \in N)((P(0) \land \ldots \land P(k)) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)),$$

then  $(\forall k \in N)(P(k))$ .

 $P(0) \Longrightarrow P(1) \Longrightarrow P(2) \Longrightarrow P(3) \Longrightarrow \cdots$ 

Strong induction hypothesis: "*a* and *b* are products of primes"  $\implies$  "*n*+1 = *a*·*b* 

**Theorem:** Every natural number n > 1 is either a prime or can be written as a product of primes. **Fact:** A prime *n* has exactly 2 factors 1 and *n*. **Base Case:** n = 2. **Induction Step:**  P(n) = "*n* is either a prime or a product of primes. " Either n+1 is a prime or  $n+1 = a \cdot b$  where 1 < a, b < n+1. P(n) says nothing about a, b!

#### Strong Induction Principle: If P(0) and

```
(\forall k \in N)((P(0) \land \ldots \land P(k)) \implies P(k+1)),
```

then  $(\forall k \in N)(P(k))$ .

 $P(0) \Longrightarrow P(1) \Longrightarrow P(2) \Longrightarrow P(3) \Longrightarrow \cdots$ 

Strong induction hypothesis: "a and b are products of primes"

 $\implies$  " $n+1 = a \cdot b =$  (factorization of a)(factorization of b)" n+1 can be written as the product of the prime factors!

```
Theorem: Every natural number n > 1 is either a prime or can be written as a product of primes.

Fact: A prime n has exactly 2 factors 1 and n.

Base Case: n = 2.

Induction Step:

P(n) = "n is either a prime or a product of primes. "

Either n+1 is a prime or n+1 = a \cdot b where 1 < a, b < n+1.

P(n) says nothing about a, b!
```

#### Strong Induction Principle: If P(0) and

```
(\forall k \in N)((P(0) \land \ldots \land P(k)) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)),
```

then  $(\forall k \in N)(P(k))$ .

 $P(0) \Longrightarrow P(1) \Longrightarrow P(2) \Longrightarrow P(3) \Longrightarrow \cdots$ 

Strong induction hypothesis: "a and b are products of primes"

 $\implies$  " $n+1 = a \cdot b =$  (factorization of a)(factorization of b)" n+1 can be written as the product of the prime factors!
Let  $Q(k) = P(0) \wedge P(1) \cdots P(k)$ .

Let 
$$Q(k) = P(0) \wedge P(1) \cdots P(k)$$
.

By the induction principle: "If Q(0), and  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k) \implies Q(k+1))$  then  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k))$ "

Let 
$$Q(k) = P(0) \wedge P(1) \cdots P(k)$$
.

By the induction principle: "If Q(0), and  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k) \implies Q(k+1))$  then  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k))$ "

Also,  $Q(0) \equiv P(0)$  , and

Let 
$$Q(k) = P(0) \wedge P(1) \cdots P(k)$$
.

By the induction principle: "If Q(0), and  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k) \implies Q(k+1))$  then  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k))$ "

Let 
$$Q(k) = P(0) \wedge P(1) \cdots P(k)$$
.

By the induction principle: "If Q(0), and  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k) \implies Q(k+1))$  then  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k))$ "

$$\begin{array}{l} (\forall k \in N)(Q(k) \implies Q(k+1)) \\ \equiv (\forall k \in N)((P(0) \cdots \land P(k)) \implies (P(0) \cdots P(k) \land P(k+1))) \end{array}$$

Let 
$$Q(k) = P(0) \wedge P(1) \cdots P(k)$$
.

By the induction principle: "If Q(0), and  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k) \implies Q(k+1))$  then  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k))$ "

$$\begin{array}{l} (\forall k \in N)(Q(k) \implies Q(k+1)) \\ \equiv (\forall k \in N)((P(0) \cdots \land P(k)) \implies (P(0) \cdots P(k) \land P(k+1))) \end{array}$$

Let 
$$Q(k) = P(0) \wedge P(1) \cdots P(k)$$
.

By the induction principle: "If Q(0), and  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k) \implies Q(k+1))$  then  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k))$ "

$$\begin{array}{l} (\forall k \in N)(Q(k) \implies Q(k+1)) \\ \equiv (\forall k \in N)((P(0) \cdots \land P(k)) \implies (P(0) \cdots P(k) \land P(k+1))) \\ \equiv (\forall k \in N)((P(0) \cdots \land P(k)) \implies P(k+1)) \end{array}$$

Let 
$$Q(k) = P(0) \wedge P(1) \cdots P(k)$$
.

By the induction principle: "If Q(0), and  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k) \implies Q(k+1))$  then  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k))$ "

$$\begin{array}{l} (\forall k \in N)(Q(k) \implies Q(k+1)) \\ \equiv (\forall k \in N)((P(0) \cdots \wedge P(k)) \implies (P(0) \cdots P(k) \wedge P(k+1))) \\ \equiv (\forall k \in N)((P(0) \cdots \wedge P(k)) \implies P(k+1)) \end{array}$$

Let 
$$Q(k) = P(0) \wedge P(1) \cdots P(k)$$
.

By the induction principle: "If Q(0), and  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k) \implies Q(k+1))$  then  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k))$ "

Also,  $Q(0) \equiv P(0)$ , and  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k)) \equiv (\forall k \in N)(P(k))$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} (\forall k \in \mathcal{N})(\mathcal{Q}(k) \implies \mathcal{Q}(k+1)) \\ \equiv (\forall k \in \mathcal{N})((\mathcal{P}(0) \cdots \wedge \mathcal{P}(k)) \implies (\mathcal{P}(0) \cdots \mathcal{P}(k) \wedge \mathcal{P}(k+1))) \\ \equiv (\forall k \in \mathcal{N})((\mathcal{P}(0) \cdots \wedge \mathcal{P}(k)) \implies \mathcal{P}(k+1)) \end{array}$ 

Let 
$$Q(k) = P(0) \wedge P(1) \cdots P(k)$$
.

By the induction principle: "If Q(0), and  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k) \implies Q(k+1))$  then  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k))$ "

Also,  $Q(0) \equiv P(0)$ , and  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k)) \equiv (\forall k \in N)(P(k))$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} (\forall k \in N)(Q(k) \implies Q(k+1)) \\ \equiv (\forall k \in N)((P(0) \cdots \land P(k)) \implies (P(0) \cdots P(k) \land P(k+1))) \\ \equiv (\forall k \in N)((P(0) \cdots \land P(k)) \implies P(k+1)) \end{array}$ 

Strong Induction Principle: If P(0) and

 $(\forall k \in N)((P(0) \land \ldots \land P(k)) \implies P(k+1)),$ 

then  $(\forall k \in N)(P(k))$ .

Let 
$$Q(k) = P(0) \wedge P(1) \cdots P(k)$$
.

By the induction principle: "If Q(0), and  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k) \Longrightarrow Q(k+1))$  then  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k))$ " Also,  $Q(0) \equiv P(0)$ , and  $(\forall k \in N)(Q(k)) \equiv (\forall k \in N)(P(k))$ 

Strong Induction Principle: If P(0) and

$$(\forall k \in N)((P(0) \land \ldots \land P(k)) \implies P(k+1)),$$

then  $(\forall k \in N)(P(k))$ .

If  $(\forall n)P(n)$  is not true, then  $(\exists n)\neg P(n)$ .

If  $(\forall n) P(n)$  is not true, then  $(\exists n) \neg P(n)$ .

Consider smallest *m*, with  $\neg P(m)$ ,

If  $(\forall n) P(n)$  is not true, then  $(\exists n) \neg P(n)$ .

Consider smallest *m*, with  $\neg P(m)$ ,

 $P(m-1) \implies P(m)$  must be false (assuming P(0) holds.)

If  $(\forall n) P(n)$  is not true, then  $(\exists n) \neg P(n)$ .

Consider smallest *m*, with  $\neg P(m)$ ,

 $P(m-1) \implies P(m)$  must be false (assuming P(0) holds.)

This is a proof of the induction principle!

l.e.,

$$\neg(\forall n P(n)) \implies ((\exists n) \neg (P(n-1) \implies P(n)).$$

If  $(\forall n) P(n)$  is not true, then  $(\exists n) \neg P(n)$ .

Consider smallest *m*, with  $\neg P(m)$ ,

 $P(m-1) \implies P(m)$  must be false (assuming P(0) holds.)

This is a proof of the induction principle! I.e.,

$$\neg(\forall n P(n)) \implies ((\exists n) \neg (P(n-1) \implies P(n)).$$

(Contrapositive of Induction principle (assuming P(0))

If  $(\forall n) P(n)$  is not true, then  $(\exists n) \neg P(n)$ .

Consider smallest *m*, with  $\neg P(m)$ ,

 $P(m-1) \implies P(m)$  must be false (assuming P(0) holds.)

This is a proof of the induction principle! I.e.,

$$\neg(\forall n P(n)) \implies ((\exists n) \neg (P(n-1) \implies P(n)).$$

(Contrapositive of Induction principle (assuming P(0)) It assumes that there is a smallest *m* where P(m) does not hold.

If  $(\forall n) P(n)$  is not true, then  $(\exists n) \neg P(n)$ .

Consider smallest *m*, with  $\neg P(m)$ ,

 $P(m-1) \implies P(m)$  must be false (assuming P(0) holds.)

This is a proof of the induction principle!

I.e.,

$$\neg(\forall n P(n)) \implies ((\exists n) \neg (P(n-1) \implies P(n)).$$

(Contrapositive of Induction principle (assuming P(0))

It assumes that there is a smallest m where P(m) does not hold.

The **Well ordering principle** states that for any subset of the natural numbers there is a smallest element.

Thm: For every natural number  $n \ge 12$ , n = 4x + 5y.

Thm: For every natural number  $n \ge 12$ , n = 4x + 5y. Instead of proof, let's write some code!

Thm: For every natural number  $n \ge 12$ , n = 4x + 5y. Instead of proof, let's write some code!

```
def find-x-y(n):
if (n==12) return (3,0)
elif (n==13): return(2,1)
elif (n==14): return(1,2)
elif (n==15): return(0,3)
else:
    (x,y) = find-x-y(n-4)
    return(x+1,y)
```

Thm: For every natural number  $n \ge 12$ , n = 4x + 5y. Instead of proof, let's write some code!

```
def find-x-y(n):
if (n==12) return (3,0)
elif (n==13): return(2,1)
elif (n==14): return(1,2)
elif (n==15): return(0,3)
else:
    (x,y) = find-x-y(n-4)
    return(x+1,y)
```

Base cases:

Thm: For every natural number  $n \ge 12$ , n = 4x + 5y. Instead of proof, let's write some code!

```
def find-x-y(n):
if (n==12) return (3,0)
elif (n==13): return(2,1)
elif (n==14): return(1,2)
elif (n==15): return(0,3)
else:
   (x,y) = find-x-y(n-4)
   return(x+1,y)
```

Base cases: P(12)

Thm: For every natural number  $n \ge 12$ , n = 4x + 5y. Instead of proof, let's write some code!

```
def find-x-y(n):
if (n==12) return (3,0)
elif (n==13): return(2,1)
elif (n==14): return(1,2)
elif (n==15): return(0,3)
else:
    (x,y) = find-x-y(n-4)
    return(x+1,y)
```

Base cases: P(12), P(13)

Thm: For every natural number  $n \ge 12$ , n = 4x + 5y. Instead of proof, let's write some code!

```
def find-x-y(n):
if (n==12) return (3,0)
elif (n==13): return(2,1)
elif (n==14): return(1,2)
elif (n==15): return(0,3)
else:
    (x,y) = find-x-y(n-4)
    return(x+1,y)
```

Base cases: P(12) , P(13) P(14)

Thm: For every natural number  $n \ge 12$ , n = 4x + 5y. Instead of proof, let's write some code!

```
def find-x-y(n):
if (n==12) return (3,0)
elif (n==13): return(2,1)
elif (n==14): return(1,2)
elif (n==15): return(0,3)
else:
    (x,y) = find-x-y(n-4)
    return(x+1,y)
```

Base cases: P(12) , P(13) P(14) P(15).

Thm: For every natural number  $n \ge 12$ , n = 4x + 5y. Instead of proof, let's write some code!

```
def find-x-y(n):
if (n==12) return (3,0)
elif (n==13): return(2,1)
elif (n==14): return(1,2)
elif (n==15): return(0,3)
else:
    (x,y) = find-x-y(n-4)
    return(x+1,y)
```

Base cases: P(12) , P(13) P(14) P(15). Yes.

Thm: For every natural number  $n \ge 12$ , n = 4x + 5y. Instead of proof, let's write some code!

```
def find-x-y(n):
if (n==12) return (3,0)
elif (n==13): return(2,1)
elif (n==14): return(1,2)
elif (n==15): return(0,3)
else:
   (x,y) = find-x-y(n-4)
   return(x+1,y)
```

Base cases: P(12) , P(13) P(14) P(15). Yes. Strong Induction step:

Thm: For every natural number  $n \ge 12$ , n = 4x + 5y. Instead of proof, let's write some code!

```
def find-x-y(n):
if (n==12) return (3,0)
elif (n==13): return(2,1)
elif (n==14): return(1,2)
elif (n==15): return(0,3)
else:
   (x,y) = find-x-y(n-4)
   return(x+1,y)
```

Base cases: P(12) , P(13) P(14) P(15). Yes.

Strong Induction step:

Recursive call is correct: P(n-4)

Thm: For every natural number  $n \ge 12$ , n = 4x + 5y. Instead of proof, let's write some code!

```
def find-x-y(n):
if (n==12) return (3,0)
elif (n==13): return(2,1)
elif (n==14): return(1,2)
elif (n==15): return(0,3)
else:
   (x,y) = find-x-y(n-4)
   return(x+1,y)
```

Base cases: P(12) , P(13) P(14) P(15). Yes.

Strong Induction step:

Recursive call is correct:  $P(n-4) \implies P(n)$ .

Thm: For every natural number  $n \ge 12$ , n = 4x + 5y. Instead of proof, let's write some code!

```
def find-x-y(n):
if (n==12) return (3,0)
elif (n==13): return(2,1)
elif (n==14): return(1,2)
elif (n==15): return(0,3)
else:
   (x,y) = find-x-y(n-4)
   return(x+1,y)
```

Base cases: P(12) , P(13) P(14) P(15). Yes.

Strong Induction step:

Recursive call is correct:  $P(n-4) \implies P(n)$ .

Slight differences: showed for all  $n \ge 16$  that  $\bigwedge_{i=4}^{n-1} P(i) \implies P(n)$ .

Theorem: All horses have the same color.

Theorem: All horses have the same color.

Base Case: P(1) - trivially true.

Theorem: All horses have the same color.

Base Case: P(1) - trivially true.

Induction Hypothesis: P(k) - Any k horses have the same color.

Theorem: All horses have the same color.

Base Case: P(1) - trivially true.

Induction Hypothesis: P(k) - Any k horses have the same color. Induction step P(k+1)?

Theorem: All horses have the same color.

Base Case: P(1) - trivially true.

Induction Hypothesis: P(k) - Any k horses have the same color. Induction step P(k+1)? First k have same color by P(k). 1,2,3,...,k,k+1
Theorem: All horses have the same color.

Base Case: P(1) - trivially true.

Induction Hypothesis: P(k) - Any k horses have the same color.

Induction step P(k+1)?

First *k* have same color by P(k). 1,2,3,...,*k*,*k*+1 Second *k* have same color by P(k). 1,2,3,...,*k*,*k*+1

**Theorem:** All horses have the same color.

Base Case: P(1) - trivially true.

Induction Hypothesis: P(k) - Any k horses have the same color.

Induction step P(k+1)?

First k have same color by P(k). 1,2,3,...,k,k+1 Second k have same color by P(k). 1,2,3,...,k,k+1 A horse in the middle in common!

 $1, 2, 3, \ldots, k, k+1$ 

**Theorem:** All horses have the same color.

Base Case: P(1) - trivially true.

Induction Hypothesis: P(k) - Any k horses have the same color.

Induction step P(k+1)?

First k have same color by P(k). Second k have same color by P(k). 1,2,3,...,k,k+1 A horse in the middle in common!  $1, 2, 3, \ldots, k, k+1$ All k must have the same color.

 $1, 2, 3, \ldots, k, k+1$ 1.2.3...k.k+1

Theorem: All horses have the same color.

```
Base Case: P(1) - trivially true.
```

```
Induction Hypothesis: P(k) - Any k horses have the same color.
```

```
Induction step P(k+1)?
First k have same color by P(k).
Second k have same color by P(k).
A horse in the middle in common!
```

```
How about P(1) \implies P(2)?
```

Theorem: All horses have the same color.

```
Base Case: P(1) - trivially true.
```

```
Induction Hypothesis: P(k) - Any k horses have the same color.
```

```
Induction step P(k+1)?
First k have same color by P(k).
Second k have same color by P(k).
A horse in the middle in common!
```

```
How about P(1) \implies P(2)?
```

Theorem: All horses have the same color.

```
Base Case: P(1) - trivially true.
```

```
Induction Hypothesis: P(k) - Any k horses have the same color.
```

Induction step P(k+1)?

First *k* have same color by P(k). 1,2 Second *k* have same color by P(k).

A horse in the middle in common!

```
How about P(1) \implies P(2)?
```

Theorem: All horses have the same color.

Base Case: P(1) - trivially true.

Induction Hypothesis: P(k) - Any k horses have the same color.

Induction step P(k+1)?

First *k* have same color by P(k). 1,2 Second *k* have same color by P(k). 1,2 A horse in the middle in common!

How about  $P(1) \implies P(2)$ ?

Theorem: All horses have the same color.

Base Case: P(1) - trivially true.

Induction Hypothesis: P(k) - Any k horses have the same color. Induction step P(k+1)? First k have same color by P(k). 1,2 Second k have same color by P(k). 1,2 A horse in the middle in common! 1,2 No horse in common! How about  $P(1) \implies P(2)$ ?

Theorem: All horses have the same color.

Base Case: P(1) - trivially true.

Induction Hypothesis: P(k) - Any k horses have the same color. Induction step P(k+1)? First k have same color by P(k). 1,2 Second k have same color by P(k). 1,2 A horse in the middle in common! 1,2 No horse in common! How about  $P(1) \implies P(2)$ ?

Theorem: All horses have the same color.

Base Case: P(1) - trivially true.

New Base Case: P(2): there are two horses with same color.

Induction Hypothesis: P(k) - Any k horses have the same color.

Induction step P(k+1)?

First k have same color by P(k).

Second *k* have same color by P(k).

A horse in the middle in common!

Fix base case.

Theorem: All horses have the same color.

Base Case: P(1) - trivially true.

New Base Case: P(2): there are two horses with same color.

Induction Hypothesis: P(k) - Any k horses have the same color.

Induction step P(k+1)?

First k have same color by P(k).

Second k have same color by P(k).

A horse in the middle in common!

Fix base case. ...Still doesn't work!!

Theorem: All horses have the same color.

Base Case: P(1) - trivially true.

New Base Case: P(2): there are two horses with same color.

Induction Hypothesis: P(k) - Any k horses have the same color.

Induction step P(k+1)?

First k have same color by P(k).

Second k have same color by P(k).

A horse in the middle in common!

Fix base case. ...Still doesn't work!! (There are two horses is ≢ For all two horses!!!)

Theorem: All horses have the same color.

Base Case: P(1) - trivially true.

New Base Case: P(2): there are two horses with same color.

Induction Hypothesis: P(k) - Any k horses have the same color.

Induction step P(k+1)?

First k have same color by P(k).

Second k have same color by P(k).

A horse in the middle in common!

Fix base case.

...Still doesn't work!!

(There are two horses is  $\neq$  For all two horses!!!)

Of course it doesn't work.

Theorem: All horses have the same color.

Base Case: P(1) - trivially true.

New Base Case: P(2): there are two horses with same color.

Induction Hypothesis: P(k) - Any k horses have the same color.

Induction step P(k+1)?

First k have same color by P(k).

Second k have same color by P(k).

A horse in the middle in common!

Fix base case.

...Still doesn't work!!

(There are two horses is  $\neq$  For all two horses!!!)

Of course it doesn't work.

As we will see, it is more subtle to catch errors in proofs of correct theorems!!

Today: More induction.

Today: More induction. (P(0))

Today: More induction.

 $(P(0) \land ((\forall k \in N)(P(k) \Longrightarrow P(k+1))))$ 

Today: More induction.

 $(P(0) \land ((\forall k \in N)(P(k) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)))) \Longrightarrow (\forall n \in N)(P(n))$ 

Today: More induction.

 $(P(0) \land ((\forall k \in N)(P(k) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)))) \Longrightarrow (\forall n \in N)(P(n))$ 

Statement to prove: P(n) for *n* starting from  $n_0$ 

Today: More induction.

 $(P(0) \land ((\forall k \in N)(P(k) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)))) \Longrightarrow (\forall n \in N)(P(n))$ 

Statement to prove: P(n) for *n* starting from  $n_0$ Base Case: Prove  $P(n_0)$ .

Today: More induction.

 $(P(0) \land ((\forall k \in N)(P(k) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)))) \Longrightarrow (\forall n \in N)(P(n))$ 

Statement to prove: P(n) for *n* starting from  $n_0$ Base Case: Prove  $P(n_0)$ . Ind. Step: Prove.

Today: More induction.

 $(P(0) \land ((\forall k \in N)(P(k) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)))) \Longrightarrow (\forall n \in N)(P(n))$ 

Statement to prove: P(n) for *n* starting from  $n_0$ Base Case: Prove  $P(n_0)$ . Ind. Step: Prove. For all values,  $n \ge n_0$ ,

Today: More induction.

 $(P(0) \land ((\forall k \in N)(P(k) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)))) \Longrightarrow (\forall n \in N)(P(n))$ 

Statement to prove: P(n) for *n* starting from  $n_0$ Base Case: Prove  $P(n_0)$ . Ind. Step: Prove. For all values,  $n \ge n_0$ ,  $P(n) \implies P(n+1)$ .

Today: More induction.

 $(P(0) \land ((\forall k \in N)(P(k) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)))) \Longrightarrow (\forall n \in N)(P(n))$ 

Statement to prove: P(n) for *n* starting from  $n_0$ Base Case: Prove  $P(n_0)$ . Ind. Step: Prove. For all values,  $n \ge n_0$ ,  $P(n) \implies P(n+1)$ . Statement is proven!

Today: More induction.

 $(P(0) \land ((\forall k \in N)(P(k) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)))) \Longrightarrow (\forall n \in N)(P(n))$ 

Statement to prove: P(n) for *n* starting from  $n_0$ Base Case: Prove  $P(n_0)$ . Ind. Step: Prove. For all values,  $n \ge n_0$ ,  $P(n) \implies P(n+1)$ . Statement is proven!

Strong Induction:

Today: More induction.

 $(P(0) \land ((\forall k \in N)(P(k) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)))) \Longrightarrow (\forall n \in N)(P(n))$ 

Statement to prove: P(n) for *n* starting from  $n_0$ Base Case: Prove  $P(n_0)$ . Ind. Step: Prove. For all values,  $n \ge n_0$ ,  $P(n) \implies P(n+1)$ . Statement is proven!

Strong Induction:  $(P(0) \land ((\forall n \le kP(n)) \implies P(k+1)))$ 

Today: More induction.

 $(P(0) \land ((\forall k \in N)(P(k) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)))) \Longrightarrow (\forall n \in N)(P(n))$ 

Statement to prove: P(n) for *n* starting from  $n_0$ Base Case: Prove  $P(n_0)$ . Ind. Step: Prove. For all values,  $n \ge n_0$ ,  $P(n) \implies P(n+1)$ . Statement is proven!

Strong Induction:

$$(P(0) \land ((\forall n \le kP(n)) \implies P(k+1))) \implies (\forall n \in N)(P(n))$$

Today: More induction.

 $(P(0) \land ((\forall k \in N)(P(k) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)))) \Longrightarrow (\forall n \in N)(P(n))$ 

Statement to prove: P(n) for *n* starting from  $n_0$ Base Case: Prove  $P(n_0)$ . Ind. Step: Prove. For all values,  $n \ge n_0$ ,  $P(n) \implies P(n+1)$ . Statement is proven!

Strong Induction:

$$(P(0) \land ((\forall n \le kP(n)) \implies P(k+1))) \implies (\forall n \in N)(P(n))$$

Also Today: strengthened induction hypothesis.

Today: More induction.

 $(P(0) \land ((\forall k \in N)(P(k) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)))) \Longrightarrow (\forall n \in N)(P(n))$ 

Statement to prove: P(n) for *n* starting from  $n_0$ Base Case: Prove  $P(n_0)$ . Ind. Step: Prove. For all values,  $n \ge n_0$ ,  $P(n) \implies P(n+1)$ . Statement is proven!

Strong Induction:  $(P(0) \land ((\forall n \le kP(n)) \implies P(k+1))) \implies (\forall n \in N)(P(n))$ 

Also Today: strengthened induction hypothesis.

Strengthen theorem statement. Sum of first *n* odds is  $n^2$ . Hole anywhere. Not same as strong induction.

Today: More induction.

 $(P(0) \land ((\forall k \in N)(P(k) \Longrightarrow P(k+1)))) \Longrightarrow (\forall n \in N)(P(n))$ 

Statement to prove: P(n) for *n* starting from  $n_0$ Base Case: Prove  $P(n_0)$ . Ind. Step: Prove. For all values,  $n \ge n_0$ ,  $P(n) \implies P(n+1)$ . Statement is proven!

Strong Induction:  $(P(0) \land ((\forall n \le kP(n)) \implies P(k+1))) \implies (\forall n \in N)(P(n))$ 

Also Today: strengthened induction hypothesis.

Strengthen theorem statement. Sum of first *n* odds is  $n^2$ . Hole anywhere. Not same as strong induction.

Induction  $\equiv$  Recursion.