70: Discrete Math and Probability Theory

Programming + Microprocessors $\equiv$ Superpower!
What are your super powerful programs/processors doing?
Logic and Proofs!
Induction $\equiv$ Recursion
What can computers do?
Work with discrete objects.
Discrete Math $\Longrightarrow$ immense application.
Computers learn and interact with the world?
E.g. machine learning, data analysis, robotics, .. Probability!
See note 1, for more discussion.

## Admin

## Course Webpage: http://www.eecs70.org

Explains policies, has office hours, homework, midterm dates, etc.

Two midterms, final
midterm 1 before drop date.
midterm 2 before grade option change.
Questions/Announcements $\Longrightarrow$ piazza: piazza.com/berkeley/fall2016/cs70

## Instructors

Instructor: Sanjit Seshia.
Professor of EECS (office: 566 Cory)
Starting 12th year at Berkeley.
PhD: in Computer Science, from Carnegie Mellon University.
Research: Formal Methods (a.k.a. Computational Proof Methods)
applied to cyber-physical systems (e.g. "self-driving" cars), computer security,
Taught: 149, 172, 144/244, 219C, EECS149.1x on edX, ...

## CS70: Lecture 1. Outline.

Today: Note 1. (Note 0 is background. Do read/skim it.) The language of proofs!

1. Propositions.
2. Propositional Forms.
3. Implication.
4. Truth Tables
5. Quantifiers
6. More De Morgan's Laws

## Instructors

Jean Walrand - Prof. of EECS - UCB
257 Cory Hall - walrand@berkeley.ed
was born in Belgium ${ }^{(1)}$ and came to Berkeley for my
hD. I have been teaching at UCB since 1982 .
My wife and I live in Berkeley. We have two daughters (UC alumni - Go Bears!). We like to ski and and jazz.


My research interests include stochastic systems,
networks and game theory.


Propositions: Statements that are true or false.

| $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational | Proposition | True |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $2+2=4$ | Proposition | True |
| $2+2=3$ | Proposition | False |
| 826 th digit of pi is 4 | Proposition | False |
| Jon Stewart is a good comedian | Not a Proposition |  |
| All evens $>2$ are unique sums of 2 primes | Proposition | False |
| $4+5$ | Not a Proposition. |  |
| $x+x$ | Not a Proposition. |  |

Again: "value" of a proposition is ... True or False

## Propositional Forms.

Put propositions together to make another...
Conjunction ("and"): $P \wedge Q$
" $P \wedge Q$ " is True when both $P$ and $Q$ are True . Else False .
Disjunction ("or"): $P \vee Q$
" $P \vee Q$ " is True when at least one $P$ or $Q$ is True . Else False.
Negation ("not"): $\neg P$
" $\neg P$ " is True when $P$ is False. Else False

## Examples:

$\neg$ " $(2+2=4)$ " $\quad$ a proposition that is ... False
" $2+2=3$ " $\wedge " 2+2=4$ " $\quad$ a proposition that is ... False
" $2+2=3$ " $V$ " $2+2=4$ " - a proposition that is ... True

Truth Tables for Propositional Forms.

| $P$ | $Q$ | $P \wedge Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | F |
| F | F | F |

One use for truth tables: Logical Equivalence of propositional forms!
Example: $\neg(P \wedge Q)$ logically equivalent to $\neg P \vee \neg Q$
...because the two propositional forms have the same..
....Truth Table!

| $P$ | $Q$ | $\neg(P \wedge Q)$ | $\neg P \vee \neg Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | F | F |
| T | F | F | F |
| F | T | F | F |
| F | F | T | T |

DeMorgan's Law's for Negation: distribute and flip!
$\neg(P \wedge Q) \equiv \neg P \vee \neg Q \quad \neg(P \vee Q) \equiv \neg P \wedge \neg Q$

## Propositional Forms: quick check!

$P=" \sqrt{2}$ is rational"
$Q=$ "826th digit of pi is 2 "
$P$ is ...False .
$Q$ is ...True.
$P \wedge Q \ldots$ False
$P \vee Q \ldots$ True
$\neg P$... True

## Implication.

$P \Longrightarrow Q$ interpreted as
If $P$, then $Q$.
True Statements: $P, P \Longrightarrow Q$.
Conclude: $Q$ is true
Example: Statement: If you stand in the rain, then you'll get wet.
$P=$ "you stand in the rain"
$Q=$ "you will get wet"
Statement: "Stand in the rain"
Can conclude: "you'll get wet."

## Put them together.

Propositions:
$P_{1}$ - Person 1 rides the bus.
$P_{2}$ - Person 2 rides the bus.
Suppose we can't have either of the following happen; That either person 1 or person 2 ride the bus and person 3 or 4 ride the bus. Or that person 2 or person 3 ride the bus and that
either person 4 ride the bus or person 5 doesn't.
Propositional Form:
$\neg\left(\left(\left(P_{1} \vee P_{2}\right) \wedge\left(P_{3} \vee P_{4}\right)\right) \vee\left(\left(P_{2} \vee P_{3}\right) \wedge\left(P_{4} \vee \neg P_{5}\right)\right)\right)$
Who can ride the bus?
What combinations of people can ride the bus?
This seems ...complicated.
We need a way to keep track!

Non-Consequences/consequences of Implication
The statement " $P \Longrightarrow Q$ "
only is False if $P$ is True and $Q$ is False .
False implies nothing
P False means $Q$ can be True or False
Anything implies true.
$P$ can be True or False when $Q$ is True
If chemical plant pollutes river, fish die.
If fish die, did chemical plant polluted river?
Not necessarily.
$P \Longrightarrow Q$ and $Q$ are True does not mean $P$ is True
nstead we have:
$P \Longrightarrow Q$ and $P$ are True does mean $Q$ is True
Be careful out there!
Some Fun: use propositional formulas to describe implication? $((P \Longrightarrow Q) \wedge P) \Longrightarrow Q$.

## Implication and English.

$P \Longrightarrow Q$

- If $P$, then $Q$.
- $Q$ if $P$.
- Ponly if $Q$.
- $P$ is sufficient for $Q$.
- $Q$ is necessary for $P$.


## Variables.

## Propositions?

- $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.
$x>2$
- $n$ is even and the sum of two primes

No. They have a free variable.
We call them predicates, e.g., $Q(x)=$ " $x$ is even"
Same as boolean valued functions from 61A or 61AS!

- $P(n)=" \sum_{i=1}^{n} i=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$."
- $R(x)=" x>2$ "
- $G(n)=$ " $n$ is even and the sum of two primes" Next: Statements about boolean valued functions!!


## Truth Table: implication.

| $P$ | $Q$ | $P \Longrightarrow Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | T |

$\neg P \vee Q \equiv P \Longrightarrow Q$.


These two propositional forms are logically equivalent!

## Quantifiers.

## There exists quantifier:

$(\exists x \in S)(P(x))$ means " $P(x)$ is true for some $x$ in $S$ "
Wait! What is $S$ ?
$S$ is the universe: "the type of $x$ ".
Universe examples include..

- $N=\{0,1, \ldots\}$ (natural numbers).
- $Z=\{\ldots,-1,0,1, \ldots\}$ (integers)
- $Z^{+}$(positive integers)
- See note 0 for more!


## Contrapositive, Converse

- Contrapositive of $P \Longrightarrow Q$ is $\neg Q \Longrightarrow \neg P$
- If the plant pollutes, fish die.
- If the fish don't die, the plant does not pollute. (contrapositive)
- If you stand in the rain, you get wet.
- If you did not stand in the rain, you did not get wet. (not contrapositive!) converse!
- If you did not get wet, you did not stand in the rain. (contrapositive.)
Logically equivalent! Notation: $\equiv$.
$P \Longrightarrow Q \equiv \neg P \vee Q \equiv \neg(\neg Q) \vee \neg P \equiv \neg Q \Longrightarrow \neg P$.
- Converse of $P \Longrightarrow Q$ is $Q \Longrightarrow P$

If fish die the plant pollutes
Not logically equivalent!

- Definition: If $P \Longrightarrow Q$ and $Q \Longrightarrow P$ is $P$ if and only if $Q$ or $P \Longleftrightarrow Q$.
(Logically Equivalent: $\Longleftrightarrow$.)


## Quantifiers..

## There exists quantifier:

$(\exists x \in S)(P(x))$ means " $P(x)$ is true for some $x$ in $S$
For example:

$$
(\exists x \in N)\left(x=x^{2}\right)
$$

Equivalent to " $(0=0) \vee(1=1) \vee(2=4) \vee \ldots$ "
Much shorter to use a quantifier!

## For all quantifier;

$(\forall x \in S)(P(x))$. means "For all $x$ in $S \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$ is True ."
Examples:
"Adding 1 makes a bigger number."

$$
(\forall x \in N)(x+1>x)
$$

"the square of a number is always non-negative"
$(\forall x \in N)\left(x^{2} \geq 0\right)$

Quantifiers are not commutative.

- Consider this English statement: "there is a natural number that is the square of every natural number", i.e the square of every natural number is the same number!

$$
(\exists y \in N)(\forall x \in N)\left(y=x^{2}\right) \quad \text { False }
$$

- Consider this one: "the square of every natural number is a natural number"..

$$
(\forall x \in N)(\exists y \in N)\left(y=x^{2}\right) \quad \text { True }
$$

## Which Theorem?

Theorem: $\forall n \in N\left(n \geq 3 \Longrightarrow \neg\left(\exists a, b, c \in N a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}\right)\right)$
Which Theorem?
Fermat's Last Theorem!
Remember Right-Angled Triangles: for $n=2$, we have 3,4,5 and 5,7, 12 and ... (Pythagorean triples)
1637: Proof doesn't fit in the margins.
1993: Wiles ...(based in part on Ribet's Theorem)
DeMorgan Restatement
Theorem: $\neg\left(\exists n \in N \exists a, b, c \in N\left(n \geq 3 \wedge a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}\right)\right)$

## Quantifiers....negation...DeMorgan again.

Consider

$$
\neg(\forall x \in S)(P(x))
$$

By DeMorgan's law,

$$
\neg(\forall x \in S)(P(x)) \Longleftrightarrow \exists(x \in S)(\neg P(x)) .
$$

English: there is an $x$ in $S$ where $P(x)$ does not hold. What we do in this course! We consider claims.
Claim: $(\forall x) P(x) \quad$ "For all inputs x the program works."
For False, find $x$, where $\neg P(x)$.
Counterexample.
Bad input.
Case that illustrates bug.
For True : prove claim. Next lectures...

## Summary.

Propositions are statements that are true or false.
Propositional forms use $\wedge, \vee, \neg$.
The meaning of a propositional form is given by its truth table.
Logical equivalence of forms means same truth tables.
Implication: $P \Longrightarrow Q \Longleftrightarrow \neg P \vee Q$.
Contrapositive: $\neg Q \Longrightarrow \neg P$
Converse: $Q \Longrightarrow P$
Predicates: Statements with "free" variables.
Quantifiers: $\forall x P(x), \exists y Q(y)$
Now can state theorems! And disprove false ones!
DeMorgans Laws: "Flip and Distribute negation"
$\neg(P \vee Q) \Longleftrightarrow(\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$
$\neg \forall x P(x) \Longleftrightarrow \quad \exists x \neg P(x)$
Next Time: proofs!

## Negation of exists.

## Consider

$$
\neg(\exists x \in S)(P(x))
$$

Equivalent to:

$$
\neg(\exists x \in S)(P(x)) \Longleftrightarrow \forall(x \in S) \neg P(x) .
$$

English: means that for all $x$ in $S, P(x)$ does not hold.

