

Due on Wed, April 7 at 11:59PM (283 Soda)

1. (20 pts.) Independent Random Variables

Two random variables X and Y on the same probability space are said to be *independent* if the events “ $X = a$ ” and “ $Y = b$ ” are independent for all pairs of values a, b . (i.e., the value taken on by X has no effect on the distribution of Y , and vice versa).

- (a) Show that, for independent random variables X and Y , we have

$$\mathbf{E}[XY] = \mathbf{E}[X]\mathbf{E}[Y]$$

(Hint: Show first that even if the random variables are *not* independent, $\mathbf{E}[XY] = \sum_a \sum_b ab \cdot \Pr[X = a \wedge Y = b]$.)

- (b) Give a simple example to show that the conclusion of part (a) is not necessarily true when X and Y are not independent.

2. (20 pts.) Random Variables in GF_p

Let the random variables X and Y be distributed independently and uniformly at random in the set $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$, where $p > 2$ is a prime.

- (a) What is the expectation $\mathbf{E}[X]$?
- (b) Let $S = (X + Y) \bmod p$ and $T = XY \bmod p$. What are the distributions of S and T ?
- (c) What are the expectations $\mathbf{E}[S]$ and $\mathbf{E}[T]$?
- (d) By linearity of expectation, we might expect that $\mathbf{E}[S] = (\mathbf{E}[X] + \mathbf{E}[Y]) \bmod p$. Explain why this does not hold in the present context—i.e., why does the value for $\mathbf{E}[S]$ obtained in part (c) not contradict linearity of expectation?
- (e) Since X and Y are independent, we might expect that $\mathbf{E}[T] = \mathbf{E}[X]\mathbf{E}[Y] \bmod p$. Does this hold in this case? Explain why or why not.

3. (20 pts.) The Martingale

Consider a *fair game* in a casino: on each play, you may stake any amount $\$X$; you win or lose with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ each (all plays being independent); if you win you get your stake back plus $\$X$; if you lose, you lose your stake.

- (a) What is the expected number of plays before your first win (including the play on which you win)?
- (b) The following gambling strategy, known as the “martingale,” was popular in European casinos in the 18th century: on the first play, stake $\$1$; on the second play $\$2$; on the third play $\$4$; and in general, on the k th play $\$2^{k-1}$. Stop (and leave the casino!) when you first win. Show that if you follow this strategy, and assuming you have unlimited funds available, then you will leave the casino $\$1$ richer with probability 1. (Maybe this is why the strategy is banned in most modern casinos).

- (c) To discover the catch in this seemingly infallible strategy, let X be the random variable that measures your maximum loss before winning (i.e., the amount of money you have lost *before* the play on which you win.) Show that $\mathbf{E}[X] = \infty$. What does this imply about your ability to play the martingale strategy in practice?