1. MOESI Cache Coherency

MOESI Protocol

- Invalid → Read Miss Exclusive → Exclusive → Write Hit
- Read Miss Shared → Shared → Read Hit
- Write Miss (WB/Write Alloc) → Modified → Write Hit
- Read Hit → Owned
- Write Hit → Modified
- Probe Read Hit → Owned
- Probe Write Hit → Invalid
- Probe Write Hit → Exclusive
- Probe Write Hit → Modified
- Probe Read Hit → Shared
- Probe Read Hit → Owned
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CS61C Su17 - Lecture 20
With the MOESI concurrency protocol implemented, accesses to cache accesses appear *serializable*. This means that the result of the parallel cache accesses appear the same as if there were done in serial from one processor in some ordering.

1. Consider the following access pattern on a two-processor system with a direct-mapped, write-back cache with one cache block and a two cache block memory. *Assume* the MOESI protocol is used, with write-back caches, write-allocate, and invalidation of other caches on write (instead of updating the value in the other caches).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Cache up to date?</th>
<th>Memory up to date?</th>
<th>Others have a copy?</th>
<th>Can write without changing state?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modified</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owned</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invalid</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. What is the advantage of MOESI over MESI? (Hint: notice a key difference between MOESI and MESI, what state does MOESI have that MESI doesn’t and how might that state be advantageous?)

2. Concurrency

Consider the following function:

```c
void transferFunds(struct account *from, struct account *to, long cents) {
    from->cents -= cents;
    to->cents += cents;
}
```

a. What are some data races that could occur if this function is called simultaneously from two (or more) threads on the same accounts? (Hint: if the problem isn’t obvious, translate the function into MIPS first)

b. How could you fix or avoid these races? Can you do this without hardware support?
3. Data race and Atomic operations.

The benefits of multi-threading programming come only after you understand concurrency. Here are two most common concurrency issues:

- **Cache-incoherence**: each hardware thread has its own cache, hence data modified in one thread may not be immediately reflected in the other. The can often be solved by bypassing cache and writing directly to memory, i.e. using volatile keyword in many languages.
- The famous **Read-modify-write**: Read-modify-write is a very common pattern in programming. In the context of multi-thread programming, the **interleaving** of R,M,W stages often produces a lot of issues.

To solve problem with Read-modify-write, we have to rely on the idea of **undisrupted execution**.

In RISC-V, we have two categories of atomic instructions:

- Load-reserve, store-conditional (undisrupted execution across multiple instructions)
- Amo.swap (single, undisrupted memory operation) and other amo operations.

Both can be used to achieve atomic primitives, here are two examples.

### Test-and-set

```plaintext
Start: addi t0 x0 1  #locked state is 1
amoswap.w.aq t1 t0 (a0)
bne t1 x0 Start  #if the lock is not free, retry

... #critical section

amoswap.w.rl x0 x0 a0  #release lock
```

### Compare-and-swap

```plaintext
Start: lr a3 (a0)
amoswap.w.rl x0 x0 a0  #release lock
```

### Instruction definitions:

- **Load-reserve**: Loads the four bytes from memory at address \( x[rs1] \), writes them to \( x[rd] \), sign-extending the result, and registers a reservation on that memory word.
- **Store-conditional**: Stores the four bytes in register \( x[rs2] \) to memory at address \( x[rs1] \), provided there exists a load reservation on that memory address. Writes 0 to \( x[rd] \) if the store succeeded, or a nonzero error code otherwise.
- **Amoswap**: Atomically, let \( t \) be the value of the memory word at address \( x[rs1] \), then set that memory word to \( x[rs2] \). Set \( x[rd] \) to the sign extension of \( t \).

Question: why do we need special instructions for these operations? Why can’t we use normal load and store for \( lr \) and \( sc \)? Why can’t we expand amoswap to a normal load and store?