

Representation for ± ∞

 In FP, divide by 0 should produce ±∞, not overflow.

•Whv?

CS61C L11 Floating Point II (11)

decimal.

pattern.

fields.

Cal


- OK to do further computations with ∞ E.g., X/0 > Y may be a valid comparison
- · Ask math majors
- IEEE 754 represents ±∞
 - Most positive exponent reserved for ∞
 - Significands all zeroes


Representation for 0 Represent 0?


- · exponent all zeroes
- · significand all zeroes too
- What about sign?
- •+0: 0 0000000 0000000000000000000000
- Why two zeroes?


CS6<u>1C L11 Floating Point II (12)</u>


- · Helps in some limit comparisons
- · Ask math majors

























Garcia, Fall 2005 © UCB






















MIPS Floating Point Architecture (2/4) Problems: Inefficient to have different instructions take vastly differing amounts of time. Generally, a particular piece of data will not change FP ⇔ int within a program. Only 1 type of instruction will be used on it. Some programs do no FP calculations It takes lots of hardware relative to integers to do FP fast





F	Peer Instruction		
		100	
1.	Converting float -> int -> float	ABC 1: FFF	ן
1.	Converting float -> int -> float produces same float number		
	produces same float number	1: FFF	
1. 2.		1: FFF 2: FFT	
	produces same float number Converting int -> float -> int produces	1: FFF 2: FFT 3: FTF	
	produces same float number Converting int -> float -> int produces	1: FFF 2: FFT 3: FTF 4: FTT	
2.	<pre>produces same float number Converting int -> float -> int produces same int number</pre>	1: FFF 2: FFT 3: FTF 4: FTT 5: TFF	