1 Creating Cats

Given the Animal class, fill in the definition of the Cat class so that it makes a "Meow!" noise when greet() is called. Assume this noise is all caps for kittens, i.e. cats less than 2 years old.

```java
public class Animal {
    protected String name, noise;
    protected int age;
    public Animal(String name, int age) {
        this.name = name;
        this.age = age;
        this.noise = "Huh?";
    }
    public String makeNoise() {
        if (age < 2) {
            return noise.toUpperCase();
        }
        return noise;
    }
    public String greet() {
        return name + ": " + makeNoise();
    }
}

class Cat extends Animal {
    public Cat(String name, int age) {
        super(name, age);
        this.noise = "Meow!";
    }
}
```

Inheritance is powerful because it allows us to reuse code for related classes. With the Cat class here, we just have to re-write the constructor to get all the goodness of the Animal class.

Why is it necessary to call super(name, age); within the Cat constructor? It turns out that a subclass’s constructor by default always calls its parent class’s constructor (aka a super constructor). If we didn’t specify the call to the Animal super constructor that takes in a String and a int, we’d get a compiler error. This is because the default super constructor (super();) would have been called. Only problem is that the Animal class has no such zero-argument constructor! By explicitly calling super(name, age); in the first line of the Cat constructor, we avoid calling the default super constructor.

Similarly, not providing any explicit constructor at all in the Cat implementation would also result in code that does not compile. This is because when there are no constructors available in a class, Java automatically inserts a no-argument constructor for you. In that no-argument constructor, Java will then attempt to call the default super constructor, which again, does not exist.

Also note that declaring a noise field at the top of the Cat class would not be correct. Since in Java, fields are bound at compile time, when the parent class’s makeNoise() function calls upon noise, we will receive "Huh?". Because of this confusing subtlety of Java, which is called field hiding, it is generally a bad idea to have an instance variable in both a superclass and a subclass with the same name.
2  Impala-ments

a) We have two interfaces, BigBaller and ShotCaller. We also have LilTroy, a concrete class, which should implement BigBaller and ShotCaller. Fill out the blank lines below so that the code compiles correctly.

```java
interface BigBaller {
    void ball();
}

interface ShotCaller {
    void callShots();
}

public class LilTroy implements BigBaller, ShotCaller {
    public void ball() {
        System.out.println("Wanna be a, baller");
    }
    public void callShots() {
        System.out.println("Shot caller");
    }
    public void rap() {
        System.out.println("Say: Twenty inch blades on the Impala");
    }
}
```

b) We have a BallCourt where ballers should be able to come and play. However, the below code demonstrates an example of bad program design. Right now, only LilTroy instances can ball, since the play method can only take in an argument of type LilTroy.

```java
public class BallCourt {
    public void play(LilTroy lilTroy) {
        lilTroy.ball();
    }
}
```

Fix the play method so that all the BigBallers can ball, rather than just LilTroys.

```java
public class BallCourt {
    public void play(BigBaller baller) {
        baller.ball();
    }
}
```

c) We discover that Rappers have some common behaviors, leading to the following class.

```java
class Rapper {
    public abstract String getLine();
    public final void rap() {
        System.out.println("Say: " + getLine());
    }
}
```

Will the above class compile? If not, why not, and how could we fix it? This class will NOT compile. Rapper class has a method named getLine, which is declared abstract. It does not have any method implementation. Would it be possible to create an object from a class where a method lacks the implementation? Definitely not! By adding the abstract keyword before
the `class` keyword, the class will compile normally. The first line should look like abstract class `Rapper`. Note that abstract classes cannot be initialized, but their children classes can be, as long as they implement any abstract methods.

d) Rewrite `LilTroy` so that `LilTroy` extends `Rapper` and displays exactly the same behavior as in part a) without overriding the `rap` method (in fact, you cannot override final methods).

```java
public class LilTroy extends Rapper implements BigBaller, ShotCaller {
    @Override
    public void ball() {
        System.out.println("Wanna be a, baller");
    }

    @Override
    public void callShots() {
        System.out.println("Shot caller");
    }

    @Override
    public String getLine() {
        return "Twenty inch blades on the Impala";
    }
}
```

Note that most of the `Rapper`'s implementation can be reused in all its subclasses, as long as they correctly implement `getLine`. `Rapper` captures a reusable and common behavior (`rap`), while delegating some parts of implementations to its subclasses.

Here, we also wrote `@Override` above the methods we intended to override. While this annotation line is optional, if included, the compiler will bring any such labeled functions that aren’t actually correctly overriding anything to your attention.

3 Raining Cats & Dogs

We now have the `Dog` class! (Assume that the `Cat` and `Dog` classes are both in the same file as the `Animal` class.)

```java
class Dog extends Animal {
    public Dog(String name, int age) {
        super(name, age);
        noise = "Woof!";
    }

    public void playFetch() {
        System.out.println("Fetch, " + name + "!");
    }
}
```

Consider the following `main` function in the `Animal` class. Decide whether each line causes a compile time error, a runtime error, or no error. If a line works correctly, draw a box-and-pointer diagram and/or note what the line prints. It may be useful to refer to the `Animal` class back on the first page.

```java
public static void main(String[] args) {
```
Cat nyan = new Animal("Nyan Cat", 5);  // (A) compile time error

The static type of nyan must be the same class or a superclass of the dynamic type. It doesn’t make sense for the dynamic type to be the superclass of the static type - i.e. in this example, not all Animals are Cats, so an attempt at a dangerous initialization like this would be caught as an error. Note that doing the opposite, as in the next line, is fine, since all Cats are Animals.

Animal a = new Cat("Olivia Benson", 3);  // (B) no error
a = new Dog("Fido", 7);  // (C) no error
System.out.println(a.greet());  // (D) "Fido: Woof!"
a.playFetch();  // (E) compile time error

The compiler attempts to find the method playFetch in the Animal class (a’s static type). Because it does not find it there, there is an error because the compiler does not check the Dog class (dynamic type) at compile time.

Dog d1 = a;  // (F) compile time error

The compiler views the type of variable a to be Animal because that is its static type. It doesn’t make sense to assign an Animal to a Dog variable, as in the first error case.

Dog d2 = (Dog) a;  // (G) no error

d2.playFetch();  // (H) "Fetch, Fido!"
(Dog) a.playFetch();  // (I) compile time error

Parentheses are important when casting. Here, the cast happens after a.playFetch() is evaluated. The return type of playFetch() is void, and it makes no sense to cast something void to a Dog. More formally, when casting to a specific type, the new type must be in the same inheritance hierarchy as the existing type (in this case, void (i.e. null) isn’t in the same inheritance family as Dog, since it can never be a Dog). Something that would work is: ((Dog) a).playFetch();

Animal imposter = new Cat("Pedro", 12);  // (J) no error
Dog fakeDog = (Dog) imposter;  // (K) runtime error

The compiler sees that we’d like to treat imposter like a Dog. Since imposter’s static type is Animal, so it’s actually possible that its dynamic type is Dog, so the casting will compile (unlike in the previous case). However, at runtime, we see a ClassCastException because imposter’s dynamic type (Cat) is not compatible with Dog.

Cat failImposter = new Cat("Jimmy", 21);  // (L) no error
Dog failDog = (Dog) failImposter;  // (M) compile time error

The compiler sees that we’d like to treat failImposter like a Dog. However, unlike the example above, failImposter’s static type is Cat, so it’s impossible that its dynamic type is actually Dog. Thus, the compiler states that these are inconvertible (incompatible) types.

}
Cross out any lines that cause compile or runtime errors. What does the main program output after removing those lines?

Moral of the story: fields are hidden if also defined in the subclass, and therefore you should avoid doing that because it makes the code confusing.

```java
class A {
    int x = 5;
    public void m1() {System.out.println("Am1-> " + x);}  // A
    public void m2() {System.out.println("Am2-> " + this.x);}  // A
    public void update() {x = 99;}
}
class B extends A {
    int x = 10;
    public void m2() {System.out.println("Bm2-> " + x);}  // B
    public void m3() {System.out.println("Bm3-> " + super.x);}
    public void m4() {System.out.println("Bm4-> "); super.m2();}
}
class C extends B {
    int y = x + 1;
    public void m2() {System.out.println("Cm2-> " + super.x);}  // C
    /* public void m3() {System.out.println("Cm3-> " + super.super.x);} */
    public void m4() {System.out.println("Cm4-> "); super.m2();}
    /* public void m5() {System.out.println("Cm5-> " + super.y);} */
    super.super is invalid syntax. You cannot actually access the grandparent’s x from this grandchild class in this case, since B’s variable of the same name "hides" it. It’d be possible if B had a helper method that accessed its parent’s (A’s) x variable, which doesn’t exist here.
    public void m4() {System.out.println("Cm4-> " + y);}  // C
    /* public void m5() {System.out.println("Cm5-> " + super.y);} */
}
class D {
    public static void main (String[] args) {
        A b0 = new B();  // D
        System.out.println(b0.x);  // D
        b0.m1();  // D
        b0.m2();  // D
        b0.m3();  // D
        b0.update();  // D
        b0.m1();  // D
    }
}
```
If you’re curious, you can read more about field hiding at [this link](#).