CS61B Lecture #18

Topics


- Views
- Generic Implementation
- Array vs. linked: tradeoffs
- Sentinels
- Specialized sequences: stacks, queues, deques
- Circular buffering
- Recursion and stacks
- Adapters

Readings: Data Structures, Chapter 2, 3 (for today), and 4 (Friday).

Views

New Concept: A view is an alternative presentation of (interface to) an existing object.

• For example, the sublist method is supposed to yield a "view of" part of an existing list:



- Example: after L.set(2, "bag"), value of SL.get(1) is "bag", and after SL.set(1, "bad"), value of L.get(2) is "bad".
- Example: after SL.clear(), L will contain only "at" and "cat".
- Small challenge: "How do they do that?!"

Maps

• A Map is a kind of "modifiable function:"

```
package java.util;
public interface Map<Key,Value> {
  Value get(Object key); // Value at KEY.
  Object put(Key key, Value value); // Set get(KEY) -> VALUE
  ...
}
```

```
Map<String,String> f = new TreeMap<String,String>();
f.put("Paul", "George"); f.put("George", "Martin");
f.put("Dana", "John");
// Now f.get("Paul").equals("George")
// f.get("Dana").equals("John")
// f.get("Tom") == null
```

Map Views

public interface Map<Key,Value> { // Continuation

/* Views of Maps */

```
/** The set of all keys. */
Set<Key> keySet();
```

/** The multiset of all values that can be returned by get.
 * (A multiset is a collection that may have duplicates). */
Collection<Value> values();

```
/** The set of all(key, value) pairs */
  Set<Map.Entry<Key,Value>> entrySet();
}
```

View Examples

Using example from a previous slide:

```
Map<String,String> f = new TreeMap<String,String>();
f.put("Paul", "George"); f.put("George", "Martin");
f.put("Dana", "John");
```

we can take various views of f:

```
for (Iterator<String> i = f.keySet().iterator(); i.hasNext();)
    i.next() ===> Dana, George, Paul
// or, more succinctly:
for (String name : f.keySet())
    name ===> Dana, George, Paul
for (String parent : f.values())
    parent ===> John, Martin, George
for (Map.Entry<String,String> pair : f.entrySet())
    pair ===> (Dana,John), (George,Martin), (Paul,George)
f.keySet().remove("Dana"); // Now f.get("Dana") == null
```

Simple Banking I: Accounts

Problem: Want a simple banking system. Can look up accounts by name or number, deposit or withdraw, print.

Account Structure

```
class Account {
   Account(String name, String number, int init) {
     this.name = name; this.number = number;
     this.balance = init;
   }
   /** Account-holder's name */
   final String name;
   /** Account number */
   final String number;
   /** Current balance */
   int balance;
   /** Print THIS on STR in some useful format. */
   void print(PrintWriter str) { ... }
```

}

Simple Banking II: Banks

```
class Bank {
   /* These variables maintain mappings of String -> Account. They keep
   * the set of keys (Strings) in "compareTo" order, and the set of
   * values (Accounts) is ordered according to the corresponding keys. */
   SortedMap<String,Account> accounts = new TreeMap<String,Account>();
   SortedMap<String,Account> names = new TreeMap<String,Account>();
```

```
void openAccount(String name, int initBalance) {
   Account acc =
        new Account(name, chooseNumber(), initBalance);
        accounts.put(acc.number, acc);
        names.put(name, acc);
}
```

```
void deposit(String number, int amount) {
   Account acc = accounts.get(number);
   if (acc == null) ERROR(...);
   acc.balance += amount;
}
// Likewise for withdraw.
```

Banks (continued): Iterating

Printing out Account Data

```
/** Print out all accounts sorted by number on STR. */
void printByAccount(PrintStream str) {
    // accounts.values() is the set of mapped-to values. Its
    // iterator produces elements in order of the corresponding keys.
    for (Account account : accounts.values())
        account.print(str);
}
/** Print out all bank acconts sorted by name on STR. */
void printByName(PrintStream str) {
    for (Account account : names.values())
        account.print(str);
}
```

A Design Question: What would be an appropriate representation for keeping a record of all transactions (deposits and withdrawals) against each account?

Partial Implementations

- Besides interfaces (like List) and concrete types (like LinkedList), Java library provides abstract classes such as AbstractList.
- Idea is to take advantage of the fact that operations are related to each other.
- Example: once you know how to do get(k) and size() for an implementation of List, you can implement all the other methods needed for a read-only list (and its iterators).
- Now throw in add(k,x) and you have all you need for the additional operations of a growable list.
- Add set(k,x) and remove(k) and you can implement everything else.

Example: The java.util.AbstractList helper class

```
public abstract class AbstractList<Item> implements List<Item> {
   /** Inherited from List */
   // public abstract int size();
   // public abstract Item get(int k);
   public boolean contains(Object x) {
      for (int i = 0; i < size(); i += 1) {</pre>
        if ((x == null && get(i) == null) ||
            (x != null && x.equals(get(i))))
          return true;
      }
      return false;
   }
   /* OPTIONAL: By default, throw exception; override to do more. */
   void add(int k, Item x) {
     throw new UnsupportedOperationException();
   }
   Likewise for remove, set
```

Example, continued: AListIterator

```
// Continuing abstract class AbstractList<Item>:
    public Iterator<Item> iterator() { return listIterator(); }
    public ListIterator<Item> listIterator() { return new AListIterator(this); }
    private static class AListIterator implements ListIterator<Item> {
        AbstractList<Item> myList;
```

```
AListIterator(AbstractList<Item> L) { myList = L; }
```

```
/** Current position in our list. */
```

```
int where = 0;
```

}

}

. . .

```
public boolean hasNext() { return where < myList.size(); }
public Item next() { where += 1; return myList.get(where-1); }
public void add(Item x) { myList.add(where, x); where += 1; }
... previous, remove, set, etc.</pre>
```

Example: Using AbstractList

Problem: Want to create a *reversed view* of an existing List (same elements in reverse order).

```
public class ReverseList<Item> extends AbstractList<Item> {
 private final List<Item> L;
  public ReverseList(List<Item> L) { this.L = L; }
  public int size() { return L.size(); }
  public Item get(int k) { return L.get(L.size()-k-1); }
  public void add(int k, Item x)
    { L.add(L.size()-k, x); }
  public Item set(int k, Item x)
    { return L.set(L.size()-k-1, x); }
 public Item remove(int k)
    { return L.remove(L.size() - k - 1); }
}
```

Aside: Another way to do AListIterator

It's also possible to make the nested class non-static:

```
public Iterator<Item> iterator() { return listIterator(); }
public ListIterator<Item> listIterator() { return this.new AListIterator(); }
```

```
private class AListIterator implements ListIterator<Item> {
    /** Current position in our list. */
    int where = 0;
    public boolean hasNext() { return where < AbstractList.this.size(); }
    public Item next() { where += 1; return AbstractList.this.get(where-1); }</pre>
```

```
public void add(Item x) { AbstractList.this.add(where, x); where += 1; }
... previous, remove, set, etc.
```

}

}

. . .

- Here, AbstractList.this means "the AbstractList I am attached to" and X.new AListIterator means "create a new AListIterator that is attached to X."
- In this case you can abbreviate this.new as new and can leave off the AbstractList.this parts, since meaning is unambiguous.


Getting a View: Sublists

Problem: L.sublist(start, end) is a full-blown List that gives a view of part of an existing list. Changes in one must affect the other. How? Here's part of AbstractList:

```
List<Item> sublist(int start, int end) {
  return new this.Sublist(start, end);
}
private class Sublist extends AbstractList<Item> {
  // NOTE: Error checks not shown
  private int start, end;
  Sublist(int start, int end) { obvious }
  public int size() { return end-start; }
  public Item get(int k)
    { return AbstractList.this.get(start+k); }
  public void add(int k, Item x) {
    { AbstractList.this.add(start+k, x); end += 1; }
  . . .
}
```

What Does a Sublist Look Like?

• Consider SL = L.sublist(3, 5);




Arrays and Links


- Two main ways to represent a sequence: array and linked list
- In Java Library: ArrayList and Vector vs. LinkedList.
- Array:
 - Advantages: compact, fast ($\Theta(1)$) random access (indexing).
 - Disadvantages: insertion, deletion can be slow ($\Theta(N)$)
- Linked list:
 - Advantages: insertion, deletion fast once position found.
 - Disadvantages: space (link overhead), random access slow.

Implementing with Arrays

- Biggest problem using arrays is insertion/deletion in the *middle* of a list (must shove things over).
- Adding/deleting from ends can be made fast:
 - Double array size to grow; amortized cost constant (Lecture #15).
 - Growth at one end really easy; classical stack implementation:




- To allow growth at either end, use circular buffering:



Linking


- Essentials of linking should now be familiar
- Used in Java LinkedList. One possible representation for linked list and an iterator object over it:



Clever trick: Sentinels

- A sentinel is a dummy object containing no useful data except links.
- Used to eliminate special cases and to provide a fixed object to point to in order to access a data structure.
- Avoids special cases ('if' statements) by ensuring that the first and last item of a list always have (non-null) nodes—possibly sentinels—before and after them:

// To add new node N before p: N.prev = p.prev; N.next = p; p.prev.next = N; p.prev = N;




Specialization

- Traditional special cases of general list:
 - Stack: Add and delete from one end (LIFO).
 - Queue: Add at end, delete from front (FIFO).
 - **Dequeue**: Add or delete at either end.
- All of these easily representable by either array (with circular buffering for queue or deque) or linked list.
- Java has the List types, which can act like any of these (although with non-traditional names for some of the operations).
- Also has java.util.Stack, a subtype of List, which gives traditional names ("push", "pop") to its operations. There is, however, no "stack" interface.


- Stacks related to *recursion*. In fact, can convert any recursive algorithm to stack-based (however, generally no great performance benefit):
 - Calls become "push current variables and parameters, set parameters to new values, and loop."
 - Return becomes "pop to restore variables and parameters."


```
findExit(start):
    if isExit(start)
        FOUND
    else if (! isCrumb(start))
        leave crumb at start;
        for each square, x,
        adjacent to start:
            if legalPlace(x)
              findExit(x)
```



- Stacks related to *recursion*. In fact, can convert any recursive algorithm to stack-based (however, generally no great performance benefit):
 - Calls become "push current variables and parameters, set parameters to new values, and loop."
 - Return becomes "pop to restore variables and parameters."

```
findExit(start):
    if isExit(start)
        FOUND
    else if (! isCrumb(start))
        leave crumb at start;
        for each square, x,
        adjacent to start:
            if legalPlace(x)
            findExit(x)
```






```
findExit(start):
   S = new empty stack;
   push start on S;
   while S not empty:
      pop S into start;
      if isExit(start)
        FOUND
   else if (! isCrumb(start))
      leave crumb at start;
      for each square, x,
        adjacent to start (in reverse):
           if legalPlace(x)
                push x on S
```

- Stacks related to *recursion*. In fact, can convert any recursive algorithm to stack-based (however, generally no great performance benefit):
 - Calls become "push current variables and parameters, set parameters to new values, and loop."
 - Return becomes "pop to restore variables and parameters."


```
findExit(start):
    if isExit(start)
        FOUND
    else if (! isCrumb(start))
        leave crumb at start;
        for each square, x,
        adjacent to start:
            if legalPlace(x)
              findExit(x)
```



```
findExit(start):
   S = new empty stack;
   push start on S;
   while S not empty:
      pop S into start;
      if isExit(start)
        FOUND
   else if (! isCrumb(start))
      leave crumb at start;
      for each square, x,
        adjacent to start (in reverse):
           if legalPlace(x)
                push x on S
```


- Stacks related to *recursion*. In fact, can convert any recursive algorithm to stack-based (however, generally no great performance benefit):
 - Calls become "push current variables and parameters, set parameters to new values, and loop."
 - Return becomes "pop to restore variables and parameters."

```
findExit(start):
    if isExit(start)
        FOUND
    else if (! isCrumb(start))
        leave crumb at start;
        for each square, x,
        adjacent to start:
            if legalPlace(x)
              findExit(x)
```



- Stacks related to *recursion*. In fact, can convert any recursive algorithm to stack-based (however, generally no great performance benefit):
 - Calls become "push current variables and parameters, set parameters to new values, and loop."
 - Return becomes "pop to restore variables and parameters."


```
findExit(start):
    if isExit(start)
        FOUND
    else if (! isCrumb(start))
        leave crumb at start;
        for each square, x,
        adjacent to start:
            if legalPlace(x)
              findExit(x)
```



```
findExit(start):
   S = new empty stack;
   push start on S;
   while S not empty:
      pop S into start;
      if isExit(start)
        FOUND
   else if (! isCrumb(start))
      leave crumb at start;
      for each square, x,
        adjacent to start (in reverse):
           if legalPlace(x)
                push x on S
```

- Stacks related to *recursion*. In fact, can convert any recursive algorithm to stack-based (however, generally no great performance benefit):
 - Calls become "push current variables and parameters, set parameters to new values, and loop."
 - Return becomes "pop to restore variables and parameters."


```
findExit(start):
    if isExit(start)
        FOUND
    else if (! isCrumb(start))
        leave crumb at start;
        for each square, x,
        adjacent to start:
            if legalPlace(x)
              findExit(x)
```



```
findExit(start):
   S = new empty stack;
   push start on S;
   while S not empty:
      pop S into start;
      if isExit(start)
        FOUND
   else if (! isCrumb(start))
      leave crumb at start;
      for each square, x,
        adjacent to start (in reverse):
           if legalPlace(x)
                push x on S
```

- Stacks related to *recursion*. In fact, can convert any recursive algorithm to stack-based (however, generally no great performance benefit):
 - Calls become "push current variables and parameters, set parameters to new values, and loop."
 - Return becomes "pop to restore variables and parameters."


```
findExit(start):
    if isExit(start)
        FOUND
    else if (! isCrumb(start))
        leave crumb at start;
        for each square, x,
        adjacent to start:
            if legalPlace(x)
              findExit(x)
```



```
findExit(start):
   S = new empty stack;
   push start on S;
   while S not empty:
      pop S into start;
      if isExit(start)
        FOUND
   else if (! isCrumb(start))
      leave crumb at start;
      for each square, x,
        adjacent to start (in reverse):
           if legalPlace(x)
                push x on S
```


- Stacks related to *recursion*. In fact, can convert any recursive algorithm to stack-based (however, generally no great performance benefit):
 - Calls become "push current variables and parameters, set parameters to new values, and loop."
 - Return becomes "pop to restore variables and parameters."

```
findExit(start):
    if isExit(start)
        FOUND
    else if (! isCrumb(start))
        leave crumb at start;
        for each square, x,
        adjacent to start:
            if legalPlace(x)
              findExit(x)
```



- Stacks related to *recursion*. In fact, can convert any recursive algorithm to stack-based (however, generally no great performance benefit):
 - Calls become "push current variables and parameters, set parameters to new values, and loop."
 - Return becomes "pop to restore variables and parameters."


```
findExit(start):
    if isExit(start)
        FOUND
    else if (! isCrumb(start))
        leave crumb at start;
        for each square, x,
        adjacent to start:
            if legalPlace(x)
            findExit(x)
```



```
findExit(start):
   S = new empty stack;
   push start on S;
   while S not empty:
      pop S into start;
      if isExit(start)
        FOUND
   else if (! isCrumb(start))
      leave crumb at start;
      for each square, x,
        adjacent to start (in reverse):
           if legalPlace(x)
                push x on S
```

- Stacks related to *recursion*. In fact, can convert any recursive algorithm to stack-based (however, generally no great performance benefit):
 - Calls become "push current variables and parameters, set parameters to new values, and loop."
 - Return becomes "pop to restore variables and parameters."


```
findExit(start):
    if isExit(start)
        FOUND
    else if (! isCrumb(start))
        leave crumb at start;
        for each square, x,
        adjacent to start:
            if legalPlace(x)
              findExit(x)
```



```
findExit(start):
   S = new empty stack;
   push start on S;
   while S not empty:
      pop S into start;
      if isExit(start)
        FOUND
   else if (! isCrumb(start))
      leave crumb at start;
      for each square, x,
        adjacent to start (in reverse):
           if legalPlace(x)
                push x on S
```


- Stacks related to *recursion*. In fact, can convert any recursive algorithm to stack-based (however, generally no great performance benefit):
 - Calls become "push current variables and parameters, set parameters to new values, and loop."
 - Return becomes "pop to restore variables and parameters."

```
findExit(start):
    if isExit(start)
        FOUND
    else if (! isCrumb(start))
        leave crumb at start;
        for each square, x,
        adjacent to start:
            if legalPlace(x)
              findExit(x)
```



- Stacks related to *recursion*. In fact, can convert any recursive algorithm to stack-based (however, generally no great performance benefit):
 - Calls become "push current variables and parameters, set parameters to new values, and loop."
 - Return becomes "pop to restore variables and parameters."


```
findExit(start):
    if isExit(start)
        FOUND
    else if (! isCrumb(start))
        leave crumb at start;
        for each square, x,
        adjacent to start:
            if legalPlace(x)
              findExit(x)
```



```
findExit(start):
   S = new empty stack;
   push start on S;
   while S not empty:
      pop S into start;
      if isExit(start)
        FOUND
   else if (! isCrumb(start))
      leave crumb at start;
      for each square, x,
        adjacent to start (in reverse):
           if legalPlace(x)
                push x on S
```

- Stacks related to *recursion*. In fact, can convert any recursive algorithm to stack-based (however, generally no great performance benefit):
 - Calls become "push current variables and parameters, set parameters to new values, and loop."
 - Return becomes "pop to restore variables and parameters."

```
findExit(start):
    if isExit(start)
        FOUND
    else if (! isCrumb(start))
        leave crumb at start;
        for each square, x,
        adjacent to start:
            if legalPlace(x)
              findExit(x)
```



```
findExit(start):
   S = new empty stack;
   push start on S;
   while S not empty:
      pop S into start;
      if isExit(start)
        FOUND
   else if (! isCrumb(start))
      leave crumb at start;
      for each square, x,
        adjacent to start (in reverse):
           if legalPlace(x)
                push x on S
```

- Stacks related to *recursion*. In fact, can convert any recursive algorithm to stack-based (however, generally no great performance benefit):
 - Calls become "push current variables and parameters, set parameters to new values, and loop."
 - Return becomes "pop to restore variables and parameters."

```
findExit(start):
    if isExit(start)
        FOUND
    else if (! isCrumb(start))
        leave crumb at start;
        for each square, x,
        adjacent to start:
            if legalPlace(x)
               findExit(x)
```

12	11	8	9	10
13	4	7		
14	3	6		
1	2	5		

- Stacks related to *recursion*. In fact, can convert any recursive algorithm to stack-based (however, generally no great performance benefit):
 - Calls become "push current variables and parameters, set parameters to new values, and loop."
 - Return becomes "pop to restore variables and parameters."

```
findExit(start):
    if isExit(start)
        FOUND
    else if (! isCrumb(start))
        leave crumb at start;
        for each square, x,
        adjacent to start:
            if legalPlace(x)
               findExit(x)
```

12	11	8	9	10
13	4	7	15	
14	3	6		
1	2	5		

- Stacks related to *recursion*. In fact, can convert any recursive algorithm to stack-based (however, generally no great performance benefit):
 - Calls become "push current variables and parameters, set parameters to new values, and loop."
 - Return becomes "pop to restore variables and parameters."

```
findExit(start):
    if isExit(start)
        FOUND
    else if (! isCrumb(start))
        leave crumb at start;
        for each square, x,
        adjacent to start:
            if legalPlace(x)
               findExit(x)
```

12	11	8	9	10
13	4	7	15	16
14	3	6		
1	2	5		

```
findExit(start):
   S = new empty stack;
   push start on S;
   while S not empty:
      pop S into start;
      if isExit(start)
        FOUND
   else if (! isCrumb(start))
      leave crumb at start;
      for each square, x,
        adjacent to start (in reverse):
           if legalPlace(x)
                push x on S
```

- Stacks related to *recursion*. In fact, can convert any recursive algorithm to stack-based (however, generally no great performance benefit):
 - Calls become "push current variables and parameters, set parameters to new values, and loop."
 - Return becomes "pop to restore variables and parameters."

```
findExit(start):
    if isExit(start)
        FOUND
    else if (! isCrumb(start))
        leave crumb at start;
        for each square, x,
        adjacent to start:
            if legalPlace(x)
               findExit(x)
```

12	11	8	9	10	
13	4	7	15	16	17
14	3	6			
1	2	5			

Design Choices: Extension, Delegation, Adaptation

• The standard java.util.Stack type extends Vector:

class Stack<Item> extends Vector<Item> { void push(Item x) { add(x); } ... }

• Could instead have delegated to a field:

```
class ArrayStack<Item> {
    private ArrayList<Item> repl = new ArrayList<Item>();
    void push(Item x) { repl.add(x); } ...
}
```

• Or, could generalize, and define an *adapter*: a class used to make objects of one kind behave as another:

```
public class StackAdapter<Item> {
    private List repl;
    /** A stack that uses REPL for its storage. */
    public StackAdapter(List<Item> repl) { this.repl = repl; }
    public void push(Item x) { repl.add(x); } ...
}
class ArrayStack<Item> extends StackAdapter<Item> {
    ArrayStack() { super(new ArrayList<Item>()); }
```

}