

Lecture #16: (Mostly) Interfaces and Generic Functions

Using Base Types

- Sometimes, we want an overriding method in a subtype to *augment* rather than totally replace an existing method.
- That means that we have to call the original version of the method within the overriding method somehow.
- Can't just do an ordinary method call on *self*, since that would cause infinite recursion.
- Fortunately, we can explicitly ask for the original version of the method by selecting from the class.

Example: "Memoization"

- Suppose we have

```
class Evaluator:
    def value(self, x):
        some expensive computation that depends only on x

class FastEvaluator(Evaluator):
    def __init__(self):
        self.__memo_table = {} # Maps arguments to results

    def value(self, x):
        """A memoized value computation"""
        if x not in self.__memo_table:
            self.__memo_table[x] = Evaluator.value(self, x)
        return self.__memo_table[x]
```

- `FastEvaluator.value` must call the `.value` method of its base (super) class, but we can't just say `self.value(x)`, since that gives an infinite recursion.

Generic Programming

- Consider the function `find`:

```
def find(L, x, k):  
    """Return the index in L of the kth occurrence of x (k>=0),  
    or None if there isn't one."""  
    for i in range(len(L)):  
        if L[i] == x:  
            if k == 0:  
                return i  
            k -= 1
```

- This same function works on lists, tuples, strings, and (if the keys are consecutive integers) dicts.
- In fact, it works for any list `L` for which `len` and indexing work as they do for lists and tuples.
- That is, `find` is *generic* in the type of `L`.

The Idea of an Interface

- In Python, this means any type that fits the following *interface*:

```
class SequenceLike:
    def __len__(self):
        """My length, as a non-negative integer."""

    def __getitem__(self, k):
        """My kth element, where 0 <= k < self.__len__()"""
```

(for which `len(L)` and `L[...]` are “*syntactic sugar*.”)

- This is one way to describe an *interface*, which in a programming language consists of
 - A *syntactic specification* (operation names, numbers of parameters), and
 - A *semantic specification*—its meaning or behavior (given here by English-language comments.)
- Generic functions are written assuming only that their inputs honor particular interfaces.
- The fewer the assumptions in those interfaces, therefore, the more general (and reusable) the function.

Supertypes as Interfaces

- We call the types that a Python class inherits from its *supertypes* or *base types* (and the defined class, therefore, is a *subtype*).
- Good programming practice requires that we treat our supertypes as interfaces, and adhere to them in the subtypes.
- For example, were we to write

```
class MyQueue(SequenceLike):  
    def __len__(self): ...  
    def __getitem__(self, k): ...
```

then good practice says that `MyQueue.__len__` should take a single parameter and return a non-negative integer, and that `MyQueue.__getitem__` should accept an integer between 0 and the value of `self.__len__()`

- Python doesn't actually enforce either of these provisions; it's up to programmers to do so.
- Other languages (like C++, Java, or Ada) enforce the syntactic part of the specification.

Duck Typing

- A *statically typed language* (such as Java) requires that you specify a type for each variable or parameter, one that specifies all the operations you intend to use on that variable or parameter.
- To create a generic function, therefore, your parameters' types must be subtypes of some particular interface.
- You can do this in Python, too, but it is not a requirement.
- In fact, our *find* function will work on any object that responds appropriately to `__len__` and `__getitem__`, regardless of the object's type.
- This property is sometimes called *duck typing*: "This parameter must be a duck, and if it walks like a duck and quacks like a duck, we'll say it *is* a duck."

Consequences of Good Practice

- If we obey the supertype-as-interface guideline, then we can pass any object that has a subtype of *SequenceLike* to *find* and expect it to work.
- This fact is an example of what is called the *Liskov Substitution Principle*, after Prof. Barbara Liskov of MIT, who is generally credited with enunciating it.

Interface as Documentation

- The interface (especially its documentation comments) provides a *contract* between clients of the interface and its subtypes—implementations of the interface:

“I, the implementor, agree that all the subclasses I define will conform to the signature and comments in this interface, as long as you, the client, obey any restrictions specified in the interface.”

- Since Python does not check or enforce the consistency of super-types and subtypes, use of the guideline is a matter of individual discipline.
- Enforced or not, the interface type provides a convenient place to document the contract.
- But even when using duck typing, good practice requires that we document the assumptions made by the implementor about parameters to methods (what methods they have, in particular).

Example: The `__repr__` Method

- When the interpreter prints the value of an expression, it must first convert that value to a (printable) string.
- To do so, it calls the `__repr__()` method of the value, which is supposed to return a string that suggests how you'd create the value in Python.

```
>>> "Hello"  
'Hello'  
>>> print(repr("Hello"))  
'Hello'  
>>> repr("Hello")      # What does the interpreter print?
```

- (As a convenience, the built-in function `repr(x)` calls `x.__repr__`.)
- User-defined classes can define their own `__repr__` method to control how the interpreter prints them (see HW#6).

Example: The `__str__` Method

- When the `print` function prints a value, it calls the `__str__()` method to find out what string to print.
- The constructor for the string type, `str`, does the same thing.
- Again, you can define your own `__str__` on a class to control this behavior. (The default is just to call `__repr__`)

```
>>> class rational:
...     def __init__(num, den): ...
...     def __str__(self):
...         if self.numer() == 0: return "0"
...         elif self.denom() == 1: return str(self.numer())
...         else: return "{0}/{1}".format(self.numer(), self.denom())
...
>>> rational(3,4)
3/4
>>> rational(5, 1)
5
```

Iterators

- In the homework, we introduce the notion of *iterators*, another use of duck typing.
- The `for` statement is actually a generic control construct with the following meaning:

```
for x in C:           tmp_iter = C.__iter__()
    S                 try:
                       while True:
                           x = tmp_iter.__next__()
                           S
                       except StopIteration:
                           pass
```

- Types that implement `__iter__` are called *iterable*, and those that implement `__next__` are *iterators*.
- As usual, the builtin functions `iter(x)` and `next(x)` are defined to call `x.__iter__()` and `x.__next__()`.

Problem: Reconstruct the range class

- Want `Range(1, 10)` to give us something that behaves like a Python range, so that

```
for x in Range(1, 10):  
    print(x)
```

prints 1-9.

```
class Range:  
    ???
```