## Lecture \#30: Operational Semantics, Part III

## Classes and Functions as Values

- Although the language does not allow programmers to treat classes as first-class values, the semantics is free to do so.
- Thus, we represent a class $T$ with attributes $a_{1}, \ldots$ a

$$
\operatorname{class}(T)=\left(a_{1}=e_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}=e_{m}\right)
$$

- Here, the $e_{i}$ are either literal expressions or function definitions.
- Similarly, the semantics associates a function value with each function, even though programmers cannot manipulate functions as values:

$$
v=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}=e_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}=e_{k}, b_{\text {body }}, E_{f}\right)
$$

- Here, the $x_{i}$ are parameter names and the $y_{i}$ are the local variables (with initializers $e_{i}$.)


## Function Invocation

Now things get complicated:


## Function Invocation: Discussion

- In the rules for evaluating local definitions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
G, E^{\prime}, S_{n} \vdash e_{1}^{\prime}: v_{1}^{\prime}, S_{n},- \\
\vdots \\
G, E^{\prime}, S_{n} \vdash e_{k}^{\prime}: v_{k}^{\prime}, S_{n},-
\end{gathered}
$$

Why does the state remain $S_{n}$ ?

- What would happen if we changed the steps for allocating new variables to

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
G, E, S_{n} \vdash e_{1}^{\prime}: v_{1}^{\prime}, S_{n},- & \text { Evaluate Initializers } \\
\vdots \\
G, E, S_{n} \vdash e_{k}^{\prime}: v_{k}^{\prime}, S_{n},- & \\
\hline l_{x 1}, \ldots, l_{x n}, l_{y 1}, \ldots, l_{y k}=\text { newloc }\left(S_{n}, n+k\right) & \text { Allocate New Locations for } \\
E^{\prime}=E_{f}\left[l_{x 1} / x_{1}\right] \ldots\left[l_{x n} / x_{n}\right]\left[l_{y 1} / y_{1}\right] \ldots\left[l_{y k} / y_{k}\right] & \text { Parameters and Locals }
\end{array}
$$ ?

## Function Invocation: Discussion

- In the rules for evaluating local definitions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
G, E^{\prime}, S_{n} \vdash e_{1}^{\prime}: v_{1}^{\prime}, S_{n},- \\
\vdots \\
G, E^{\prime}, S_{n} \vdash e_{k}^{\prime}: v_{k}^{\prime}, S_{n},-
\end{gathered}
$$

Why does the state remain $S_{n}$ ? The $e_{i}^{\prime}$ must all be literals or function definitions, whose evaluation does not change the state.

- What would happen if we changed the steps for allocating new variables to

| $G, E, S_{n} \vdash e_{1}^{\prime}: v_{1}^{\prime}, S_{n},-$ |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\vdots$ | Evaluate Initializers |
| $G, E, S_{n} \vdash e_{k}^{\prime}: v_{k}^{\prime}, S_{n},-$ |  |
| $l_{x 1}, \ldots, l_{x n}, l_{y 1}, \ldots, l_{y k}=n e w l o c\left(S_{n}, n+k\right)$ | Allocate New Locations for |
| $E^{\prime}=E_{f}\left[l_{x 1} / x_{1}\right] \ldots\left[l_{x n} / x_{n}\right]\left[l_{y 1} / y_{1}\right] \ldots\left[l_{y k} / y_{k}\right]$ | Parameters and Locals | ?

## Function Invocation: Discussion

- In the rules for evaluating local definitions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
G, E^{\prime}, S_{n} \vdash e_{1}^{\prime}: v_{1}^{\prime}, S_{n},- \\
\vdots \\
G, E^{\prime}, S_{n} \vdash e_{k}^{\prime}: v_{k}^{\prime}, S_{n},-
\end{gathered}
$$

Why does the state remain $S_{n}$ ? The $e_{i}^{\prime}$ must all be literals or function definitions, whose evaluation does not change the state.

- What would happen if we changed the steps for allocating new variables to

| $G, E, S_{n} \vdash e_{1}^{\prime}: v_{1}^{\prime}, S_{n},-$ |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\vdots$ | Evaluate Initializers |
| $G, E, S_{n} \vdash e_{k}^{\prime}: v_{k}^{\prime}, S_{n},-$ |  |
| $l_{x 1}, \ldots, l_{x n}, l_{y 1}, \ldots, l_{y k}=n e w l o c\left(S_{n}, n+k\right)$ | Allocate New Locations for |
| $E^{\prime}=E_{f}\left[l_{x 1} / x_{1}\right] \ldots\left[l_{x n} / x_{n}\right]\left[l_{y 1} / y_{1}\right] \ldots\left[l_{y k} / y_{k}\right]$ | Parameters and Locals |

? Nothing. The effect is the same.

## Function Invocation: Discussion (II)

- Consider the lines:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
S_{0}(E(f))=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}=e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, y_{k}=e_{k}^{\prime}, b_{b o d y}, E_{f}\right) & \text { Evaluate Function } \\
\vdots & \text { Parameters and Locals } \\
E^{\prime}=E_{f}\left[l_{x 1} / x_{1}\right] \ldots\left[l_{x n} / x_{n}\right]\left[l_{y 1} / y_{1}\right] \ldots\left[l_{y k} / y_{k}\right] & \\
\vdots & \text { Evaluate Body : } \\
G, E^{\prime}, S_{n+1} \vdash b_{b o d y}: \_, S_{n+2}, R & \\
\hline G, E, S_{0} \vdash f\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right): R^{\prime}, S_{n+2},- & \text { [INVOKE] }
\end{array}
$$

- The environment for evaluating the body, $E^{\prime}$, is not an extension of $E$, but rather of $E_{f}$, the environment that is part of the function's value.
- This is in keeping with the rule you first saw in CS61A: a function value's parent frame is the one in which the function definition is evalated, not the one in which the call is evaluated.


## Method Dispatching

Method dispatching, as in x.f(3), is unsurprisingly close to function invocation.

| $G, E, S \vdash e_{0}: v_{0}, S_{0},-$ | Evaluate Object |
| :---: | :--- |
| $v_{0}=X\left(a_{1}=l_{1}, \ldots, f=l_{f}, \ldots, a_{m}=l_{m}\right)$ | Find f in Class Value |
| $S_{0}\left(l_{f}\right)=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}=e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, y_{k}=e_{k}^{\prime}, b_{\text {body }}, E_{f}\right)$ |  |

... Evaluate Parameters as for Function Calls...

| $l_{x 0}, l_{x 1}, \ldots, l_{x n}, l_{y 1}, \ldots, l_{y k}=\operatorname{newloc}\left(S_{n}, n+k+1\right)$ |
| :--- |
| $E^{\prime}=E_{f}\left[l_{x 0} / x_{0}\right] \ldots\left[l_{x n} / x_{n}\right]\left[l_{y 1} / y_{1}\right] \ldots\left[l_{y k} / y_{k}\right]$ |

... Evaluate Initializers for Locals as for Function Calls...

| $S_{n+1}=S_{n}\left[v_{0} / l_{x 0}\right] \ldots\left[v_{n} / l_{x n}\right]\left[v_{1}^{\prime} / l_{y 1}\right] \ldots\left[v_{k}^{\prime} / l_{y k}\right]$ | Assign Params. and Locals |
| :---: | :---: |
| $G, E^{\prime}, S_{n+1} \vdash b_{\text {body }}:-$, $S_{n+2}, R$ | Evaluate Body |
| $R^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{l} N o n e, \text { if } R \text { is }- \\ R, \text { otherwise } \end{array}\right.$ | And Capture Return Value |
| $G, E, S \vdash e_{0} . f\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right): R^{\prime}, S_{n+2,-}$ | [DISPATCH] |

## Function Definitions

- Function definitions provide values for local definitions (nested functions) and global functions.
- In the [invoke] and [dispatch] rules, these values then get assigned to the local names (denoted $y_{i}$ in those rules).

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
g_{1}, \ldots, g_{L}: \text { variables declared with 'global' in } f \\
y_{1}=e_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}=e_{k}: \text { local variables and functions in } f \\
\quad E_{f}=E\left[G\left(g_{1}\right) / g_{1}\right] \ldots\left[G\left(g_{L}\right) / g_{L}\right] \\
\quad v=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}=e_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}=e_{k}, b_{b o d y}, E_{f}\right) \\
\hline G, E, S \vdash \operatorname{def} f\left(x_{1}: T_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}: T_{n}\right) \llbracket->T_{0} \rrbracket ?: b: v, S,-
\end{array} \quad \text { [FUNC-METHOD-DEF] }\right] \text { ? } \quad \text { ? }
$$

- This is where we capture the parent local environment, $E$, in which $f$ is defined.


## Native Functions

- Certain functions are predefined (print, len, input), and do not have normal bodies.
- For these, we denote the function bodies as, e.g., native len and define special rules for these particular bodies.
- Assume that the native bodies expect a parameter named val (if they have one).
- Then we can define, e.g.,

$$
\begin{gathered}
S(E(\mathrm{val}))=v \\
\frac{v=\operatorname{int}(i) \text { or } v=\operatorname{bool}(b) \text { or } v=\operatorname{str}(n, s)}{G, E, S \vdash \text { native print }:-, S, \text { None }} \text { [PRINT] } \\
S(E(\mathrm{val}))=v \\
v=\left[l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{n}\right] \\
n \geq 0 \\
\frac{n \geq, E, S \vdash \text { native len }:-S, \operatorname{int}(n)}{[L E N-L I S T]}
\end{gathered}
$$

and others.

## Accessing Attributes of Classes

The notation from the first slide provides us with a description of a value and its attributes:

$$
\begin{gathered}
G, E, S_{0} \vdash e: v_{1}, S_{1},- \\
\frac{v_{1}=X\left(a_{1}=l_{1}, \ldots, i d=l_{i d}, \ldots, a_{m}=l_{m}\right)}{v_{2}=S_{1}\left(l_{i d}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
G, E, S_{0} \vdash e_{2}: v_{r}, S_{1},- \\
G, E, S_{1} \vdash e_{1}: v_{l}, S_{2},- \\
v_{l}=X\left(a_{1}=l_{1}, \ldots, i d=l_{i d}, \ldots, a_{m}=l_{m}\right) \\
S_{3}=S_{2}\left[v_{r} / l_{i d}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Q: In [ATTR-ASSIGN-STMT], what exactly happens when $e_{1}$ or $e_{2}$ have side effects?

## Accessing Attributes of Classes

The notation from the first slide provides us with a description of a value and its attributes:

$$
\begin{gathered}
G, E, S_{0} \vdash e: v_{1}, S_{1},- \\
\frac{v_{1}=X\left(a_{1}=l_{1}, \ldots, i d=l_{i d}, \ldots, a_{m}=l_{m}\right)}{v_{2}=S_{1}\left(l_{i d}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
G, E, S_{0} \vdash e_{2}: v_{r}, S_{1},- \\
G, E, S_{1} \vdash e_{1}: v_{l}, S_{2},- \\
v_{l}=X\left(a_{1}=l_{1}, \ldots, i d=l_{i d}, \ldots, a_{m}=l_{m}\right) \\
S_{3}=S_{2}\left[v_{r} / l_{i d}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Q: In [ATTR-ASSIGN-STMT], what exactly happens when $e_{1}$ or $e_{2}$ have side effects? A: $e_{2}$ is evaluated first, and therefore can affect the evaluation of $e_{1}$, but not vice-versa.

## Creating Objects

| $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{class}(T)=\left(a_{1}=e_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}=e_{m}\right) \\ m \geq 1 \end{gathered}$ | $T$ Must Be A Class |
| :---: | :---: |
| $l_{a 1}, \ldots, l_{\text {am }}=\operatorname{newloc}(S, m)$ | Allocate Attributes |
| $v_{0}=T\left(a_{1}=l_{a i}, \ldots, a_{m}=l_{a m}\right)$ | New Object Value |
| $G, G, S \vdash e_{1}: v_{1}, S$, - |  |
| : | Evaluate Initializers in $G$ |
| $\begin{gathered} G, G, S \vdash e_{m}: v_{m}, S, \mathbf{-} \\ S_{1}=S\left[v_{1} / l_{a 1}\right] \ldots\left[v_{m} / l_{a m}\right] \end{gathered}$ | Initialize Attributes |
| $l_{\text {init }}=l_{a i}$ such that $a_{i}=$ __init__ | Get __init__ method |
| $\begin{gathered} S_{1}\left(l_{\text {init }}\right)=\left(x_{0}, y_{1}=e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, y_{k}=e_{k}^{\prime}, b_{b o d y}, E_{f}\right) \\ k \geq 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} l_{x 0}, l_{y 1}, \ldots, l_{y k}=\operatorname{newloc}\left(S_{1}, k+1\right) \\ E^{\prime}=E_{f}\left[l_{x 0} / x_{0}\right]\left[l_{y 1} / y_{1}\right] \ldots\left[l_{y k} / y_{k}\right] \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $G, E, S_{1} \vdash e_{1}^{\prime}: v_{1}^{\prime}, S_{1}$, - |  |
|  | Call It On $v_{0}$ |
| $G, E, S_{1} \vdash e_{k}^{\prime}: v_{k}^{\prime}, S_{1},-$ |  |
| $S_{2}=S_{1}\left[v_{0} / l_{x 0}\right]\left[v_{1}^{\prime} / l_{y 1}\right] \ldots\left[v_{k}^{\prime} / l_{y k}\right]$ |  |
| $G, E^{\prime}, S_{2} \vdash b_{\text {body }}:$ -,$S_{3}$, - |  |
| $G, E, S \vdash T(): v_{0}, S_{3}$ - |  |

## Starting Things Off: Programs

We start with an initial store and environment, containing just the predefined function.
$g_{1}=e_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}=e_{k}$ are the global variable and function definitions in the program $P$ is the sequence of statements in the program

$$
\begin{gathered}
l_{g 1}, \ldots, l_{g k}=n e w l o c\left(S_{i n i t}, k\right) \\
G=G_{i n i t}\left[l_{g 1} / g_{1}\right] \ldots\left[l_{g k} / g_{k}\right] \\
G, G, S_{i n i t} \vdash e_{1}: v_{1}, S_{i n i t},- \\
\vdots \\
G, G, \emptyset \vdash e_{k}: v_{k}, S_{i n i t},- \\
S=S_{i n i t}\left[v_{k} / l_{g 1}\right] \ldots\left[v_{k} / l_{g k}\right] \\
G, G, S \vdash P:-, S^{\prime},- \\
\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash P: \_, S^{\prime},-
\end{gathered}
$$

## Initial Store and Environment

Here, we use the native body notation from previously.

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{\text {init }}=\emptyset\left[l_{\text {len }} / l \text { len }\right]\left[l_{\text {print }} / \text { print }\right]\left[l_{\text {input }} / \text { input }\right] \\
S_{\text {init }}\left(l_{\text {print }}\right)=(\text { val, native print }, \emptyset) \\
S_{\text {init }}\left(l_{\text {len }}\right)=(\text { val, native len, } \emptyset) \\
S_{\text {init }}\left(l_{\text {input }}\right)=(\text { native print }, \emptyset)
\end{gathered}
$$

