

Lecture #17: Typing Examples for ChocoPy

- Today, we'll adapt the notation from Friday to ChocoPy.
- In order to cover all constructs, we will need to augment the type environment with some other information.
- Our type assertions will have one of the forms

$$O, M, C, R \vdash e : T \quad \text{or} \quad O, M, C, R \vdash s$$

depending on whether we are typing expressions or statements, where

- O is a type environment as in the last lecture.
 - M is the member environment: $M(C, I)$ returns the type of the attribute or method named I in class C .
 - C is the enclosing class.
 - R is the type to be returned by the enclosing function or method.
- Hence the the type assertions above means
The expression e type checks and has type T , or the construct s is correctly typed, given that O, M, C, R are the type environment, member environment, enclosing class, and expected return type.

Variable Access

- The type environment tells us about variables' types.

$$\frac{O(id) = T, \text{ where } T \text{ is not a function type.}}{O, M, C, R \vdash id : T} \quad [\text{VAR-READ}]$$

- We only apply this rule when an identifier appears as an expression or the left side of an assignment.
- The provision that T not be a function type reflects the fact that in ChocoPy, functions are not first-class values. Their identifiers are handled elsewhere.

Variable Assignment

- Variable assignment is closely related:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} O, M, C, R \vdash e_0 : T_0 \\ O, M, C, R \vdash e_1 : T_1 \\ T_1 \leq_a T_0 \end{array}}{O, M, C, R \vdash e_0 = e_1} \quad [\text{ASSIGN-STMT}]$$

- The final line lacks a ' T ' annotation because an assignment, being a statement, does not produce a value and therefore does not have a type.
- We are making use of the \leq_a relation between types: *assignment compatibility*.
- This is a slight tweak on the ChocoPy type hierarchy: $T_1 \leq_a T_2$ iff
 - $T_1 \leq T_2$ (i.e., ordinary subtyping).
 - T_1 is $\langle \text{None} \rangle$ and T_2 is not int , bool , or str .
 - T_2 is a list type $[T]$ and T_1 is $\langle \text{Empty} \rangle$.
 - T_2 is the list type $[T]$ and T_1 is $[\langle \text{None} \rangle]$, where $\langle \text{None} \rangle \leq_a T$.
- Here, $\langle \text{Empty} \rangle$ is the type of the empty list, and $\langle \text{None} \rangle$ is the type of None .

Variable Initialization

- This is obviously closely related to assignment.

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} O(id) = T \\ O, M, C, R \vdash e_1 : T_1 \\ T_1 \leq_a T \end{array}}{O, M, C, R \vdash id : T = e_1} \quad [\text{VAR-INIT}]$$

- This is declaration and, like a statement, does not produce a value. The '=' here is part of ChocoPy syntax, and not part of a type rule.

Attributes (instance variables)

- The rules are closely related to VAR-READ and ASSIGN-STMT.
- But we refer to M to get the types.

$$\frac{O, M, C, R \vdash e_0 : T_0 \quad M(T_0, id) = T}{O, M, C, R \vdash e_0.id : T} \text{ [ATTR-READ]}$$

$$\frac{M(C, id) = T \quad O, M, C, R \vdash e_1 : T_1 \quad T_1 \leq_a T}{O, M, C, R \vdash id : T = e_1} \text{ [ATTR-INT]}$$

Some Obvious Ones

$$\frac{}{O, M, C, R \vdash \text{pass}} \text{ [PASS]}$$

$$\frac{}{O, M, C, R \vdash \text{False} : \text{bool}} \text{ [BOOL-FALSE]} \quad \frac{}{O, M, C, R \vdash \text{True} : \text{bool}} \text{ [BOOL-TRUE]}$$

$$\frac{i \text{ is an integer literal}}{O, M, C, R \vdash i : \text{int}} \text{ [INT]} \quad \frac{s \text{ is a string literal}}{O, M, C, R \vdash s : \text{str}} \text{ [STR]}$$

$$\frac{}{O, M, C, R \vdash \text{None} : \langle \text{None} \rangle} \text{ [NONE]} \quad \frac{}{O, M, C, R \vdash [] : \langle \text{Empty} \rangle} \text{ [NIL]}$$

Some Binary Operators

$$\frac{O, M, C, R \vdash e_1 : \text{int} \quad O, M, C, R \vdash e_2 : \text{int} \quad op \in \{+, -, *, //, \%\}}{O, M, C, R \vdash e_1 op e_2 : \text{int}} \text{ [ARITH]}$$

$$\frac{O, M, C, R \vdash e_1 : \text{str} \quad O, M, C, R \vdash e_2 : \text{str}}{O, M, C, R \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{str}} \text{ [STR-CONCAT]}$$

- The ARITH and STR-CONCAT rules illustrate that the hypotheses (above the line) determine the applicability of a rule to a given situation. So $3+2$ is covered by the first rule, and "Hello," + " world" by the second.
- Neither rule says that (e.g.) $3 + \text{"Hello"}$ is *illegal*.
- Instead, the point is that neither of them says it is *legal*, and in the absence of some applicable rule, type checking fails.

Using Least Upper Bounds: List Displays

- The empty list has a special type, assignable to other list types.

$$\frac{}{O, M, C, R \vdash [] : \langle \text{Empty} \rangle} \text{ [NIL]}$$

- The type of list created by a non-empty display is the *least upper bound* (denoted \sqcup) of the types of its elements, where the relevant type relation is \leq_a , rather than pure subtype.

$$\frac{n \geq 1 \quad O, M, C, R \vdash e_1 : T_1 \quad O, M, C, R \vdash e_2 : T_2 \quad \vdots \quad O, M, C, R \vdash e_n : T_n}{O, M, C, R \vdash [e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n] : [T]} \text{ [LIST-DISPLAY]}$$

- This rule causes apparent glitches:

```
x: [object] = None
x = [3, x]           # OK
x = [3]             # ERROR (why?)
```

Return

- The return statement is where the 'R' part of type rules comes in:

$$\frac{O, M, C, R \vdash e : T \quad T \leq_a R}{O, M, C, R \vdash \text{return } e} \quad [\text{RETURN-E}]$$

$$\frac{\langle \text{None} \rangle \leq_a R}{O, M, C, R \vdash \text{return}} \quad [\text{RETURN}]$$

- The second rule forbids programs like this:

```
def f() -> int:
    return
```

Since None may not be assigned to an int value.

- We don't deal here with an *implicit* return of None from a function returning int, as happens when there is no return statement along some path. Instead, we can deal with that by inserting a return at the end of any function with a path that does not contain a return.

Function Types

- The ChocoPy reference uses a somewhat nonstandard notation for function types in order to carry around a bit more information that's useful elsewhere.

- Here, I'll revise it a bit to make the traditional function type signature itself clear:

$$\{T_1 \times T_2 \times \dots \times T_n \rightarrow T_0; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; v_1 : T_1', v_2 : T_2', \dots, v_m : T_m'\}$$

will denote a function whose

- type is $T_1 \times T_2 \times \dots \times T_n \rightarrow T_0$,
- formal parameters names are x_i , and
- local names (local variables and nested functions) are v_j with types T_j' .

Function Calls

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} O, M, C, R \vdash e_1 : T_1'' \\ \vdots \\ O, M, C, R \vdash e_n : T_n'' \\ n \geq 0 \end{array} \quad O(f) = \{T_1 \times \dots \times T_n \rightarrow T_0; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; v_1 : T_1', \dots, v_m : T_m'\} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n : T_i'' \leq_a T_i}{O, M, C, R \vdash f(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) : T_0} \quad [\text{INVOKE}]$$

- Dispatching calls on class members are the same, except that we get the type from M rather than O :

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} O, M, C, R \vdash e_1 : T_1'' \\ \vdots \\ O, M, C, R \vdash e_n : T_n'' \\ n \geq 1 \end{array} \quad M(T_1'', f) = \{T_1 \times \dots \times T_n \rightarrow T_0; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; v_1 : T_1', \dots, v_m : T_m'\} \quad \begin{array}{c} T_1'' \leq_a T_1 \\ \forall 1 \leq 2 \leq n : T_i'' \leq_a T_i \end{array}}{O, M, C, R \vdash e_1.f(e_2, \dots, e_n) : T_0} \quad [\text{DISPATCH}]$$

Function Definition

$$T = \begin{cases} T_0, & \text{if } \rightarrow \text{ is present,} \\ \langle \text{None} \rangle, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$O(f) = \{T_1 \times \dots \times T_n \rightarrow T_0; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; v_1 : T_1', \dots, v_m : T_m'\} \quad \begin{array}{c} n \geq 0 \quad m \geq 0 \end{array}$$

$$\frac{O[T_1/x_1] \dots [T_n/x_n][T_1'/v_1] \dots [T_m'/v_m], M, C, T \vdash b}{O, M, C, R \vdash \text{def } f(x_1:T_1, \dots, x_n:T_n) \llbracket \rightarrow T_0 \rrbracket : b} \quad [\text{FUNC-DEF}]$$

- So the definition as a whole type checks if it gives the right types for parameters and locals, and...
- the body type checks after substituting the indicated types for the formal parameter and local variable and function names.
- Here, we finally do something other than passing the R parameter in. When type-checking the body, R becomes the return type, allowing us to type-check return statements correctly (see the last hypothesis).

Getting Things Started

- Before applying these rules, we gather up definitions of variables, functions, and classes in order to get the initial O and C .
- Also, the global definitions are part of this initial O and M :

$$O(\text{len}) = \{\text{object} \rightarrow \text{int}; \text{arg}\}$$

$$O(\text{print}) = \{\text{object} \rightarrow \langle \text{None} \rangle; \text{arg}\}$$

$$O(\text{input}) = \{\rightarrow \text{str}\}$$

$$M(\text{object}, _init_) = \{\text{object} \rightarrow \langle \text{None} \rangle; \text{self}\}$$

$$M(\text{str}, _init_) = \{\text{object} \rightarrow \langle \text{None} \rangle; \text{self}\}$$

$$M(\text{int}, _init_) = \{\text{object} \rightarrow \langle \text{None} \rangle; \text{self}\}$$

$$M(\text{bool}, _init_) = \{\text{object} \rightarrow \langle \text{None} \rangle; \text{self}\}$$

- And the whole program is then

$$\frac{O, M, \perp, \perp \vdash \text{program}}{\vdash \text{program}} \quad [\text{PROGRAM}]$$

where \perp ("bottom") means something undefined.