Virtual Machine Structure

Lecture 20

Prof. Fateman CS 164 Lecture 20

Basics of the MJ Virtual Machine

Word addressed (in many other machines we are forever shifting by 2 bits to get from words to bytes or back.)

All instructions (appear to) fit in a single word. All integers fit in a single word. Everything else is "pointed to" and all pointers fit in a single word.

A minimum set of operations for MJ, but these could be expanded easily.

All arithmetic operations use a stack.

Why a stack?

•The usual alternative is: a pile of registers.

```
•Why use registers in IC?
•Many, (all?) current architectures have registers.
•If you want to control efficiency, you need to know how to save/restore/spill registers.
•It is not too hard, if you have enough of them.
•Why use a stack?
•Some architectures historically were stack-dependent
```

```
because they had few registers (like 4, or 16..).
```

```
•Some current architectures use a stack e.g. for
```

```
floats in Pentium, 8 values.
```

```
•Minimize complexity for code generation.
```

Why a stack? Are registers more work for IC?

•Generating code to load data into registers initially seems more complicated,

Not by much: the compiler can keep track of which register has a value [perhaps by keeping a stack of variable-value pairs while generating code],
And you did this in CS61c, but in your head, probably.

With a finite number of registers there is always the possibility of running out: "spill" to a stack? Or...
(New architectures with 128 registers or more make running out unlikely but then what?: perhaps "error, expression too complicated, compiler fails"?).
Opportunity to optimize: rearrange expressions to use minimum number of registers. Good CS theory problem related to graph coloring. (In practice, registers are finicky, aligned, paired, special purpose,...)

Prof. Fateman CS 164 Lecture 20

Instructions: stack manipulation

pushi x push immediate the constant x on the top of the stack used only for literals. Same as iconst. e.g. (iconst 43) Only 24 bits for x(?). (larger consts in 2 steps??)

pusha x push address. pushes the address of x on stack. e.g. pusha ="hello world". We assume the assembler will find some place for x.

Same as sconst. e.g. (sconst "hello")

pop pops top of stack; value is lost

dup pushes duplicate of top of stack
swap guess ③

```
Consider a method
public int function F(){return 3; /*here*/
}
```

```
How might we compile F()? Set up a label LOO1 for location /*here*/.
Save it on the stack.
Push the address of F on the stack.
Execute a (callj 0) to call F, a function of 0 args
Execute an (args 0) /* get params, here none {what about THIS}*/
the stack looks like
LOO1
3
Execute a (return). Which jumps to LOO1, leaving 3 on the stack.
(exit 0)
```

```
public int function F(){return 3; /*here*/
     }
```

```
(save L001)
(lvar 1 0) // magic... get address of f on stack.. Details follow
(callj 0) // call function of 0 args
L001: // label to return to
(exit 0)
```

The program f looks like (args 0) // collect 0 arguments into environment.. (pushi 3) (return)

```
(setf *fact-test* (compile-scam
  '( (define (main)
      (print (factorial 5)))
      (define (factorial n)
        (if n
            (* n (factorial (- n 1)))
            1)))))
```

```
(setf *fact-test* (compile-scam
'( (define (main)
  (print (factorial 5)))
  (define (factorial n)
  (if n
      (* n (factorial (- n 1)))
  1)))))
```

```
(pprint-code *fact-test*)
(run-vm (make-vm-state :code (assemble
*fact-test*)))
```

Prof. Fateman CS 164 Lecture 20