













Lec 8.5





Lec 8.12

	Ear	lier Examp	le with	Differe	nt Time	Quant	um	
	Best F	CFS: P ₂ [8] [P ₄ 24]	P ₁ [53]		P ₃ [68]		
		0 8	32		85		153	
		Quantum	P₁	Pa	P ₂	P₄	Average	
P ₁	P ₂ P ₃ P ₄	P ₁ P ₃ P ₄ 1	P ₁ P ₃	P ₄ P ₁ P	3 P1 P3	P ₁ P ₃	P ₁ P ₃ P ₃	P ₃
0	8 16 24 3	32 40 48 56	64 72	80 88	96 104 1	12 120 128	3 133 141 14	9 153
	Wall Timo	Q = 8	80	8	85	56	57¼	
	Time	Q = 10	82	10	85	68	61¼	
		Q = 20	72	20	85	88	661⁄4	
		Worst FCFS	68	145	0	121	831⁄2	
		Best FCFS	85	8	153	32	69½	
		Q = 1	137	30	153	81	100½	
	Completion	Q = 6	135	28	153	82	991⁄2	
	Time	Q = 8	133	16	153	80	95½	
	Time	Q = 10	135	18	153	92	991⁄2	
		Q = 20	125	28	153	112	104½	
		Worst FCFS	121	153	68	145	121¾	
02/	13/12	Anthony D. Jos	eph and lor	Stoica, CS10	62 ©UCB Spri	ing 2012	Lec 8	.13

5min Break

02/13/12

















 Scheduling Fairness What about fairness? Strict fixed-priority scheduling between queues is unfair (run highest, then next, etc): Long running jobs may never get CPU In Multics, shut down machine, found 10-year-old job Must give long-running jobs a fraction of the CPU even when there are shorter jobs to run Tradeoff: fairness gained by hurting average response time! How to implement fairness? Could give each queue some fraction of the CPU What if one long-running job and 100 short-running ones? Like express lanes in a supermarket—sometimes express lanes 		
 What about fairness? Strict fixed-priority scheduling between queues is unfair (run highest, then next, etc): Long running jobs may never get CPU In Multics, shut down machine, found 10-year-old job Must give long-running jobs a fraction of the CPU even when there are shorter jobs to run Tradeoff: fairness gained by hurting average response time! How to implement fairness? Could give each queue some fraction of the CPU What if one long-running job and 100 short-running ones? Like express lanes in a supermarket—sometimes express lanes Yet another alternative: Lottery Scheduling Give each job some number of lottery tickets On each time slice, randomly pick a winning ticket On average, CPU time is proportional to number of tickets given to each job 	Scheduling Fairness	Lottery Scheduling
 Tradeoff: fairness gained by hurting average response time! How to implement fairness? Could give each queue some fraction of the CPU What if one long-running job and 100 short-running ones? Like express lanes in a supermarket—sometimes express lanes How to assign tickets? To approximate SRTF, short running jobs get more, long running jobs get fewer To avoid starvation, every job gets at least one ticket (everyone makes progress) 	 What about fairness? Strict fixed-priority scheduling between queues is unfair (run highest, then next, etc): Long running jobs may never get CPU In Multics, shut down machine, found 10-year-old job Must give long-running jobs a fraction of the CPU even when there are shorter iobs to run 	 Yet another alternative: Lottery Scheduling Give each job some number of lottery tickets On each time slice, randomly pick a winning ticket On average, CPU time is proportional to number of tickets given to each job
 Could give each queue some fraction of the CPU What if one long-running job and 100 short-running ones? Like express lanes in a supermarket—sometimes express lanes 	- Tradeoff: fairness gained by hurting average response time!	 How to assign tickets? To approximate SRTF, short running jobs get more, long running jobs get fewer
" Elle express large in a supermaner semetimes express large	- Could give each queue some fraction of the CPU what if one long-running job and 100 short-running ones? Like express lanes in a supermarket—sometimes express lanes	 To avoid starvation, every job gets at least one ticket (everyone makes progress)
 get so long, get better service by going into one of the other lines Could increase priority of jobs that don't get service What is done in UNIX Advantage over strict priority scheduling: behaves gracefully as load changes 	 a Like oxpress tailed and service by going into one of the other lines Could increase priority of jobs that don't get service What is done in UNIX 	 Advantage over strict priority scheduling: behaves gracefully as load changes
 This is ad hoc—what rate should you increase priorities? Adding or deleting a job affects all jobs proportionally, independent of how many tickets each job possesses 	» This is ad hoc-what rate should you increase priorities?	 Adding or deleting a job affects all jobs proportionally, independent of how many tickets each job possesses
02/13/12 Anthony D. Joseph and Ion Stoica, CS162 ©UCB Spring 2012 Lec 8.25 02/13/12 Anthony D. Joseph and Ion Stoica, CS162 ©UCB Spring 2012 Lec 8	02/13/12 Anthony D. Joseph and Ion Stoica, CS162 ©UCB Spring 2012 Lec 8.25	02/13/12 Anthony D. Joseph and Ion Stoica, CS162 ©UCB Spring 2012 Lec 8.26

	Lottery	Scheduling Exa	mple	_
• Lott	ery Scheduling Ex	ample		_
— A	ssume short jobs g	et 10 tickets, long j	obs get 1 ticket	
	# short jobs/ # long jobs	% of CPU each short jobs gets	% of CPU each long jobs gets	
	1/1	91%	9%	
	0/2	N/A	50%	
	2/0	50%	N/A	
	10/1	9.9%	0.99%	
	1/10	50%	5%	
– V re	Vhat if too many sho esponse time?	ort jobs to give reas	onable	
	» In UNIX, if load ave	erage is 100, hard to	make progress	
	» One approach: log	some user out		
02/13/12	Anthony D. Josep	h and Ion Stoica, CS162 ©UC	B Spring 2012	Lec

How to Evaluate a Scheduling algorithm? Deterministic modeling Takes a predetermined workload and compute the performance of each algorithm for that workload Queuing models Mathematical approach for handling stochastic workloads

- Implementation/Simulation:
 - Build system which allows actual algorithms to be run against actual data. Most flexible/general.





02/13/12 Anthony D. Joseph and Ion Stoica, CS162 ©UCB Spring 2012



Summary (cont'd)

Shortest Job First (SJF)/Shortest Remaining Time First (SRTF):

 Run whatever job has the least amount of computation to do/least remaining amount of computation to do
 Pros: Optimal (average response time)
 Cons: Hard to predict future, Unfair

 Multi-Level Feedback Scheduling:

 Multiple queues of different priorities
 Automatic promotion/demotion of process priority in order to approximate SJF/SRTF

 Lottery Scheduling:

 Give each thread a priority-dependent number of tokens (short tasks ⇒ more tokens)
 Reserve a minimum number of tokens for every thread to ensure forward progress/fairness

Lec 8.29