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## Announcements

- Homework 10 due Tuesday 11/26 @ 11:59pm
- No lecture on Wednesday $11 / 27$ or Friday $11 / 29$
-No discussion section Wednesday 11/27 through Friday 11/29
-Lab will be held on Wednesday 11/27
- Recursive art contest entries due Monday 12/2 @ 11:59pm
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A permutation (i.e., anagram) of a list is:

- The empty list for an empty list.

$$
\mathrm{a} \mid r \mathrm{t}
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$$
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$$

$$
\operatorname{art} t
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rat
$r$ ta
t r
at $r$
tar
t ra
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\begin{aligned}
& \left.\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
(a & b
\end{array}\right) & c & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
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\end{aligned}
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Lookup
(ab)
(a b)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{x}:(\mathrm{a} b) \\
\text { Success! }
\end{array}\right.
$$



C
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