61A Lecture 19

Wednesday, October 10

A function might want to operate on multiple data types

A function might want to operate on multiple data types
Last time:

A function might want to operate on multiple data types

Last time:

Polymorphic functions using message passing

A function might want to operate on multiple data types

Last time:

- Polymorphic functions using message passing
- Interfaces: collections of messages with a meaning for each

A function might want to operate on multiple data types

Last time:

- Polymorphic functions using message passing
- Interfaces: collections of messages with a meaning for each
- Two interchangeable implementations of complex numbers

A function might want to operate on multiple data types

Last time:

- Polymorphic functions using message passing
- Interfaces: collections of messages with a meaning for each
- Two interchangeable implementations of complex numbers

A function might want to operate on multiple data types

Last time:

- Polymorphic functions using message passing
- Interfaces: collections of messages with a meaning for each
- Two interchangeable implementations of complex numbers

Today:

• An arithmetic system over related types

A function might want to operate on multiple data types

Last time:

- Polymorphic functions using message passing
- Interfaces: collections of messages with a meaning for each
- Two interchangeable implementations of complex numbers

- An arithmetic system over related types
- Type dispatching instead of message passing

A function might want to operate on multiple data types

Last time:

- Polymorphic functions using message passing
- Interfaces: collections of messages with a meaning for each
- Two interchangeable implementations of complex numbers

- An arithmetic system over related types
- Type dispatching instead of message passing
- Data-directed programming

A function might want to operate on multiple data types

Last time:

- Polymorphic functions using message passing
- Interfaces: collections of messages with a meaning for each
- Two interchangeable implementations of complex numbers

- An arithmetic system over related types
- Type dispatching instead of message passing
- Data-directed programming
- Type coercion

A function might want to operate on multiple data types

Last time:

- Polymorphic functions using message passing
- Interfaces: collections of messages with a meaning for each
- Two interchangeable implementations of complex numbers

Today:

- An arithmetic system over related types
- Type dispatching instead of message passing
- Data-directed programming
- Type coercion

What's different? Today's generic functions apply to multiple arguments that don't share a common interface

Rational Numbers

class Rational(object):

```
class Rational(object):
    def __init__(self, numer, denom):
        g = gcd(numer, denom)
        self.numer = numer // g
        self.denom = denom // g
```

```
class Rational(object):
    def __init__(self, numer, denom):
        g =(gcd(numer, denom));
        self.numer = numer // g Greatest common
        self.denom = denom // g divisor
```

```
class Rational(object):
    def __init__(self, numer, denom):
        g =(gcd(numer, denom))
        self.numer = numer // g Greatest common
        self.denom = denom // g Greatest common
        divisor
    def __repr__(self):
        return 'Rational({0}, {1})'.format(self.numer, self.denom)
```

```
class Rational(object):
    def __init__(self, numer, denom):
        g =(gcd(numer, denom))
        self.numer = numer // g Greatest common
        self.denom = denom // g Greatest common
        divisor
    def __repr__(self):
        return 'Rational({0}, {1})'.format(self.numer, self.denom)
    def add_rational(x, y):
        nx, dx = x.numer, x.denom
        ny, dy = y.numer, y.denom
        return Rational(nx * dy + ny * dx, dx * dy)
```

```
class Rational(object):
   def init (self, numer, denom):
        g = (gcd(numer, denom))
                                  Greatest common
        self.numer = numer // g
                                       divisor
        self.denom = denom // g
   def __repr__(self):
        return 'Rational({0}, {1})'.format(self.numer, self.denom)
def add rational(x, y):
    nx, dx = x.numer, x.denom
    ny, dy = y.numer, y.denom
    return Rational(nx * dy + ny * dx, dx * dy)
def mul rational(x, y):
    return Rational(x.numer * y.numer, x.denom * y.denom)
```

```
class ComplexRI(object):
    def init (self, real, imag):
        self.real = real
        self.imag = imag
   @property
    def magnitude(self):
        return (self.real ** 2 + self.imag ** 2) ** 0.5
    @property
    def angle(self):
        return atan2(self.imag, self.real)
    def __repr__(self):
        return 'ComplexRI({0}, {1})'.format(self.real,
                                             self.imag)
```

```
class ComplexRI(object):
    def init (self, real, imag):
        self.real = real
        self.imag = imag
    @property
    def magnitude(self):
        return (self.real ** 2 + self.imag ** 2) ** 0.5
    @property
    def angle(self):
        return atan2(self.imag, self.real)
    def __repr__(self):
        return 'ComplexRI({0}, {1})'.format(self.real,
                                             self.imag)
                          Might be either ComplexMA
                            or ComplexRI instances
def add complex (z1, z2)
     return ComplexRI(z1.real + z2.real,
                      z1.imag + z2.imag)
```



Demo

Demo

>>> ComplexRI(1, 2) + ComplexMA(2, 0)
ComplexRI(3.0, 2.0)

Demo

>>> ComplexRI(1, 2) + ComplexMA(2, 0)
ComplexRI(3.0, 2.0)
>>> ComplexRI(0, 1) * ComplexRI(0, 1)
ComplexMA(1.0, 3.141592653589793)

Demo

>>> ComplexRI(1, 2) + ComplexMA(2, 0)
ComplexRI(3.0, 2.0)
>>> ComplexRI(0, 1) * ComplexRI(0, 1)
ComplexMA(1.0, 3.141592653589793)

http://getpython3.com/diveintopython3/special-method-names.html

http://docs.python.org/py3k/reference/datamodel.html#special_method_names

Data abstraction and class definitions keep types separate

Data abstraction and class definitions keep types separate

Some operations need to cross type boundaries

Data abstraction and class definitions keep types separate

Some operations need to cross type boundaries

add_rational mul_rational

Rational numbers as numerators & denominators

Data abstraction and class definitions keep types separate

Some operations need to cross type boundaries


The Independence of Data Types

Data abstraction and class definitions keep types separate

Some operations need to cross type boundaries

How do we add a complex number and a rational number together?

_____add_rational mul_rational _____add_complex mul_complex _____

Rational numbers as numerators & denominators *Complex numbers as two-dimensional vectors* The Independence of Data Types

Data abstraction and class definitions keep types separate

Some operations need to cross type boundaries

How do we add a complex number and a rational number together?



Rational numbers as numerators & denominators *Complex numbers as two-dimensional vectors*

There are many different techniques for doing this!





```
def iscomplex(z):
    return type(z) in (ComplexRI, ComplexMA)
```

```
def iscomplex(z):
    return type(z) in (ComplexRI, ComplexMA)
```

```
def isrational(z):
    return type(z) is Rational
```

```
def iscomplex(z):
    return type(z) in (ComplexRI, ComplexMA)
```

```
def isrational(z):
    return type(z) is Rational
```

```
def add_complex_and_rational(z, r):
    return ComplexRI(z.real + r.numer/r.denom, z.imag)
```

```
def iscomplex(z):
    return type(z) in (ComplexRI, ComplexMA)

def isrational(z):
    return type(z) is Rational

def add_complex_and_rational(z, r):
    return ComplexRI(z.real + (r.numer/r.denom), z.imag)
```

Define a different function for each possible combination of types for which an operation (e.g., addition) is valid

```
def iscomplex(z):
    return type(z) in (ComplexRI, ComplexMA)
```



return ComplexRI(z.real + (r.numer/r.denom), z.imag)

def add_by_type_dispatching(z1, z2):
 """Add z1 and z2, which may be complex or rational."""

Define a different function for each possible combination of types for which an operation (e.g., addition) is valid

```
def iscomplex(z):
    return type(z) in (ComplexRI, ComplexMA)
```



def add_complex_and_rational(z, r): return ComplexRI(z.real + (r.numer/r.denom), z.imag)

def add_by_type_dispatching(z1, z2):
 """Add z1 and z2, which may be complex or rational."""
 if iscomplex(z1) and iscomplex(z2):
 return add_complex(z1, z2)

Define a different function for each possible combination of types for which an operation (e.g., addition) is valid

```
def iscomplex(z):
    return type(z) in (ComplexRI, ComplexMA)
```



def add_complex_and_rational(z, r): return ComplexRI(z.real + (r.numer/r.denom), z.imag)

def add_by_type_dispatching(z1, z2):
 """Add z1 and z2, which may be complex or rational."""
 if iscomplex(z1) and iscomplex(z2):
 return add_complex(z1, z2)
 elif iscomplex(z1) and isrational(z2):
 return add_complex_and_rational(z1, z2)

Define a different function for each possible combination of types for which an operation (e.g., addition) is valid

```
def iscomplex(z):
    return type(z) in (ComplexRI, ComplexMA)
```

```
def isrational(z):
    return type(z) is Rational
    Converted to a
    real number (float)
```

def add_complex_and_rational(z, r): return ComplexRI(z.real + (r.numer/r.denom), z.imag)

def add_by_type_dispatching(z1, z2):
 """Add z1 and z2, which may be complex or rational."""
 if iscomplex(z1) and iscomplex(z2):
 return add_complex(z1, z2)
 elif iscomplex(z1) and isrational(z2):
 return add_complex_and_rational(z1, z2)
 elif isrational(z1) and iscomplex(z2):
 return add_complex_and_rational(z2, z1)

Define a different function for each possible combination of types for which an operation (e.g., addition) is valid

```
def iscomplex(z):
    return type(z) in (ComplexRI, ComplexMA)
```

def isrational(z):
 return type(z) is Rational
 Converted to a
 real number (float)

def add_complex_and_rational(z, r): return ComplexRI(z.real + (r.numer/r.denom), z.imag)

```
def add_by_type_dispatching(z1, z2):
    """Add z1 and z2, which may be complex or rational."""
    if iscomplex(z1) and iscomplex(z2):
        return add_complex(z1, z2)
    elif iscomplex(z1) and isrational(z2):
        return add_complex_and_rational(z1, z2)
    elif isrational(z1) and iscomplex(z2):
        return add_complex_and_rational(z2, z1)
    else:
        add_rational(z1, z2)
```

Define a different function for each possible combination of types for which an operation (e.g., addition) is valid

```
def iscomplex(z):
    return type(z) in (ComplexRI, ComplexMA)
```

```
def isrational(z):
    return type(z) is Rational
    Converted to a
    real number (float)
```

def add_complex_and_rational(z, r): return ComplexRI(z.real + (r.numer/r.denom), z.imag)

```
def add_by_type_dispatching(z1, z2):
    """Add z1 and z2, which may be complex or rational."""
    if iscomplex(z1) and iscomplex(z2):
        return add_complex(z1, z2)
    elif iscomplex(z1) and isrational(z2):
        return add_complex_and_rational(z1, z2)
    elif isrational(z1) and iscomplex(z2):
        return add_complex_and_rational(z2, z1)
    else:
        add_rational(z1, z2)
        Demo
```

```
Tag-Based Type Dispatching
```

```
def type_tag(x):
    return type_tag.tags[type(x)]
```





```
def add(z1, z2):
    types = (type_tag(z1), type_tag(z2))
    return add.implementations[types](z1, z2)
```

```
def type_tag(x):
    return type_tag.tags[type(x)]
type_tag.tags = {ComplexRI: 'com'
    ComplexMA: 'com'
    Rational: 'rat'}
Declares that ComplexRI
and ComplexMA should be
    treated uniformly
```

```
def add(z1, z2):
    types = (type_tag(z1), type_tag(z2))
    return add.implementations[types](z1, z2)
```

```
add.implementations = {}
add.implementations[('com', 'com')] = add_complex
add.implementations[('rat', 'rat')] = add_rational
add.implementations[('com', 'rat')] = add_complex_and_rational
add.implementations[('rat', 'com')] = add_rational_and_complex
```

```
def type tag(x):
    return type tag.tags[type(x)]
                                     Declares that ComplexRI
type_tag.tags = {ComplexRI: 'com', ]
                                     and ComplexMA should be
                ComplexMA: 'com',
                                        treated uniformly
                 Rational: 'rat'}
def add(z1, z2):
    types = (type tag(z1), type tag(z2))
    return add.implementations[types](z1, z2)
add.implementations = {}
add.implementations[('com', 'com')] = add_complex
add.implementations[('rat', 'rat')] = add rational
add.implementations[('com', 'rat')] = add_complex_and_rational
add.implementations[('rat', 'com')] = add rational and complex:
             lambda r, z: add_complex_and_rational(z, r)
```

Minimal violation of abstraction barriers: we define crosstype functions as necessary, but use abstract data types

Minimal violation of abstraction barriers: we define crosstype functions as necessary, but use abstract data types

Minimal violation of abstraction barriers: we define crosstype functions as necessary, but use abstract data types

```
def add(z1, z2):
    types = (type_tag(z1), type_tag(z2))
    return add.implementations[types](z1, z2)
```

Minimal violation of abstraction barriers: we define crosstype functions as necessary, but use abstract data types

Extensible: Any new numeric type can "install" itself into the existing system by adding new entries to various dictionaries

```
def add(z1, z2):
    types = (type_tag(z1), type_tag(z2))
    return add.implementations[types](z1, z2)
```

Minimal violation of abstraction barriers: we define crosstype functions as necessary, but use abstract data types

Extensible: Any new numeric type can "install" itself into the existing system by adding new entries to various dictionaries

```
def add(z1, z2):
    types = (type_tag(z1), type_tag(z2))
    return add.implementations[types](z1, z2)
```

$$m \cdot (m-1) \cdot n$$

Minimal violation of abstraction barriers: we define crosstype functions as necessary, but use abstract data types

Extensible: Any new numeric type can "install" itself into the existing system by adding new entries to various dictionaries

```
def add(z1, z2):
    types = (type_tag(z1), type_tag(z2))
    return add.implementations[types](z1, z2)
```

$$m \cdot (m-1) \cdot n$$
$$4 \cdot (4-1) \cdot 4 = 48$$

Minimal violation of abstraction barriers: we define crosstype functions as necessary, but use abstract data types

Extensible: Any new numeric type can "install" itself into the existing system by adding new entries to various dictionaries

```
def add(z1, z2):
    types = (type_tag(z1), type_tag(z2))
    return add.implementations[types](z1, z2)
```

integer, rational, real, complex
$$m \cdot (m-1) \cdot n$$

$$(4) \cdot (4-1) \cdot 4 = 48$$

Minimal violation of abstraction barriers: we define crosstype functions as necessary, but use abstract data types

Extensible: Any new numeric type can "install" itself into the existing system by adding new entries to various dictionaries

```
def add(z1, z2):
    types = (type_tag(z1), type_tag(z2))
    return add.implementations[types](z1, z2)
```

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \text{integer, rational,} & m \cdot (m-1) \cdot n & \quad \text{add, subtract,} \\ \text{real, complex} & & (4) \cdot (4-1) \cdot (4) = 48 \end{array}$$

Minimal violation of abstraction barriers: we define crosstype functions as necessary, but use abstract data types

Minimal violation of abstraction barriers: we define crosstype functions as necessary, but use abstract data types

Arg 1	Arg 2	Add	Multiply
Complex	Complex		
Rational	Rational		
Complex	Rational		
Rational	Complex		

Minimal violation of abstraction barriers: we define crosstype functions as necessary, but use abstract data types

Arg 1	Arg 2	Add	Multiply	Ţ
Complex	Complex			/pe [
Rational	Rational			Disp
Complex	Rational			atch
Rational	Complex			ing

Minimal violation of abstraction barriers: we define crosstype functions as necessary, but use abstract data types

Arg 1	Arg 2	Add	Multiply	Ţ	
Complex	Complex			/pe [
Rational	Rational			Disp	
Complex	Rational			atch	
Rational	Complex			ing	
	·		N		
Message Passing					
There's nothing addition-specific about add_by_type

There's nothing addition-specific about add_by_type

Idea: One dispatch function for (operator, types) pairs

There's nothing addition-specific about add_by_type

Idea: One dispatch function for (operator, types) pairs

```
def apply(operator_name, x, y):
tags = (type_tag(x), type_tag(y))
key = (operator_name, tags)
return apply.implementations[key](x, y)
```

There's nothing addition-specific about add_by_type

Idea: One dispatch function for (operator, types) pairs

```
def apply(operator_name, x, y):
tags = (type_tag(x), type_tag(y))
key = (operator_name, tags)
return apply.implementations[key](x, y)
```

Demo

Coercion

Takes advantage of structure in the type system

Takes advantage of structure in the type system

>>> def rational_to_complex(x):
return ComplexRI(x.numer/x.denom, 0)

Takes advantage of structure in the type system

```
>>> def rational_to_complex(x):
return ComplexRI(x.numer/x.denom, 0)
```

>>> coercions = {('rat', 'com'): rational_to_complex}

```
Takes advantage of structure in the type system
```

```
>>> def rational_to_complex(x):
return ComplexRI(x.numer/x.denom, 0)
```

>>> coercions = {('rat', 'com'): rational_to_complex}

Question: Can any numeric type be coerced into any other?

```
Takes advantage of structure in the type system
```

```
>>> def rational_to_complex(x):
return ComplexRI(x.numer/x.denom, 0)
```

```
>>> coercions = {('rat', 'com'): rational_to_complex}
```

Question: Can any numeric type be coerced into any other?

Question: Have we been repeating ourselves with data-directed programming?

1. Attempt to coerce arguments into values of the same type

- 1. Attempt to coerce arguments into values of the same type
- 2. Apply type-specific (not cross-type) operations

- 1. Attempt to coerce arguments into values of the same type
- 2. Apply type-specific (not cross-type) operations

def coerce_apply(operator_name, x, y):

- 1. Attempt to coerce arguments into values of the same type
- 2. Apply type-specific (not cross-type) operations

def coerce_apply(operator_name, x, y):
tx, ty = type_tag(x), type_tag(y)

- 1. Attempt to coerce arguments into values of the same type
- 2. Apply type-specific (not cross-type) operations

```
def coerce_apply(operator_name, x, y):
tx, ty = type_tag(x), type_tag(y)
if tx != ty:
```

- 1. Attempt to coerce arguments into values of the same type
- 2. Apply type-specific (not cross-type) operations

```
def coerce_apply(operator_name, x, y):
tx, ty = type_tag(x), type_tag(y)
if tx != ty:
    if (tx, ty) in coercions:
```

- 1. Attempt to coerce arguments into values of the same type
- 2. Apply type-specific (not cross-type) operations

```
def coerce_apply(operator_name, x, y):
tx, ty = type_tag(x), type_tag(y)
if tx != ty:
    if (tx, ty) in coercions:
        tx, x = ty, coercions[(tx, ty)](x)
```

- 1. Attempt to coerce arguments into values of the same type
- 2. Apply type-specific (not cross-type) operations

```
def coerce_apply(operator_name, x, y):
tx, ty = type_tag(x), type_tag(y)
if tx != ty:
    if (tx, ty) in coercions:
        tx, x = ty, coercions[(tx, ty)](x)
    elif (ty, tx) in coercions:
```

- 1. Attempt to coerce arguments into values of the same type
- 2. Apply type-specific (not cross-type) operations

```
def coerce_apply(operator_name, x, y):
tx, ty = type_tag(x), type_tag(y)
if tx != ty:
    if (tx, ty) in coercions:
        tx, x = ty, coercions[(tx, ty)](x)
    elif (ty, tx) in coercions:
        ty, y = tx, coercions[(ty, tx)](y)
```

- 1. Attempt to coerce arguments into values of the same type
- 2. Apply type-specific (not cross-type) operations

```
def coerce_apply(operator_name, x, y):
tx, ty = type_tag(x), type_tag(y)
if tx != ty:
    if (tx, ty) in coercions:
        tx, x = ty, coercions[(tx, ty)](x)
    elif (ty, tx) in coercions:
        ty, y = tx, coercions[(ty, tx)](y)
    else:
```

- 1. Attempt to coerce arguments into values of the same type
- 2. Apply type-specific (not cross-type) operations

```
def coerce_apply(operator_name, x, y):
tx, ty = type_tag(x), type_tag(y)
if tx != ty:
    if (tx, ty) in coercions:
        tx, x = ty, coercions[(tx, ty)](x)
    elif (ty, tx) in coercions:
        ty, y = tx, coercions[(ty, tx)](y)
    else:
```

return 'No coercion possible.'

- 1. Attempt to coerce arguments into values of the same type
- 2. Apply type-specific (not cross-type) operations

```
def coerce_apply(operator_name, x, y):
tx, ty = type_tag(x), type_tag(y)
if tx != ty:
    if (tx, ty) in coercions:
        tx, x = ty, coercions[(tx, ty)](x)
    elif (ty, tx) in coercions:
        ty, y = tx, coercions[(ty, tx)](y)
    else:
        return 'No coercion possible.'
```

```
assert tx == ty
```

- 1. Attempt to coerce arguments into values of the same type
- 2. Apply type-specific (not cross-type) operations

```
def coerce_apply(operator_name, x, y):
tx, ty = type_tag(x), type_tag(y)
if tx != tv:
    if (tx, ty) in coercions:
        tx, x = ty, coercions[(tx, ty)](x)
    elif (ty, tx) in coercions:
        ty, y = tx, coercions[(ty, tx)](y)
    else:
        return 'No coercion possible.'
assert tx == ty
key = (operator_name, tx)
```

- 1. Attempt to coerce arguments into values of the same type
- 2. Apply type-specific (not cross-type) operations

```
def coerce apply(operator name, x, y):
tx, ty = type_tag(x), type_tag(y)
if tx != tv:
    if (tx, ty) in coercions:
        tx, x = ty, coercions[(tx, ty)](x)
    elif (ty, tx) in coercions:
        ty, y = tx, coercions[(ty, tx)](y)
    else:
        return 'No coercion possible.'
assert tx == ty
key = (operator_name, tx)
return coerce apply.implementations[key](x, y)
```

- 1. Attempt to coerce arguments into values of the same type
- 2. Apply type-specific (not cross-type) operations

```
def coerce apply(operator name, x, y):
tx, ty = type_tag(x), type_tag(y)
if tx != tv:
    if (tx, ty) in coercions:
        tx, x = ty, coercions[(tx, ty)](x)
    elif (ty, tx) in coercions:
        ty, y = tx, coercions[(ty, tx)](y)
    else:
        return 'No coercion possible.'
assert tx == ty
key = (operator_name, tx)
                                                      Demo
return coerce apply.implementations[key](x, y)
```

Coercion Analysis

Requires that all types can be coerced into a common type

Requires that all types can be coerced into a common type

Requires that all types can be coerced into a common type

Arg 1	Arg 2	Add	Multiply
Complex	Complex		
Rational	Rational		
Complex	Rational		
Rational	Complex		

Requires that all types can be coerced into a common type

Arg 1	Arg 2	Add	Multiply
Complex	Complex		
Rational	Rational		
Complex	Rational		
Rational	Complex		

$$\searrow$$

From	То	Coerce
Complex	Rational	
Rational	Complex	

Requires that all types can be coerced into a common type

Arg 1	Arg 2	Add	Multiply
Complex	Complex		
Rational	Rational		
Complex	Rational		
Rational	Complex		

From	То	Coerce
Complex	Rational	
Rational	Complex	

Туре	Add	Multiply
Complex		
Rational		