Paxson Spring 2011

CS 161 Computer Security

February 2, 2011

Question 1 Security Principles

We discussed the following security principles in lecture:

- A. Security is economics
- B. Least privilege
- C. Failsafe defaults
- D. Separation of responsibility
- E. Defense in depth
- F. Psychological acceptability
- G. Human factors
- H. Complete mediation
- I. Know your threat model
- J. Detect if you can't prevent
- K. Don't rely on security through obscurity
- L. Design security in from the start

Identify the principle(s) relevant to each of the following scenarios:

- 1. New cars often come with a valet key. This key is intended to be used by valet drivers who park your car for you. The key opens the door and turns on the ignition, but it does not open the trunk or the glove compartment.
- 2. Many home owners leave a key to their house under the floor mat in front of their door.
- 3. Convertible owners often leave the roof down when parking their car, allowing for easy access to whatever is inside.
- 4. Warranties on cell phones do not cover accidental damage, which includes liquid damage. Unfortunately for cell phone companies, many consumers who accidentally damage their phones with liquid will wait for it to dry, then take it in to the store, claiming that it doesn't work, but they don't know why. To combat this threat, many companies have begun to include on the product a small sticker that turns red (and stays red) when it gets wet.

(8 min)

- 5. Social security numbers, which we all know we are supposed to keep secret, are often easily obtainable or easily guessable.
- 6. The TSA hires a lot of employees and purchases a lot of equipment in order to stop people from bringing explosives onto airplanes.

Solution: (Note that there may be principles that apply other than those listed below.)

- 1. Principle of least privilege. They do not need to access your trunk or your glove box, so you don't give them the access to do so.
- 2. Unfortunately we often do rely on security through obscurity. The security of your home depends on the belief that most criminals don't know where your key is. With a modicum of effort, criminals could find your key and open the lock.
- 3. Security is economics. Even if they left the top up, it would be easy for a criminal to cut through it. If the criminals did that, it would cost the owner the cost of the items in the car and the cost of a new roof!
- 4. Detect if you can't prevent. People will try to scam cell phone manufacturers, and there is nothing the companies can do to stop this. But they can (and do) detect when people have voided their warranty via liquid damage.
- 5. Design security in from the start. Social security numbers were not designed to be authenticators, so security was not designed in from the start. The number is based on geographic region, a sequential group number, and a sequential serial number. They have since been repurposed as authenticators.
- 6. Security is economics. They spend a lot of money to protect airplanes, lives, and the warm/safe/fuzzy feeling that people want to have when they fly.

Question 2 TOCTTOU

(10 min)

A time-of-check-to-time-of-use (TOCTTOU) vulnerability is a software bug^1 that occurs when an attacker can exploit the time window between the check of a condition and the use of the result of that check.

A classic example is checking access rights of a file before writing to it. If an attacker manages to alter the file after the permission check, yet before it is used, it is possible to replace the file with a symbolic link to a different (sensitive) file. The code snippet below illustrates this problem.

```
/* (1) Check access privileges */
if (access("file", W_OK))
    exit(1);
/* (3) Write to /etc/passwd */
fd = open("file", O_WRONLY);
write(fd, buffer, sizeof(buffer));
```

TOCTTOU vulnerability.

/* (2) Change file after check */

symlink("file", "/etc/passwd");

Attacker changes file to /etc/passwd.

What mechanism is needed to fix the TOCTTOU vulnerability above? Rewrite the example to be secure.

Solution: The challenge with this TOCTTOU vulnerability is to ensure the file system cannot be changed between two system calls. Dean et al. showed that this is a hard problem, despite its conceptual simplicity [?]. UNIX systems have adopted variants of common file system calls that operate on file handles rather than file names. These calls end in the at suffix, such as openat, statat, etc. Because file handles are a private mapping to a file, they cannot be changed by another program and are not subject to race conditions with other applications. Using this mechanism, the example above can be rewritten as follows.

```
fd = open("file", O_WRONLY);
if (accessat(fd, W_OK))
    exit(1);
write(fd, buffer, sizeof(buffer));
```

¹A TOCTTOU bug is a special type of *race condition*.

Question 3 Networking

- (12 min)
- (a) **Protocol Layers.** At which network layer does each of the following operate (physical, link, network, transport, or application)?

Solution:

- Ethernet Link (2)
- SMTP Application (7)
- SYN packet Transport (4)
- UDP Transport (4)
- Fiber optics Physical (1)
- FTP Application (7)
- DNS request Application (7)
- BitTorrent Application (7)
- TTL field Network (3)
- 127.0.0.1 Network (3)
- 802.11n WiFi Physical, Link (1, 2)
- (b) **TCP and UDP.** The transmission control protocol (TCP) and user datagram protocol (UDP) are two of the primary protocols of the Internet protocol suite.
 - i. How do TCP and UDP relate to IP (Internet protocol)? Which of these protocols are encapsulated within (or layered atop) one another? Could all three be used simultaneously?
 - ii. What are the differences between TCP and UDP? Which is considered "best effort"? What does that mean?

Solution:

i. TCP and UDP both exist within the transport layer, which is one layer above IP (network layer). Either can be encapsulated in IP, referred to as TCP/IP and UDP/IP. TCP and UDP are alternatives; neither would normally be encapsulated within the other. ii. TCP provides a *connection-oriented*, *reliable*, *bytestream* service. It includes sophisticated rate-control enabling it to achieve high performance but also respond to changes in network capacity. UDP provides a *datagram-oriented*, *unreliable* service. (Datagrams are essentially individual packets.) The main benefit of UDP is that it is lightweight.

"Best effort" refers to a delivery service that simply makes a single attempt to deliver a packet, but with no guarantees. IP provides such a service, and because UDP simply encapsulates its datagrams directly into IP packets with very little additional delivery properties, it too, provides "best effort" service.

A final note: do not hesitate to ask for help! Our office hours exist to help you. Please visit us if you have any questions or doubts about the material.